Lead Pathways Study – Water

# Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River











Lead Pathways Study – Water

### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

11 May 2012

Report by:

Barry Noller<sup>1</sup>, Trang Huynh<sup>1</sup>, Jack Ng<sup>2</sup>, Jiajia Zheng<sup>1</sup>, and Hugh Harris<sup>3</sup>

Prepared for:

Mount Isa Mines Limited Private Mail Bag 6 Mount Isa

1 Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation, The University of Queensland, Qld 4072

<sup>2</sup> National Research Centre for Environmental Toxicology, The University of Queensland Qld 4008

<sup>3</sup> School of Chemistry and Physics, The University of Adelaide SA 5005



Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation This report was prepared by the Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation, Sustainable Minerals Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072.

The report was independently reviewed by an environmental chemistry specialist, Dr Graeme Batley.

Dr Graeme Batley, B.Sc. (Hons 1), M.Sc, Ph.D, D.Sc

Chief Research Scientist in CSIRO Land and Water's Environmental Biogeochemistry research program

Dr Graeme Batley is the former director and co-founder of the Centre for Environmental Contaminants Research (CECR), a program that brings together CSIRO's extensive expertise in research into the contamination of waters, sediments and soils. He is also a Fellow of the Royal Australian Chemical Institute, member Australasian Society for Ecotoxicology and Foundation President and Board Member of the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) Asia/Pacific.

Dr Batley received his B.Sc (Hons 1) in 1962 (UNSW); M.Sc in 1964 (UNSW); and his PhD in 1967 (UNSW and his D.Sc in 1994 (UNSW). Dr Batley has achieved many awards including, most recently, the Land & Water Australia Eureka Prize for Water Research (2006); CSIRO Medal for Research Achievement (2006); and the Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Herb Ward Exceptional Service Award (2006). He is the author of over 300 research papers, book chapters and reports and is the author and editor of four books.

Dr Batley's research expertise is in the area of the analytical and environmental chemistry of trace contaminants in natural water systems, with particular emphasis on heavy metals and their chemical forms, fate, transport, bioavailability and ecotoxicology in waters and sediments.

Copies of this report may be obtained by contacting Xstrata Mount Isa Mines community information line on 1800 982982.

# **Executive Summary**

The Lead Pathways Study — Water Sources and pathways of contaminants to the Leichhardt River investigated:

- the potential sources and pathways of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids in water from the Leichhardt River catchment, particularly at, and below, Mount Isa City and the Mount Isa Mines lease area
- the risk to human, agricultural pastoral, and ecological health from the contributions of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids.

The study had three specific components:

- water quality study
- sediment quality study
- aquatic toxicity assessment in water and sediment.

These components of the study provided data that were then used as inputs to the development of site-specific guidelines for the Leichhardt River catchment.

Nationally, a number of strategies and guidelines regulate water quality outcomes. The major strategies and guidelines, which are the basis for undertaking this study, are:

- National Water Quality Management Strategy
- ANZECC/ARMCANZ Water Quality Guidelines
- Queensland Water Quality Guidelines.

A site-specific risk assessment was also conducted to address human health and ecological concerns in areas where water and sediment contaminants were identified at concentrations above the guideline levels for metals and metalloids.

The study area covered:

- environmental receptors in the Leichhardt River (11 sites both upstream and downstream)
- tributaries from the mine lease (Tailing Dams 5, 7, and 8 and Lena, King Gully, and George Fisher creeks)
- urban discharge (Breakaway Creek).

This study determined the distribution of metal and metalloid concentrations within the study area and their pathways. The study area comprised the Leichhardt River above and below Mount Isa City down to below Lake Moondarra and taking into account all tributaries from the mine lease and city. Upstream sampling extended to Rifle Creek Dam in the upper catchment of the Leichhardt River. The potential risk of these concentrations were assessed for:

- people occasionally drinking the river water
- people using the river water for recreational activities
- using the river water for irrigation and livestock watering
- the ecological health of the river water.

The human health risk assessment was undertaken according to guidelines set by the National Health and Medical Research Council, the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, and the National Environmental Protection Council.

No site exceeded the ANZECC/ARMCANZ livestock watering guidelines for the metals and metalloids that were measured.

The generic ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process was used for assessing metal and metalloid toxicants in the water. Water samples were collected from eleven sites on Leichhardt River, five tributaries from the mine lease, three tailings seepage ponds, and two urban tributaries over five sampling periods from November 2002 until June 2010. The samples were analysed for metal and metalloid concentrations in different fractions (total and 0.45 µm filtration fractions) to compare dissolved metal or metalloid concentrations as per the decision tree. The in situ measurement by Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films technique (DGT) was used to determine labile metal concentrations in the water to give the bioavailable metal concentration and alternatively speciation modelling was applied for arsenic because it was not measured by the DGT technique.

Six sets of sediment samples were taken from the Leichhardt River upstream down to the Lake Moondarra. The sampling program included:

- sediment collected in 2007
- sediment collected concurrently with toxicity testing in October 2009
- Leichhardt River Verification Samples (13–14 November 2009) comprising seventy-nine sediment samples collected from the section of the Leichhardt River comprising Alma Crossing to Moondarra Junction, which were collected by Xstrata to confirm current sediment concentrations
- regional/background stream sediment sampling program to give a background data set comprising twentynine sediment samples collected by Xstrata from the upstream section of the Leichhardt River (from Mica Creek up to Rifle Creek) with additional three sediment samples from Spring Creek (SPC) Bridge, and First and Second SPC Gullies lying in the upper catchment of George Fisher Creek that flows to Lake Moondarra
- the Annual Stream Sediment Samples (11 November 2009) conducted as part of Xstrata's Mine Plan Commitment.

Sediment samples were analysed for total and 1M hydrochloric acid (HCl) extraction of metals and metalloids. The results were compared against ANZECC/ARMCANZ Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines-Low (ISQG-Low) for sediments. Sediment samples were also prepared as the <2 mm or <250  $\mu$ m fraction and analysed for both total concentrations of metals and metalloids and bioaccessibility (%BAc). Human health risk was assessed by comparing these levels with the NEPM HIL — Level E for recreational use of dried river sediment.

Aquatic toxicity assessment was undertaken as part of the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process for both water and sediment. Three water-sampling programs collected water for aquatic toxicity testing. Three sets of sediment samples were also collected for toxicity assessment.

The overall results of the water quality assessments show that the Leichhardt River water, at the time of testing, was alkaline and the water pH varied from 7.0 to 8.5 over five sampling periods. The electrical conductivity (EC) of samples at upstream sites (Leichhardt River upstream and Mica Creek upstream) and downstream sites (Moondarra Junction, Lake Moondarra and Clear Water Lagoon were within the limits for safe drinking water (<1000  $\mu$ S/cm), which applies to palatability associated with total dissolved salts. However, the EC of water sampled at the Leichhardt River sites within Mount Isa City were >1000  $\mu$ S/cm. The EC values of water collected at all sites in the wet season were significantly lower than pre-wet and post-wet season samples indicating a reduction in total dissolved salts with renewed river flow.

Total concentrations of metals and metalloids in water were compared with Australian Drinking Water Guidelines. The results show that six sites from the Leichhardt River (19th Avenue, 23rd Avenue, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, Moondarra Junction) and four sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) exceeded the guideline values. Two seepage ponds (Tailing Dam 5 and 8) exceeded the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead; however, these ponds are not accessible by the general public or livestock.

Total concentrations of metals and metalloids in the water were compared with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ Water Quality Guidelines trigger values for fresh water species at two levels: to protect 90% of all freshwater species and to protect 95% of all freshwater species. The trigger values were also adjusted for site-specific water hardness, as stipulated by the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process. The filtered concentrations (0.45  $\mu$ m fraction) and dissolved species, measured by DGT technique, at sites with a total concentration of a heavy metal or arsenic exceeding the trigger values, were compared with the site-specific trigger values. The results show that concentrations of cadmium in the 0.45  $\mu$ m fraction, measured by DGT technique, and inorganic species, calculated by the MINTEQ multi-equilibrium program:

- exceeded the trigger values of cadmium for fresh water species at the 95% protection level at:
  - » Davis Crossing in the post-wet season in 2009
  - » Alma Crossing in the wet season in 2010
- exceeded the site-specific trigger values of copper for fresh water species at 95% protection level at:
  - » two upstream sites (Mica Creek and Leichhardt River upstream)
  - » three sites within Mount Isa City (Alma Crossing and Isa Crossing)
  - » one downstream site (Moondarra Junction)
  - » five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5)

- » two seepage ponds (Tailing Dams 5 and 8)
- » one urban discharge site (Breakaway creek)
- exceeded the site-specific trigger values of arsenic at the at 95% protection level at:
  - » seepage Tailing Dam 5 exceeded the site-specific trigger values of arsenic
    - exceeded the site-specific trigger values of lead for fresh water species at 95% protection level at:
      - » Downstream North Tailing Dam 3 and Downstream North Tailing Dam 5.

These results indicate that further investigation needs to be conducted at these sites for biological effects following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process.

During the 2010 wet season, five sites at Leichhardt River (upstream and within Mount Isa City) showed that no site exceeded the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc. However, water samples collected from five sites at tributaries from the mine lease at the same exceeded the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead.

The overall results of the sediment quality assessment show there are several sites from the Leichhardt River that exceeded the ISQG-Low for arsenic (2 sites); cadmium (79 sites); copper (78 sites); lead (79 sites); and at a lesser number of sites for zinc (50 sites) for 1M HCl extraction concentrations. These sites will require further assessment of contamination, including a toxicity assessment according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process for sediment. Comparison of these sediment results with ISQG-High shows exceedance for cadmium (22 sites); copper (10 sites); lead (46 sites); and a lesser number of sites for zinc (18 sites) for 1M hydrochloric acid extraction concentrations. Exceedence of the ISQG-High is indicative of a high probability of biological effects. These sites may collectively require remediation if they show toxicity to aquatic test species following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process for sediment. The five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) also requires further assessment of the sediment metal and metalloid concentrations according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision process. Site LR10 (Leichhardt River at exit from Star Gully) is the only site from 79 sites assessed by the Mount Isa Mines Verification Program that exceeded the NEPM HIL-Level E criteria for human health risk for cadmium and lead, when the total concentration was adjusted for bioaccessibility. Therefore, site LR10 requires further evaluation to define the extent of potential human contact with contaminated sediment and to enable a remediation plan to be implemented.

Exposure to lead from direct consumption of Leichhardt River water and sediment is mutually exclusive. The total lead exposure was recalculated by replacing the drinking water intake with the Leichhardt River water. As a conservative approach, it was assumed that Leichhardt River water is the only source of drinking water. The potential total intake of lead was below the tolerable daily intake of 0.25 mg/d for adults as well as TDI of 0.05 mg/d for children, adjusted for 10% bioavailability and normal food lead intake. However, a number of Leichhardt River water samples could pose a risk if soil lead is included in this calculation.

An additional risk from particulate matter in river sediment is that acute toxicity from the consumption of fish contaminated with metals and metalloids is unlikely. The potential risk was assessed by comparing with the allowable daily intake (ADI). In general, the liver of the fish have higher heavy metal and metalloid concentrations compared to the muscle. Frequent or regular consumption of fish from the Leichhardt River that exceed maximum levels (MLs) of heavy metals and metalloids is not recommended.

The aquatic toxicity in Leichhardt River water collected in 2008 was assessed using the acute 48-h *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* survival toxicity test (short-term effects). The 48-h EC50 showed that acute toxicity was observed at Davis Crossing (61.6 % EC50 and 0% survival). This sample was taken after the Leichhardt River Remediation Program, which was completed in 2007. Further sampling in 2009 for acute toxicity reconfirmed that toxicity was observed at Davis Crossing and, to a lesser extent, at the junction of Breakaway Creek and Leichhardt River. The water metal and metalloid concentration results showed that copper concentrations (0.45  $\mu$ m fraction) at fours sites and cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing exceeded the trigger values for the 95% species protection. Ammonia as a toxicant could also not be ruled out. The results of further toxicity testing and water quality measurements also reconfirmed cadmium concentrations of 3.5  $\mu$ g/L at Davis Crossing, which exceeds the trigger value of 2  $\mu$ g/L for 95% species protection.

To fully evaluate the effectiveness of the Leichhardt River Remediation Program, the decision-tree process recommends that five species in water and five in sediment were tested. A comprehensive sampling of Leichhardt River water and sediment was undertaken in October 2009. The aquatic toxicity studies showed various effects at 23rd Avenue (growth inhibition to *Lemna*); Davis Crossing (chronic toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia*); and Moondarra

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

Crossing (effects with three different species). These findings indicate that, overall, only limited toxicity was observed in the Leichhardt River water for a range of aquatic species covering the five taxa. The water metal concentrations confirmed that the cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing and copper concentration at Alma Crossing and Moondarra Crossing exceeded the trigger value for 95% fresh water species protection.

Rifle Creek Dam, located furthest upstream of the Leichhardt River, was chosen as a background site relative to Leichhardt River downstream from above Mount Isa City to below Lake Moondarra for aquatic toxicity assessment in both water and sediment. The toxicity results showed that no toxicity was observed at Rifle Creek Dam in both water and sediment making it a suitable site for comparing with any effects of metals and metalloids on aquatic biota downstream.

Comparison of aquatic toxicity testing results showed, in general, that upstream background sediments were not toxic to aquatic test species and confirmed that exceedances of ISQG–Low for 1M HCl extract do not always indicate that the sediment will be toxic to aquatic test biota. In particular, Rifle Creek Dam sediment concentration data for 1M HCl extract demonstrated little or nil toxicity, even though there was historical mining in its sub-catchment.

An approach that takes into account both the presence of natural mineralisation and some effects of historical mining is suggested for deriving background water quality data and site-specific guidelines for the Leichhardt River. It is considered appropriate to use all upstream sediment metal and metalloid concentration data for developing site-specific guidelines because aquatic toxicity was not generally demonstrated with the presence of natural mineralisation or historical mining in upstream Leichhardt River sediment.

Specific recommendations for further work are:

- Identify the specific source of toxicity observed in water from the lower part of the Leichhardt River, adjacent to Mount Isa City and the mine lease. The Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE) procedure from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) could be used to identify constituents causing toxicity.
- Investigate the section of the Leichhardt River and tributaries from the mine lease with sediment metal and metalloid concentrations exceeding ISQGs that may show potential ecological effects and may require remedial attention.
- Investigate the section of the Leichhardt River at the exit from Star Gully where contaminated sediment exceeds HIL Level E and could impact on human health. This area has been identified for possible remedial attention. The link with sediment and elevated levels of cadmium and lead in fish needs to be better understood.
- Consider changing the frequency of water and sediment monitoring programs to enable collection of sufficient data for developing adequate site-specific guidelines undertaken according to the *Queensland Water Quality Guideline* procedure. Make use hardness-corrected data compared with bioavailable fractions and support this data with both acute and chronic aquatic toxicity tests using sensitive species.
- Continue to identify aquatic species that may be suitable for testing whole sediment for effects from metals and metalloids.

# Glossary

Term	Meaning
Acute exposure	Exposure to a chemical for 14 days or less, either as a single or repeated dose.
Acute toxicity	Toxicity typically elicited during, or immediately after short-term exposure of a test organism to a toxicant or stimulus severe enough to induce an adverse reaction rapidly, relative to the lifespan of the organism. In aquatic toxicity tests, an effect is generally considered to be acute if it is observed within 95 hours or less for fishes and macroinvertebrates, and in less time for organisms with shorter life spans.
Acute toxic units (TU)	The ratio of the copper in the water to the instantaneous water quality criteria for that water. If $TU > 1$ , it indicates a violation of the instantaneous copper water quality criteria (WQC).
ANZECC/ARMCANZ guidelines	Guidelines for water and sediment quality prepared by the Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council.
ANZFSC	Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code maximum levels of metals and metalloid contaminants in aquatic foods.
Anglesite	Lead sulfate mineral, $PbSO_4$ . It occurs as an oxidation product of the primary lead sulfide ore galena.
Anodic stripping voltammetry	Measures the electrochemically labile species of metal in solution.
AusRivAS	Australian River Assessment Scheme protocols for rapid sampling of macroinvertebrates.
Background Concentration	Naturally occurring, ambient concentrations in the local area of a site.
Bioaccessibility (BAc in-vitro)	The soluble fraction under physiological conditions, i.e. an indicator of bioavailability to the receptor (e.g. humans).
Bioavailability (BA in-vivo)	The fraction of dose that reaches the systemic circulation of a receptor (e.g. humans). It is expressed as the ratio of the systemic dose to the applied dose, i.e. what is able to have an effect on the body compared to the total concentration to which it is exposed.
Biotic Ligand Model	Proposed by Di Toro et al. (2000, 2001) and used to calculate the acute toxicity of cationic metals (e.g., Ag, Cd, Cu, Ni, and Zn) to aquatic organisms.
C <sub>DGT</sub>	Concentrations of metals measured by the Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films technique (DGT).
C <sub>E</sub>	The effectively available concentration of metals from the solution – phase and solid-phase $C_E = C_{DGT}/R_{diff}$ .
C <sub>SOL</sub>	Concentrations of metals in pore water.
Certified Reference Material	'Controls' or standards having certified concentrations of constituents such as metals and used to check the quality and traceability of products.
Cerussite	Lead carbonate or white lead ore, a mineral consisting of lead carbonate (PbCO $_3$ ).
Clear Water Lagoon	The lagoon was partitioned off from Lake Moondarra in 1968, approximately 10 years after the Leichhardt River was dammed downstream of Mount Isa City. It was built as a protected reservoir to overcome high turbidity inflows entering Lake Moondarra during the wet season (from Mount Isa Water Board). It is the primary component of the reed bed system.
Chronic exposure	Repeated exposure to a chemical for a duration of three months or greater.
Chronic toxicity	Toxicity resulting from long-term exposure to a toxicant.

Term	Meaning
Criterion Continuous Concentration (CCC)	As established by the US Environmental Protection Agency, an estimate of the highest concentration of a material in ambient water to which an aquatic community can be exposed indefinitely without resulting in an unacceptable adverse effect (CCC=FAV/ACR).
Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC)	Established by the US Environmental Protection Agency, it is an estimate of the highest concentration of a material in ambient water to which an aquatic community can be exposed briefly without resulting in an unacceptable adverse effect (CMC=FAV/2).
Bicarbonate	HCO <sub>3</sub> -
D	Diffusion coefficient of metal ions in DGT gel.
d	Day
DATFIT	Fits XANES spectra to a linear combination of other spectra.
Donnan Membrane Technique	Designed to follow the approach of Fitch and Helmke (1989) to determine speciation of metals in soil solution.
DOM-SHM model	Stockholm Humic Model for humic substance.
Electrical conductivity	Estimates the amount of total dissolved salts or the total amount of dissolved ions in the water.
EC50	Median effects concentration, which is the concentration of a specified chemical in an exposure water that causes a non-lethal adverse effect in 50% of the organisms tested, where the effect could be immobilisation, avoidance, etc.
Environmental values	Particular values or uses of the environment that are important for a healthy ecosystem or for public benefit, welfare, safety, or health and that require protection from the effects of contaminants, waste discharges and deposits. Several environmental values may be designated for a specific water body.
Eh	Redox potential.
Exposure	Contact of a chemical, physical, or biological agent with the outer boundary of an organism (inhalation, ingestion, or dermal contact).
Exposure settings	Categories based on several conservative assumptions used to provide a 'tiered' set of soil criteria for different exposure settings:
	'A' = standard residential with garden/accessible soil
	'B' = residential with substantial vegetable garden, and poultry
	'C' = residential with substantial vegetable garden, excluding poultry
	'D' = residential with minimal opportunities for soil access
	'E' = parks, recreational open space and playing fields
	'F' = commercial industrial.
	An operating system, which is an independent package for analysing X-ray absorption spectroscopic data.
FAV	Final Acute Value
Filtration	Commonly the mechanical or physical operation used for separating solids from fluids (water) by interposing a medium through which only the fluid can pass through the 0.45 µm membrane.
g	Gram
GEOCHEM	Geochemical models relying on chemical equilibrium.
Galena	The natural mineral form of lead (II) sulfide, PbS. It is the most important lead ore mineral.

Term	Meaning
Guideline values	Values, such as concentrations in soil, which are derived after appropriate allocation of tolerable intake of possible different media of exposure.
h	Hour.
Hardness	A measure of the sum of the concentrations of calcium and magnesium ions in water, expressed as mg/L calcium carbonate equivalent.
Humic substances	Heterogeneous yellow-black organic materials that include most of the naturally dissolved organic matter in water. They are classified as humin (not soluble at any pH), humic acid (not soluble at pH <2) and fulvic acid (soluble at all pH values).
Health Risk Assessment	The process of estimating the potential impact of a chemical, biological, physical, or social agent on a specific human population system under a specific set of conditions and timeframe.
IC50	Median inhibitory concentration, which is the concentration of a specified chemical in an exposure water that causes 50% inhibition (i.e. decrease) of an attribute, where the attribute could be growth, reproduction, etc.
ICP-MS	Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry.
ICP-OES	Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry.
Indicator	Measurement parameter or combination of parameters that can be used to assess the quality of water.
Invertebrates	Animals lacking a dorsal column of vertebrae or a notochord.
In-vitro test	Tube test.
In-vivo test	Whole organism (animal) test.
ISQG-High	Australia and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines-High. Probable-effects concentrations below which biological effects in sediment would possibly occur. Concentrations at or above the ANZECC/ARMCANZ ISQG-High represent a probable- effects range within which effects in sediment would be expected to frequently occur.
ISQG-Low	Australia and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines-Low. Probable effects concentrations below which biological effects in sediment would rarely occur.
ISE	lon-selective electrode method to determine metal and metalloid speciation in solution.
LA50	Median lethal accumulation, which is the concentration of a specified chemical in bound to a biotic ligand that causes 50% mortality.
Lead goethite	Lead adsorption on goethite.
Level of protection	The acceptable level of change from a defined reference condition.
LC50	Median lethal accumulation, which is the concentration that exposure of a specified chemical in water causes 50% mortality.
Μ	Molar concentration moles per litre.
Magneto Plumbite	Black mineral consisting of a ferric oxide of plumbite and manganese, and occurring in acute metallic hexagonal crystals (Pb,Mn) <sub>2</sub> Fe <sub>6</sub> O <sub>11</sub> .
MICC	Mount Isa City Council.
MINEQL	Multi-ion models for predicting solution concentrations based on chemical equilibrium.
MINTEQA2	Upgraded program for multi-ion models for predicting solution concentrations based on chemical equilibrium.
MIWB	Mount Isa Water Board.
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram.
mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Milligrams per cubic metre.

Term	Meaning
Natural mineralisation	Naturally occurring minerals in a geological setting.
NEPM Level A	Standard residential with garden soil/accessible soil.
OECD test guidelines	The OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals for the ecotoxicity test.
Organism	Any living animal or plant; anything capable of carrying on life processes.
Oxidation	The combination of oxygen with a substance, or the removal of hydrogen from it, or, more generally, any reaction in which an atom loses electrons.
Parameter	A measurable or quantifiable characteristic or feature.
Percentile	Interval in a graphical distribution that represents a given percentage of the data points.
Performance indicators	Indicators used to assess the risk that a particular issue will occur, used in the guidelines to compare against the trigger values. They are generally median (or mean) concentrations in the ambient water, and may be stressor and/or condition indicators.
Physiologically based extraction test	An in-vitro test for measuring bioaccessibility.
рН	Negative logarithm of molar hydrogen ion concentration used as a measure of acidity or alkalinity.
Phytotoxicity	Toxic to plants.
Plumbojarosite	A mineral composed of basic lead iron sulfate; it is isostructural with jarosite, $PbFe_6(SO_4)_4(OH)_{12}$ .
Poisoning	The physiological state produced by absorption of excessive poison or other toxic substance.
Quality assurance	The implementation of checks on the success of quality control (e.g. replicate samples, analysis of samples of known concentration).
Quality assurance	The implementation of checks on the success of quality control (e.g. replicate samples, analysis of samples of known concentration).
Quality control	The implementation of procedures to maximise the integrity of monitoring data (e.g. cleaning procedures, contamination avoidance, sample preservation methods).
R <sub>diff</sub>	is determined by the geometry of the DGT unit, deployment time and sediment tortuosities.
Redox	Simultaneous (chemical) reduction and oxidation. Reduction is the transfer of electrons to an atom or molecule, whereas oxidation is the removal of electrons from an atom or molecule.
Red lead	Lead tetroxide or triplumbic tetroxide, is a bright red or orange crystalline or amorphous pigment. Chemically, red lead is lead tetroxide, $Pb_3O_4$ , or $PbO\cdot PbO_2$ .
Reference condition	An environmental quality or condition that is defined from as many similar systems as possible (including historical data) and used as a benchmark for determining the environmental quality or condition to be achieved and/ or maintained in a particular system of equivalent type.
Rehabilitation	In the context of mining, 'rehabilitation' is described as returning the disturbed area to a stable and economically productive landform
Relative bioavailability	The comparative bioavailability of different forms of a chemical or for different exposure media containing the chemical and is expressed as a fractional relative absorption factor.

Term	Meaning
Risk	A statistical concept defined as the expected frequency or probability of undesirable effects resulting from a specified exposure to known or potential environmental concentrations of a material, organism or condition. A material is considered safe if the risks associated with its exposure are judged to be acceptable. Estimates of risk may be expressed in absolute or relative terms. Absolute risk is the excess risk due to exposure. Relative risk is the ratio of the risk in the exposed population to the risk in the unexposed population.
Salinity	The presence of soluble salts in water or soils.
Sediment	The clay, silt, or gravel carried by a flowing river or stream and deposited where the flow slows and results in alluvial deposition below the low water mark or up to the high water mark. Sediment comprises bed load material (>63 $\mu$ m) that moves just above the bed and suspended material (<63 $\mu$ m) that moves in suspension under the influence of turbulence. The fine sediment (<63 $\mu$ m) is most representative for sampling purposes.
Soil	The part of the earth's surface consisting of humus and disintegrated rock that is located above the high water mark of an adjacent river or stream.
Stressor	A chemical or biological agent, environmental condition, an external stimulus or an event that causes stress to an organism.
Sub-chronic exposure	Repeated exposure to a chemical for a one to three month period.
µg/m³	Micrograms per cubic metre.
Site specific trigger value	A trigger value derived from data collected at a specific location and is only applicable at that specific location.
Solution concentration	Concentration of contaminants in the liquid phase.
Speciation	Measurement of different chemical forms or species of an element in a solution or solid.
Species	Generally regarded as a group of organisms that resemble each other to a greater degree than members of other groups and that form a reproductively isolated group that will not normally breed with members of another group. (Chemical species are differing compounds of an element.)
Species richness	The number of species present (generally applied to a sample or community).
Standard e.g. water quality standard	An objective that is recognised in environmental control laws enforceable by a level of government.
Statistical power	The ability of a statistical test to detect an effect given that the effect actually exists.
TDI	Tolerable Daily Intake
TIE	Toxicity Identification Evaluation, a procedure of the USEPA.
Total Alkalinity	Total alkalinity is the total concentration of bases in water expressed as milligrams per litter (mg/L) of calcium carbonate (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ). These bases are usually bicarbonates (HCO <sub>3</sub> ) and carbonates (CO <sub>3</sub> ), and they act as a buffer system that prevents drastic changes in pH.
Toxicant	A chemical capable of producing an adverse response (effect) in a biological system, seriously injuring structure or function or producing death. Examples include pesticides, heavy metals, and biotoxins.
Toxin	A poisonous substance produced by living cells or organisms.
Toxicity	The inherent potential or capacity of a material to cause adverse effects in a living organism.

Term	Meaning
Toxicity test	The means by which the toxicity of a chemical or other test material is determined. A toxicity test is used to measure the degree of response produced by exposure to a specific level of stimulus (or concentration of chemical).
Time-weighted average	The average occupational exposure for an eight-hour day/exposure period.
Uptake	A process by which materials are absorbed and incorporated into a living organism.
Visual MINTEQ	A chemical equilibrium model for the calculation of metal speciation, solubility equilibria, and sorption for natural waters.
Water quality standard	A legally enforceable water quality guideline.
Wellbeing	Note: defined in EP (Air) P (2008).

# List of Acronyms

Acronym	Definition
ACR	Acute-Chronic Ratios
ADI	Acceptable Daily Intake
ADWG	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines
ANZECC/ARMCANZ	Australia and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand
ANZFSC	Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
ASV	Anodic Stripping Voltammetry
BLM	Biotic Ligand Model
BOM	Bureau of Meteorology
С	Concentration
CCC	Criterion Continuous Concentration
CEAM	Centre of Exposure Assessment Modeling
CMC	Criterion Maximum Concentration
DERM	Department of Environment and Resource Management
DGT	Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films technique
DMT	Donnan Membrane Technique
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon
DTA	Direct Aquatic Toxicity Assessment
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EIL	Ecological Investigation Level
FAV	Final Acute Value
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
FSANZ	Food Standards Code Australia New Zealand
FIT	Fish Imbalance Test
HCI	Hydrochloric Acid
HIL	Health Investigation Level
ICP-MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry
ICP-OES	Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry
IPCS	International Programme on Chemical Safety
ISE	Ion-selective Electrode
ISQG	Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines
JECFA	Joint Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations / World Health Organization Expert Committee on Food Additives
ML	Metal Ligand
ML	Maximum Level in Food
MICC	Mount Isa City Council
MIM	Mount Isa Mine
MIWB	Mount Isa Water Board
MPC	Maximum Permissible Concentration in Food
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NEPC	National Environmental Protection Council

Acronym	Definition
NEPM	National Environmental Protection Measure
NH&MRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NRM	(Department of) Natural Resources and Mines
NWQMS	National Water Quality Monitoring Strategy
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PBET	Physiologically based extraction test
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
QLD EPA	Queensland Environmental Protection Agency
SPC	Spring Creek
TDI	Tolerable Daily Intake
TDS	Total Dissolved Salts
ТІ	Tolerable Intake
TIE	Toxicity Identification Method
TU	Toxic Units
TV	Trigger values for freshwater species
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WHO	World Health Organization
WQC	Water Quality Criteria
XAS	X-ray absorption spectroscopy
XANES	X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy
XRD	X-ray diffraction

# Contents

Glossary         List of Acronyms         1.       Introduction         1.1       Purpose         1.2       Background         1.2.1       Historical contamination         1.2.2       Potential sources of contaminants	9 31 31 32 32 32 34 34 36 36 36 36 37
<ul> <li>List of Acronyms</li></ul>	15 31 31 32 32 32 34 34 36 36 36 37
<ol> <li>Introduction</li></ol>	31 32 32 32 32 34 34 36 36 36 37
<ul> <li>1.1 Purpose</li></ul>	31 32 34 34 36 36 36 37
<ul> <li>1.2 Background</li> <li>1.2.1 Historical contamination</li> <li>1.2.2 Potential sources of contaminants</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>1.2.1 Historical contamination</li><li>1.2.2 Potential sources of contaminants</li></ul>	32 34 36 36 36 37
1.2.2 Potential sources of contaminants	34 36 36 36 36 37
	34 36 36 36 37
1.3 Basis for managing the Leichhardt River	36 36 36 37
1.4 Environmental values, indicators, and water quality guidelines and objectives	36 36 37
1.4.1 Environmental values	36 37
1.4.2 Indicators	
1.4.3 Water quality guidelines	
1.4.4 Water quality objectives	
1.5 Frameworks for assessment	38
1.5.1 Framework for drinking water guidelines	38
1.5.2 Framework for recreational water quality and aesthetics	40
1.5.3 Framework for irrigation and livestock drinking water	40
1.5.4 Framework for protection of aquatic ecosystems	40
1.6 Site-specific risk assessment process	41
1.7 Components of the Phase III study and specific objectives	43
1.7.1 Water quality study	43
1.7.2 Sediment quality study	43
1.7.3 Water and sediment ecotoxicology study	43
2. Methodology	44
2.1 Selection methods for determining water quality	44
2.2 Selection methods for determining sediment quality	45
2.3 Selection of methods for assessing aquatic toxicity of water and sediment	47
2.3.1 Toxicity testing of water	47
2.3.2 Toxicity testing of sediment	48
2.3.3 Bioaccumulation of metals and metalloids in aquatic biota	50
2.4 Bioavailability of metals and metalloids in water	50
2.4.1 Techniques to determine bioavailability of metal and metalloids in water	50

	2.4.2	Diffusive	Gradients in Thin Films technique	51
	2.4.3	Geocher	nical speciation model – Visual MINTEQ	52
	2.4.4	Biotic Li	gand Model	53
2.5	Stud	y sites, s	ampling program, and analytical test methods for water	. 53
	2.5.1	Study sit	tes for water sampling	53
	2.5.2	Water sa	ampling	53
	2.5.3	Water fil	tration	55
	2.5.4	Measure	ment physico-chemical properties of water	55
	2.5.5	DGT in s	situ measurement in water	55
	2.5.6	DGT in s	situ deployment in sediment and effective concentration calculation	56
	2.5.7	Speciation	on modelling with Visual MINTEQ	57
2.6	Sam	pling pro	gram, study sites, and analytical test methods for sediment	. 58
	2.6.1	Sedimer	nt sampling program	58
		2.6.1.1	Leichhardt River verification sediment sampling program	58
		2.6.1.2	Regional and background sampling program	60
	2.6.2 Study sites for sediment sampling		60	
		2.6.2.1	Geological character of reference locations for Mount Isa operations	60
		2.6.2.2	Geological character of reference locations for George Fisher and the Handlebar Hill Ope	en 60
		2.6.2.3	Background (no-effect) sample locations	60
	2.6.3	Analytica	al testing methods for sediment	64
		2.6.3.1	X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurement of lead in sediment samples	64
2.7	Heal	th and e	cological risk assessment of Leichhardt River sediment and water	. 65
	2.7.1	Health ri	sk assessment of metal and metalloid uptake in aquatic biota from Leichhardt River	65
2.8	Qual	ity assura	ance and quality control in metals analysis	. 66
3.	Resu	ults		. 67
3.1	Stud	y on met	als and metalloids in waters	. 67
	3.1.1	Water ch	nemistry	67
	3.1.2 Nutrients		δ	70
	3.1.3	Metal an	d metalloid concentrations in waters	71
		3.1.3.1	Arsenic in waters	71
		3.1.3.2	Cadmium in waters	72
		3.1.3.3	Copper in waters	72
		3.1.3.4	Lead in waters	72
		3.1.3.5	Nickel in waters	73

		3.1.3.6	Zinc in waters	73
	3.1.4	Compari	son of water concentration with drinking water guidelines	85
	3.1.5	Comparie	son of water concentrations in the Leichhardt River with water guidelines	86
		3.1.5.1	Comparison of water concentrations with recreational water quality and aesthetics guidelines	86
		3.1.5.2	Comparison of water concentrations with irrigation and livestock water guidelines	87
		3.1.5.3	Comparison of water concentrations with water guidelines for 95% and 90% species protection	87
	3.1.6	Sites from guideline	m urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds exceeding water s for fresh water species protection	89
	3.1.7	Overall s	ummary of water quality	91
		3.1.7.1	Overall results for total metal and metalloid concentrations compared against guidelines the 95% level for freshwater species protection (first step on the decision tree)	for 92
		3.1.7.2	Overall results on relevant comparison of metal and metalloid concentration compared against guidelines for the 95% level for freshwater species protection (next steps on dec tree for metals).	ision 96
	3.1.8	Water ch season c	emistry and metal and metalloid concentrations in water at the first flush collection in the of 2010.	wet . 100
3.2	Sedir	nent stud	dies on metal and metalloids	101
	3.2.1	Sedimen	t samples from the Leichhardt River collected in 2007	. 101
	3.2.2	Sedimen	t samples following the Leichhardt River Remediation Program	. 101
	3.2.3	Sedimen	t from Leichhardt River Verification Program	. 105
	3.2.4	Sedimen	t from Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program	. 113
	3.2.5	Sedimen	t from the Rifle Creek Dam background site	. 124
	3.2.6	Summar	y of all sediment data	. 125
	3.2.7	Comparis E	son of sediment concentrations (<250 $\mu$ m fraction) with NEPM Health Investigation Level	. 132
		3.2.7.1	Sediment samples	. 132
		3.2.7.2	Sediment from Leichhardt River Verification Program collected by Xstrata	. 132
		3.2.7.3	Sediment X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurement of lead in sediment samples	. 136
	3.2.8	Health ris	sk assessment of metal and metalloid uptake in aquatic biota from Leichhardt River	. 137
	3.2.9	Overall s	ummary of sediment quality	. 138
3.3	Aqua	tic toxici	ty studies of water and sediment	138
	3.3.1	Aquatic t	oxicity assessment and metal concentrations in water	. 138
	3.3.2	Aquatic t	oxicity assessment and metal concentrations in sediment	. 146
	3.3.3	Overall s	ummary of aquatic toxicity on water and sediment	. 150
4.	Discu	ission		152
4.1	Natu	ral miner	alisation and its significance.	152

4.2	Health risk assessment associated with drinking water	153	
	4.2.1 Tolerable daily intake of lead	. 154	
	4.2.2 Cadmium in Leichhardt River sediment	. 156	
	4.2.3 Copper in Leichhardt River sediment	. 156	
	4.2.4 Lead in Leichhardt River water	. 156	
	4.2.5 Arsenic in Leichhardt River sediment and water	. 157	
	4.2.6 Zinc in Leichhardt River sediment and water	. 157	
4.3	Health risk assessment of metal and metalloid uptake in aquatic biota from Leichhardt River	157	
	4.3.1 Risk assessment	. 158	
4.4	Ecological risk assessment of aquatic ecosystems	164	
	4.4.1 Water	. 164	
	4.4.2 Sediment	. 165	
	4.4.3 Future needs for ecotoxicity testing	. 165	
4.5	Development of site-specific guidelines	166	
5.	Conclusions and recommendations	168	
6.	Professional background	172	
6.1	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	172	
6.2	Experience of consultants	172	
7.	Limitations	174	
8.	References	175	
Appe	ppendices		

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1.	Regions adopted from the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines. Leichhardt River is in the Gulf River drainage division and Leichhardt River Basin (QWQG, 2009)
Figure 2.	Generic flow duration curve for an ephemeral stream in Queensland (QWQG, 2009) 34
Figure 3.	Mount Isa rainfall (mm) for (a) 2007; (b) 2008; (c) 2009; (d) 2010; and (e) the period 2007–2010 (BOM, 2011)
Figure 4.	Typical conceptual model showing inputs of contaminants to a river (Batley et. al., 2003)
Figure 5.	Process showing relationship of environmental values and site-specific risk assessments for water quality
Figure 6.	Management framework for applying water quality guidelines (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)
Figure 7.	Framework for drinking water (ADWG, 2004)
Figure 8.	Adapted from the guidelines for managing risks in recreational water (NH&MRC, 2008) 40
Figure 9.	Flow chart of the steps involved in applying the guidelines for protection of aquatic ecosystems (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)
Figure 10.	Procedures for deriving and refining trigger values, and assessing test sites, for physical and chemical stressors and toxicants in water and sediment. Dark grey shading indicates most likely point of entry for users requiring trigger values (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) 42
Figure 11.	Generic decision tree for assessing metal toxicant in water (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)
Figure 12.	Decision tree for the assessment of contaminated sediment (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)
Figure 13.	Schematic representation of diffusive gradient in thin films principle in water (Zhang and Davison, 1995)
Figure 14.	Study sites for water sampling 54
Figure 15.	DGT in situ deployment
Figure 16.	Water and sediment sampling sites of the study. Details of the legend is presented in Table 3
Figure 17.	Sediment sampling locations for regional and background samples, Spring Creek Gully as the annual stream sediment program and Rifle Creek and Rifle Creek Dam sites
Figure 18.	Sampling locations of regional and background samples within the Mica Creek catchment (RB1-11)
Figure 19.	Sampling locations of regional and background samples along the mid-Leichhardt River catchment (RB12-16)
Figure 20.	Sampling locations of regional and background samples near Leichhardt River and Sybella Creek Junction (RB17-18)
Figure 21.	Sampling locations within Sybella Creek and upper Sybella catchment (RB21-28) 63
Figure 22.	Sampling locations within Sybella Creek and Upper Leichhardt River

Figure 23.	Summary of sites exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead (refer to Table 31 for site names)
Figure 24.	Summary of sites exceeding trigger values for arsenic, cadmium, and lead for 95% freshwater species protection (refer to Table 32 for site names). TV has been adjusted to the site-specific conditions of water hardness
Figure 25.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of arsenic compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for arsenic in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 26.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of cadmium compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for cadmium in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 27.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of copper compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for copper in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 28.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of nickel compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for nickel in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 29.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of lead compared with ISQG- Low and ISQG-High for lead in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 30.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of zinc compared with ISQG- Low and ISQG-High for zinc in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 31.	Sampling sites of Leichhardt River Verification Program (13-14 November 2009). The insert map shows the LR10 site, where the concentration of arsenic exceeded ISQG-Low. LR10 is identified as being contaminated for human health
Figure 32.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of arsenic compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for arsenic in sediment samples collected for the Regional/ Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009)116
Figure 33.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of cadmium compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for cadmium in sediment samples collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009)
Figure 34.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of copper compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for copper in sediment samples collected for the Regional/ Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009)118
Figure 35.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of nickel compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for nickel in sediment samples collected for the Regional/ Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009)119
Figure 36.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of lead compared with ISQG- Low and ISQG-High for lead in sediment samples collected for the Regional/ Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009) 120

Figure 37.	Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of zinc compared with ISQG- Low and ISQG-High for zinc in sediment samples collected for the Regional/ Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009) 121
Figure 38.	Locations of regional/background sample sites of Rifle Creek and Spring Creek (SPC). Sites that exceeded ISQG-Low sediment for copper are shown by red stars and those that complied with ISQG-Low sediment for copper are shown by green stars
Figure 39.	Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for arsenic (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for arsenic (green stars)
Figure 40.	Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for cadmium (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for cadmium (green stars)
Figure 41.	Sampling sites of Leichhardt River Verification Program (13-14 November 2009). The insert map shows the LR10 site, where the concentration of arsenic exceeded ISQG-Low. LR10 is identified as being contaminated for human health
Figure 42.	Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for nickel (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for nickel (green stars)
Figure 43.	Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for lead (red stars), sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for lead (green stars)
Figure 44.	Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for zinc (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for zinc (green stars)
Figure 45.	Total concentration of arsenic compared with NEPM HIL Level E for arsenic in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 46.	Total concentration of cadmium compared with NEPM HIL Level E for cadmium in sediment samples (<2 mm fraction) collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 47.	Total concentration of copper compared with NEPM HIL Level E for copper in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 48.	Total concentration of zinc compared with NEPM HIL Level E for zinc in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 49.	Total concentration of lead compared with NEPM Level E for lead in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)
Figure 50.	Total concentration of zinc compared with NEPM HIL Level E for zinc in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)

# **List of Tables**

Table 1.	ANZECC/ARMCANZ ISQG-Low and ISQG-High trigger values for sediments 47
Table 2.	Summary of input variables for visual MINTEQ speciation modelling
Table 3.	Details of the legend on the sampling map
Table 4.	NEPM Soil Investigation Levels (HIL = Health Investigation Level and EIL = Ecological Investigation levels)
Table 5.	Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code maximum levels of metals and metalloid contaminants in aquatic foods (FSANZ 2010)
Table 6.	Summary of water conditions at the sampling sites
Table 7.	Summary ranges of pH, EC, and hardness of water over the sampling periods in the Leichhardt River, urban discharge, and tributaries from the mine lease (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 8.	Summary ranges of pH, EC, and hardness of water over the sampling periods in the tailing seepage ponds (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 9.	Summary ranges of DOC and major anions in water over the sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 10.	Summary of water quality of samples collected 27–28 July 2010
Table 11.	Concentrations of arsenic ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled at Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 12.	Concentrations of arsenic ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled at Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 13.	Concentrations of cadmium ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 14.	Concentrations of cadmium ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled in creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 15.	Concentrations of copper ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 16.	Concentrations of copper ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 17.	Concentrations of lead ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled at the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 18.	Concentrations of lead ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 19.	Concentrations of nickel ( $\mu$ g/L) on surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 20.	Concentrations of nickel ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

Table 21.	Concentrations of zinc in surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 22.	Concentrations of zinc in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease and tailing seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 23.	Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines over five sampling periods for arsenic, cadmium, and lead (November 2008–June 2010) 85
Table 24.	Summary of sites at the tributaries from the mine lease and seepage ponds exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)
Table 25.	Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding trigger values for cadmium for 95% and 90% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods
Table 26.	Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding trigger values for copper for 95% and 90% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods
Table 27.	Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding trigger values for lead 95% and 90% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over two sampling periods 89
Table 28.	Summary of sites at the tributaries from the mine lease and seepage ponds exceeding trigger values for arsenic for 95% species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods
Table 29.	Summary of sites of urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds exceeding trigger values for copper for 95% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods
Table 30.	Summary of sites at tributaries from mine lease exceeding trigger values for lead for 95% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over two sampling periods 91
Table 31.	Sites exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines
Table 32.	Sites where total concentrations exceeded trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)
Table 33.	Cadmium concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual MINTEQ from sites that exceeded the trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection and complied with 90% freshwater species protection
Table 34.	Copper concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual MINTEQ from sites that exceeded the trigger values for the 95% level of freshwater species protection
Table 35.	Lead concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual MINTEQ from sites that exceeded the trigger values for 95% species protection 98
Table 36.	Comparison of arsenic and cadmium concentrations measured in 0.45 $\mu$ m fractions and by the DGT technique and calculated by visual MINTEQ at sites exceeding trigger values for 95% species protection
Table 37.	Comparison of copper and lead concentrations measured in 0.45 $\mu$ m fractions, evaluated using the DGT technique and calculated by visual MINTEQ at sites exceeding trigger values for 95% species protection

Table 38.	Metal and metalloid concentrations of water sampled in the Leichhardt River after the first flush event of the wet season in 2010 (3 January 2010)
Table 39.	Metal and metalloid concentrations in water sampled at tributaries from mine lease sites at first flush collection in the wet season of 2010 (3 January 2010)
Table 40.	Summary of sites exceeding ISQG-Low for metal and metalloid concentrations in 1M HCI extraction of sediment samples collected in 2007
Table 41.	Results for the total concentration and 1M HCl extraction of metals and metalloids in sediment samples collected 15–16 October 2009
Table 42.	Summary of sites exceeding ISQG-Low for 1MHCI extraction
Table 43.	Summary sites exceeding ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for total metal concentrations and 1M HCl extraction in sediment sampled for the Leichhardt River Verification Program 13–14 November 2009
Table 44.	Summary of sites exceeding ISQG-Low for 1M HCI metal and metalloid extraction concentrations in sediment sampled for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (November 2009)
Table 45.	Summary of sites complying with ISQG-Low for 1M HCI metal and metalloid extraction concentrations in sediment sampled for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (November 2009)
Table 46.	Summary sites exceeding or complying with the ISQG-Low for 1M HCl extraction of copper and lead in sediment samples (<63 $\mu$ m) collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (November 2009)
Table 47.	Results for total concentration and 1M HCl extraction of metals and metalloids in sediment sample (<63 $\mu$ m) collected from Rifle Creek Dam on 5 August 2009
Table 48.	Metal and metalloid 1M HCl extractable concentrations in sediment collected upstream from Rifle Creek Dam 5 August 2010
Table 49.	Results for total concentration and percentage of bioaccessibility (BAc%) of arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc in Leichhardt River sediment samples (< 250 $\mu$ m fraction) collected 15–16 October 2009
Table 50.	Summary of sites (<2 mm fraction) exceeding NEPM Level E from the Leichhardt River Verification Program
Table 51.	Results for total concentration and percentage of bioaccessibility (BAc%) of sediments in the $<250\mu{\rm m}$ fraction
Table 52.	Results of XANES fitting for Leichhardt River sediment samples
Table 53.	Aquatic toxicity results of water and water quality of samples collected by Xstrata on 24 July 2008
Table 54.	Aquatic toxicity results and water quality of samples collected by Xstrata on 7 October 2009
Table 55.	Aquatic toxicity results and water quality of samples collected 13-16 October 2009 142
Table 56.	Water quality of samples collected 13–16 October 2009 143

Table 57.	Summary of site-specific water quality criteria for copper predicted by the Biotic Ligand Model and direct toxicity assessment on water samples collected 13–16 October 2009 144
Table 58.	Summary of site-specific water quality criteria for copper predicted by the Biotic Ligand Model, direct toxicity assessment, and metal concentrations in water samples collected at Rifle Creek Dam 27–28 July 2010
Table 59.	Metal and metalloid concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual MINTEQ from Rifle Creek Dam that exceed the trigger values for 95% species protection for copper
Table 60.	Aquatic toxicity and sediment quality results of sediment sampled 4 September 2007 147
Table 61.	Toxicity results and metal and metalloid concentrations in sediment samples collected by Xstrata on 7 October 2009
Table 62.	Toxicity results and metal and metalloid concentrations in sediment samples collected on 13–16 October 2009
Table 63.	Metal and metalloid concentrations determined by the DGT technique and in the pore water of sediment samples collected on 13–16 October 2009
Table 64.	Aquatic toxicity and metal and metalloid concentrations in sediment at a Rifle Creek upstream site sampled 27 and 28 July 2010
Table 65.	A summary of the aquatic-toxicity test results of water at sites showing toxicity effects. 150
Table 66.	A summary on sediment toxicity test results at sites show toxicity effects
Table 67.	Standards and assumptions used for the calculations of exposure data of lead 154
Table 68.	Look-up table showing daily intakes derived from all ingestible sources and bioavailability data. Red cells indicate where the daily intake for a particular concentration of soil lead for a given bioavailability is exceeded
Table 69.	Total intake of lead from exposure to sediment (LR 10, <250 $\mu m$ fraction) when the Leichhardt River bed is dry
Table 70.	Potential associated lead with drinking Leichhardt River water adjusted for 10% bioavailability and normal food lead intake
Table 71.	Most elevated levels of cadmium in fish and lead concentrations exceeding maximum level (ML) (0.5 mg/kg) in fish
Table 72.	Amount (g) of fish for safe consumption assuming fish/biota is the only exposure pathway based on highest cadmium and lead found
Table 73.	Amount (g) of fish from all sites for safe consumption, assuming fish or biota is the only exposure pathway based on the median and 75th percentile of lead and cadmium found
Table 74.	Amount (g) of fish according to location for safe consumption assuming fish/biota is the only exposure pathway based on median and 75th percentile of lead and cadmium found

# List of Appendices

Appendix 1.	Water and sediment sampling maps (from Figure 17 and Table 3)	181
Appendix 2.	Hardness correction procedure for water	189
Appendix 3.	ICP-MS procedure	190
Appendix 4.	Quality assurance reports for water and sediment analysis	191
Appendix 5.	Water quality study results	193
Appendix 6.	Sediment quality study results	197
Appendix 7.	Aquatic toxicity results	222
Appendix 8.	Summary toxicity assessment and metal and metalloid concentrations in water and sediment at 5 sites at Leichhardt River. Samples were collected on 13-16 October 200 by CMLR	9 256
Appendix 9.	Results for heavy metals in fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates	266

# 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose

The Phase III study is part of the Lead Pathways Project, a research program being conducted by the Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation at The University of Queensland to investigate sources and pathways of heavy metals (primarily lead) to land, air, and water at Mount Isa (Noller et al., 2009). The University of Queensland was engaged by Xstrata Mount Isa Mines to undertake this work.

The Leichhardt River flows through the Mount Isa region where large copper, silver, lead, and zinc-bearing ore deposits occur (Conaghan et al., 2003; see Section 2.6.2.1). The urban area of Mount Isa with the city's sewage treatment plant and the Mount Isa Mines mineral extraction and processing facilities are located in the upper part of the catchment, as are areas of natural mineralisation and historical mining activities. Therefore, potential sources of contaminants into the surface water are from historical mine sediments, current mining activities, urban activities and natural mineralisation in the catchment.

Phase III investigated potential sources of lead and other metals and metalloids that contribute to the water and sediments in the Leichhardt River, and any sources of concern for community, pastoral, and ecological health. The study also identified if impacts from current discharges, residual historical mining sediments, urban activities, and natural mineralisation were of significance to the Leichhardt River.

The purpose of this study was to:

- 1. investigate the potential sources and pathways of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids in water from various tributaries leading into the Leichhardt River Catchment, particularly at, and below, Mount Isa City and the mine lease
- 2. assess the risk to human, pastoral, and ecological health from the contributions of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids using national guidelines and decision tree processes.

The National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS, 2008), the ANZECC/ARMCANZ Water Quality Guidelines (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) and the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines (QWQG, 2009), all identify management aims for protecting water resources. The strategy and guidelines also specify biological, water, and sediment quality for protecting a range of aquatic ecosystems. Site-specific risk assessments can be conducted to address human health, pastoral, and ecological concerns when water and sediment contaminants are identified at concentrations above the guideline levels. These assessments depend on site-specific conditions (NEPC, 1999) and the sediments must be technically classified as 'soils' for human health risk assessments.

This Phase III study assessed the sources and potential impact of metal and metalloid additions to the Leichhardt River fluvial system on human, pastoral, and ecological health so appropriate management practices can be implemented to protect environmental values. The broad objectives of the study were:

- 1. to ensure the continued health and wellbeing of residents of the Mount Isa community
- 2. to ensure continued pastoral activities with no adverse effects on livestock or other activities
- 3. to ensure the continued health of aquatic ecosystems that live in the water and sediments in the Leichhardt River
- 4. minimise the impact of existing mine sediments resulting from historical mining practices and any current discharges.

This study aimed to indicate the extent of the distribution of metal and metalloid contamination within the Mount Isa region and the Leichhardt River Basin. (Figure 1) and their pathways, and assess the potential resulting risk to human, pastoral, and ecological health. This was achieved by a site assessment processes that (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000):

- identified environmental values to be protected in a particular water body
- selected sampling sites, pathways, and sampling timelines
- conducted the sampling and analysis program to understand the distribution of heavy metal and metalloid contamination within the study area and their pathways
- assessed heavy metal and metalloid concentrations and water quality of the Leichhardt River and its tributaries using filtration and applying water hardness adjustment
- determined the predicted bioavailability factor of total concentrations in river soil and sediment using bioaccessibility-adjusted concentrations for human health risk

- measured metal and metalloid concentrations in sediment extracted by cold 1M hydrochloric acid (HCI) to assess against the low and high Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines (ISQG)
- determined the speciation of heavy metals for aquatic biota using the Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films technique (DGT) to give a prediction of bioavailability
- conducted aquatic toxicity assessments on water and sediments at selected sites following decision tree processes to evaluate the significance of water and sediment status and assess locations of potential ecological effects
- conducted desktop human-health risk assessments using bioaccessibility as an indicator of bioavailability to understand the site-specific potential toxicity of lead and other metals and metalloids to human health
- conducted desktop risk assessments of pastoral health using comparing water and sediment concentrations in irrigation and livestock drinking water with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines.

#### 1.2 Background

The Leichhardt River, located in north-west Queensland, flows north into the Gulf of Carpentaria and lies within the northern Australian tropical climatic zone (Figure 1). At Mount Isa, the Leichhardt River is part of a fluvial system, which is subject to seasonal river flow and flooding during the annual wet season. The flow regime of the Leichhardt River is ephemeral (Figure 2).

Almost all rain in the southern Gulf region falls within two or three months of the year, normally January and February (high flow), with smaller falls in December and March (base flow) and contraction of water to isolated pools during the dry season (nil flow) from April to November (Figure 3). The southern Gulf region has a dry tropical savannah climate, with distinct, highly variable wet and dry seasons. The river fluvial material has a dry, exposed surface, which technically becomes soil for most of the year before the January rainfall.

Most water bodies and their aquatic ecosystems in the Leichhardt River are temporary due to a combination of high temperatures and evaporation rates. Cyclones and rain depressions also have a profound influence on total rainfall. This influence was illustrated in January 2009 when rainfall was more than five times the average. Without these extreme weather events, some sections of the river may not receive any water or connecting flows for several years.

Immediately downstream from Mount Isa is the man-made reservoir Lake Moondarra, which was constructed on the Leichhardt River in 1959. Lake Moondarra provides the water supply for Mount Isa City and local industry, including Mount Isa Mines (Fountain, 1994). Lake Moondarra is also a popular recreational location for activities such as boating, fishing and swimming. The Lake Moondarra reservoir is operated by Mount Isa Mines on behalf of the Mount Isa Water Board (MIWB, 2010).

The dry Leichhardt River catchment results in little groundcover to prevent erosion; therefore the initial river flow and Lake Moondarra can also both be highly turbid. Clear Water Lagoon is used as a biological filter as part of the program for treating water from Lake Moondarra to meet Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG, 2004). The water from Lake Moondarra enters the lagoon through reed beds and other aquatic plants that remove suspended solids by filtration, producing clear drinking water even when the Leichhardt River is in flood. This lagoon barrier system has a capacity of about 40 days' water supply and has operated successfully for forty years (Fountain, 1994).

#### 1.2.1 Historical contamination

Sediment from the Leichhardt River has been contaminated by historical mining activities (Mount Isa Mines, 2003). Tailings discharges, use of waste rock for construction in and around the riverbed, and reinforcing banks and stormwater discharge channels have all contributed to the current sediment quality of the river. Approximately 40,000 tonnes of tailings were discharged into the river during the 1940s and process waste continued to be discharged into the river during the 1950s and 1960s (Mount Isa Mines, 2003).

Surveys conducted in 1973 to determine the extent of metal dispersion in sediments provided a useful background to the state of the river before any significant remedial projects. Mount Isa Mines undertook a number of sediment removal projects throughout the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s, removing up to 100,000 tonnes of material from the river (Mount Isa Mines, 2003). The Leichhardt River Management Plan was developed in 1993 as a joint project between the Mount Isa City Council (MICC), the Queensland Government, and Mount Isa Mines to address issues, including historical contamination. The plan committed Mount Isa Mines to remove any further contamination as it became exposed in the riverbed.



Figure 1. Regions adopted from the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines. Leichhardt River is in the Gulf River drainage division and Leichhardt River Basin (QWQG, 2009)



#### Figure 2. Generic flow duration curve for an ephemeral stream in Queensland (QWQG, 2009)

A 2002 survey (Mount Isa Mines, 2003) showed that sediment quality had improved since the previous major survey in 1973, although there was an area of concern between the Grace Street Bridge and the Alma Street crossing. The intensive surface sampling of this area in 2002 showed a number of locations within this stretch of the riverbed were in excess of the then Queensland Environment Protection Agency's thresholds for contaminated land. In 2002, excavations in the riverbed were recommended (Mount Isa Mines, 2003) to better define the extent of contaminated material and to remove it. Phase I of the Lead Pathways Project started in 2007. Phase I assessed the extent and significance of contamination of the Leichhardt River sediments and soils from lead and other heavy metals and metalloids for human and ecological health (Noller et al., 2009).

In June 2008, Mount Isa Mines completed the Leichhardt River Remediation project, removing 120,000 tonnes of historical mine sediment material and disposing of it on the mine lease. A grid sampling of the entire remediation area was undertaken in 2007. Sampling of the remediation area was repeated after the 2008/09 wet season to verify that all exposed historical mine sediment was removed. A follow-up protocol was established to conduct annual post-wet season sampling through to 2011 to ensure river flows and riverbed and bank erosion do not uncover any more historical mine sediments (Mount Isa Mines, 2008).

#### 1.2.2 Potential sources of contaminants

Ephemeral discharges supply water to the Lake Moondarra storage reservoir and are affected by a range of upstream activities. These activities include mine site seepage, town seepage, urban stormwater from Mount Isa City, input from the sewerage treatment system, and historic mining contaminants stored within the Leichhardt River and its tributaries (Figure 4).

#### 1.3 Basis for managing the Leichhardt River

This study identified and assessed the significance of all potential water exposure pathways of metals and metalloids for members of the population that may have contact with the Leichhardt River. The assessment followed the potential pathways through to human contact endpoints, assessing the hazards and risks of exposure to the metals and metalloids in the various sources of the water environment.

The basis for the human health risk assessment of the water and sediment compared the total concentrations of metals and metalloids against the health-related guideline values (ADWG, 2004). Based on present knowledge, the recommended guideline values do not result in any significant risk to health over a lifetime of consumption. This approach was considered to be the most applicable for this study because the sample sites include recreational open space in the river, Lake Moondarra, and Clear Water Lagoon where occasional direct consumption of water may occur. However, the majority of people living in Mount Isa City consume potable water from the storage at Clear Water Lagoon, which is demonstrated to be safe to human health (ADWG, 2004).



Figure 3. Mount Isa rainfall (mm) for (a) 2007; (b) 2008; (c) 2009; (d) 2010; and (e) the period 2007–2010 (BOM, 2011)



#### Figure 4. Typical conceptual model showing inputs of contaminants to a river (Batley et. al., 2003)

The basis for assessing pastoral risk was to use the guidelines for irrigation and livestock drinking water described by ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000). The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines have also developed a risk framework for ecological health. The guidelines for ecological health are based on the water quality of the aquatic ecosystem and the level of protection for the ecosystems. In this study, the total concentrations of metals and metalloids in the water were compared with trigger values at 95% protection levels for 'slightly to moderately disturbed systems', as recommended by ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000). When these concentrations were exceeded, the generic decision tree process for metal toxicant assessment was followed, including aquatic toxicity assessment. The processes are summarised in Figure 5.

# 1.4 Environmental values, indicators, and water quality guidelines and objectives

#### 1.4.1 Environmental values

Environmental values to be protected in a particular water body need to be identified (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). Environmental values are site-specific and are highly dependent on local factors, including land use and the preexisting condition of the catchment relative to its position on the pristine-to-highly degraded continuum. In line with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines, the environmental values for the study are broadly defined as:

- aquatic ecosystems
- irrigation and livestock drinking water
- recreation and aesthetics
- fishing
- water sports
- drinking water sources
- cultural and spiritual values.

#### 1.4.2 Indicators

Physico-chemical and toxicant indicators have traditionally been identified as appropriate indicators for water quality (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). For this study, the indicators were identified as:

- pH
- electrical conductivity (EC)
- dissolved organic carbon (DOC)
- water hardness
- concentrations of sulfate, metals and metalloids.


# Figure 5. Process showing relationship of environmental values and site-specific risk assessments for water quality

Biological indicators are also important because they provide a direct measure of ecosystem health. Protecting aquatic ecosystems from toxic substances can be achieved by adapting water quality guidelines based on aquatic toxicological studies for local conditions. The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision-tree processes for water and sediment both identify that toxicity assessment is required when the guidelines are exceeded, after being adjusted for bioavailability or speciation effects.

Biological indicators should complement physical and chemical indicators. ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) describes indicators for biological assessment and provides guidance for determining acceptable levels of change to estimate the relative condition of the ecosystem. For some environmental values, it may not be feasible to protect all water resources to the same level, and the community may want to aim for different levels of protection for different resources. The identified levels of protection should be reflected in the management goals and the water quality objectives for a particular resource. ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) recognises that three levels of protection are required for aquatic ecosystems, based on ecosystem condition.

The highest level of protection (95% species protected) is for systems with high conservation and ecological values where management is expected to ensure there is no change in biological diversity, relative to a reference condition. For aquatic ecosystems, the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines have been developed mainly for the second and third levels of protection: slightly to moderately disturbed ecosystems and highly disturbed ecosystems. For highly disturbed ecosystems that cannot feasibly be returned to the second level of protection, the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines provide advice on deriving alternative guidelines that give lower levels of protection.

Ecotoxicological testing is used to show the effects of toxic substances in water and sediment on single species, multispecies, and community bioassays (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). Both acute toxicity and chronic toxicity responses may be appropriate and relevant for selecting suitable organisms for toxicity testing. Aquatic assessment needs to be conducted with a range of species that reflect different trophic levels of the aquatic habitat of the test sites, following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000), which recommend using a minimum of five taxa from at least four taxonomic groups. The choice of species for testing needs to take into account the similarity to locally occurring species of aquatic biota within the Leichhardt River ecosystem.

### 1.4.3 Water quality guidelines

A water quality guideline is a recommended numerical concentration limit or statement to support and maintain a designated water use (ANZECC/ARMCANZ 2000). Water quality guidelines include chemical and physical

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

parameters in water and sediment and biological indicators. Water quality guidelines are used for assessing water quality and to determine water quality objectives that protect and support the environmental values of the water resources, and against which the performance of water quality improvement activities can be measured. Water quality parameters can be divided into those with a direct affect on organisms and animals (e.g. pH, heavy metals and temperature) and those with an indirect affect (e.g. nutrients, turbidity and enrichment with organic matter). The direct or indirect nature of these affects has important implications for management, and how guidelines are derived. Some physical and chemical stressors can also indirectly modify the toxicity of other contaminants. While specific guidelines are not provided for this these stressors, ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) provide guidance on how to take them into account.

The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines divide Queensland divided into several regions (Figure 1). Although some regional and sub-regional guidelines have been developed, there are no regional guidelines for the Gulf region, due to limited local water quality data (QWQG, 2009). In the absence of regional guidelines, the QWQG (2009) advises that the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) default freshwater types and decision process should be used. However, this process is not particularly useful for the Leichhardt River catchment due to the catchment's high salinity and hardness levels, compared with temperate freshwater. The only alternative is to create site-specific guidelines for water quality (QWQG, 2009) and to use the default ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines as a starting point.

The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines providing some confidence that there will be no significant impact on the environmental values of water bodies if the guidelines are achieved. Exceeding the guidelines indicates there is potential for an impact, but does not provide any certainty that an impact will or has occurred. In areas where protection of aquatic ecosystems is a designated environmental value, ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) recommends direct assessment of the biological community to assess determine whether ecosystem integrity is maintained, threatened, or compromised by contaminants.

#### 1.4.4 Water quality objectives

Water quality objectives are the specific water quality targets agreed between stakeholders, or set by local jurisdictions, which become the indicators of management performance. Normally, only indicators relevant to the environmental issues or problems facing the resource are selected for deriving water quality objectives. Water quality objectives protect the designated environmental values of resources and are usually based on information from the guidelines.

Developing site-specific water quality guidelines for the Leichhardt River catchment requires science-based water quality criteria (QWQG, 2009), but can be modified by other inputs such as social, cultural, economic, or political constraints. Some of these inputs may be intangible and, therefore, hard to quantify. However, ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) considered these intangible inputs to be valid to the management. Modifying guidelines to establish water quality objectives is normally carried out through cost–benefit analyses involving input from stakeholders or local jurisdictions.

An additional consideration for setting water quality objectives for the Leichhardt River catchment was the water quality required to meet management goals and to protect environmental values established further downstream. The water quality required to support local environmental values may not be sufficient to support downstream environmental values, particularly for chemicals that persist in the environment or where downstream ecosystems are more sensitive to contaminants.

The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) management framework for applying guidelines, as shown in Figure 6 was used.

### 1.5 Frameworks for assessment

#### 1.5.1 Framework for drinking water guidelines

Water quality guidelines protect water for human consumption. Water for consumption in Mount Isa is treated at the point of storage in Clear Water Lagoon (MIWB, 2010). Drinking water is managed by the scheme outlined in Figure 7 (ADWG, 2004) and meets their guidelines. Although the majority of the population drink potable water, there are occasions when members of the population may consume water directly from the Leichhardt River. For this reason, the Leichhardt River water quality is compared against the ADWG (2004) guidelines, even though the river water is not treated as potable water. In addition, river sediment in a <2 mm fraction or a <250 µm fraction (Ng et al., 2010a,b) was compared against the NEPM Level E Health Risk Investigation Level E for recreational use of land (Noller et al., 2009). This comparison evaluated the health risk from exposure to river soil



Figure 6. Management framework for applying water quality guidelines (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)



Figure 7. Framework for drinking water (ADWG, 2004)

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

to be evaluated when dispersed in the water column, assessing the dose contribution of lead and other metals and metalloids in the particulate phase (>0.45 µm fraction) of water. During the dry season (Figure 2) riverflow is diminished or ceases altogether and river sediments are exposed. Under these conditions suspended solids levels in water can increase dramatically.

#### 1.5.2 Framework for recreational water quality and aesthetics

Water quality guidelines are necessary to protect water bodies for recreational activities, such as swimming and boating, and to preserve their aesthetic appeal. Water quality guidelines are used to determine the suitability of a water resource for recreational purposes. Waters contaminated with chemicals that are either toxic or irritating to the skin or mucous membranes are unsuitable for recreational purposes. Recreational water should have a pH in the range 6.5–8.5 and dissolved oxygen content greater than 80% (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000; NH&MRC 2008). Guidelines for drinking water values are only used as a guide to deriving chemical values applicable to recreational water. The drinking water quality guideline values are based on the daily consumption of 2 L of water. When applying these values to recreational water exposure, consumption of 100–200 mL per day should be considered (NH&MRC, 2008).

Recreational water bodies should be aesthetically acceptable to recreational users (Figure 8). The water should be free from:

- visible materials that may settle to form deposits
- floating debris, oil, scum and other matter
- substances producing objectionable colours, odours, taste, or turbidity
- substances and conditions that produce undesirable aquatic life (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000; NH&MRC, 2008).



#### Figure 8. Adapted from the guidelines for managing risks in recreational water (NH&MRC, 2008)

#### 1.5.3 Framework for irrigation and livestock drinking water

Irrigation and livestock watering are the major agricultural uses of water from the Leichhardt River. Minor amounts of water are used for other production purposes, such as mixing pesticides, fertilisers, veterinary formulations, and livestock dietary supplements. In the Leichhardt River catchment, both the irrigation and livestock industries may also rely on the use of groundwater and surface water resources. Therefore, the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2010) guidelines for irrigation and livestock drinking water are applicable to both surface and groundwater quality.

The management framework for applying these guidelines includes defining the management aims, determining appropriate trigger values, defining water quality objectives, and establishing a monitoring and assessment program.

#### 1.5.4 Framework for protection of aquatic ecosystems

ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) water quality guidelines for protecting aquatic ecosystems can be developed by following the generic process shown in Figure 9. There is currently no basis for assigning specific water quality guidelines for the Leichhardt River catchment in the Gulf region of Queensland (QWQG, 2009). Accordingly, it is necessary to follow the process in Figure 9 for deriving site-specific guidelines given by ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) and QWQG (2009).

# Determining appropriate guideline trigger values Define primary management aims · Define the water body (scientific information, monitoring data, classify ecosystem type) Determine environmental values to be protected Determine level of protection Identify environmental concerns toxic effects nuisance aquatic plant growth maintenance of dissolved oxygen effects due to changes in salinity Determine major natural and anthropogenic factors affecting the ecosystem Determine 'management goals', often defined in biological terms Determine appropriate guideline trigger values for selected indicators · Determine a balance of indicator types (based on the level of protection and local constraints) Select indicators relevant to concerns and goals Determine appropriate guideline trigger values (low-risk concentrations of contaminants or stressors, which may depend on level of protection) Determine specific indicators to be applied Apply the trigger values using (risk-based) decision trees or 'packages' from the guidelines Water quality monitoring data Site-specific environmental information Effects of ecosystem-specific modifying factors: biological assessment physical and chemical stressors toxicants

sediments

# Figure 9. Flow chart of the steps involved in applying the guidelines for protection of aquatic ecosystems (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

## 1.6 Site-specific risk assessment process

Following the decision process in Figure 9, the next step is to undertake a site-specific risk assessment to identify and assess the significance of all potential contributions of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids that may enter the Leichhardt River and determine the effects on ecological health (Figure 10).



a Local biological effects data and data from local reference site(s) that closely match test site generally not required in the decision trees

Figure 10. Procedures for deriving and refining trigger values, and assessing test sites, for physical and chemical stressors and toxicants in water and sediment. Dark grey shading indicates most likely point of entry for users requiring trigger values (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

### 1.7 Components of the Phase III study and specific objectives

#### 1.7.1 Water quality study

The water quality study aims to identify the major inputs of lead and other metals and metalloids that may be risks to achieving or maintaining designated water qualities. The study area extended from higher in the catchment upstream of the city and Mount Isa Mines to downstream below Lake Moondarra.

The specific objectives of the water quality study component of the Phase III project were to:

- investigate the potential sources and pathways of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids into the Leichhardt River catchment
- determine the contribution of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids in water from all potential sources
- assess the risks of the contaminants to human, irrigation and livestock drinking water and ecological health
- validate the approach of using DGT for assessing the bioavailable metal concentrations that are relevant to the aquatic ecosystem.

#### 1.7.2 Sediment quality study

Sediments are a sink for contaminants and influence surface water quality. Sediments can act as a source of contaminants to benthic organisms and can potentially be transferred to the aquatic food chain. Sediments in the Leichhardt River have contaminant loads derived from natural mineralisation and historical mining activities as well as current urban and mining discharges. This study is designed to assess the contaminants in sediments and their sources.

The specific objectives of the sediment study component of Phase III are to:

- determine the nature and extent of lead and other heavy metals and metalloid contaminants in the sediments
- assess the risks of the lead and other heavy metals and metalloid contaminants to human, irrigation and livestock drinking water and ecological health.

#### 1.7.3 Water and sediment ecotoxicology study

This study is designed to conduct aquatic toxicity assessments at selected sites (including background and contaminated sites), when trigger values or predictions of bioavailability of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids are exceeded, as described by the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision tree processes.

The specific objectives of the water and sediment ecotoxicology study component of Phase III are to:

- determine the nature and extent of toxicity of contaminants in the waters that are relevant to the water quality part of the study
- determine the nature and extent of toxicity of contaminants in the sediments that are relevant to the sediment quality part of the study.

The Phase III study commenced in 2006 and continued until 2011 with most study being undertaken from 2008–2010. The choice and justification of methodologies that were selected are described in the following chapter together with study sites for sampling programs and analytical quality control and assurance procedures.

# 2. Methodology

## 2.1 Selection methods for determining water quality

For the water quality component of the study, the potential sources and pathways of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids into the Leichhardt River catchment were investigated. Water quality measurements associated with the water quality guidelines were taken.

Total elemental concentration data was used to compare against the guidelines for metals and metalloids in drinking water (ADWG, 2004) and in water used for irrigation and livestock (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). There are no guidelines for metals and metalloids in water used for recreation (NH&MRC, 2008) except that metal and metalloid concentrations should not exceed ten times that of the drinking water criteria.

The assessment of water quality for protecting the aquatic ecosystem required a combination of analytical methods based on following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision-tree process for assessing metal toxicity in water (Figure 11). An initial step in the decision process was to calculate site-specific trigger values for cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, nickel, and zinc by using a correction for hardness, calculated from the calcium plus magnesium concentrations (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), to the default ANZECC/ARMCANZ guideline value. Aquatic toxicity decreases with increasing water hardness as soluble metal is precipitated. The default trigger value (for a hardness of 30 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>) can be adjusted for the actual (measured) water hardness values using the simple algorithms given in Appendix 2.



Figure 11. Generic decision tree for assessing metal toxicant in water (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

The next step in the decision-tree process (Figure 11) used the measurements of metals and metalloids in the filtered (<0.45  $\mu$ m) fraction (measured by filtration through a 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane) to determine 'dissolved' concentrations. Following the <0.45  $\mu$ m filtration step, the bioavailable concentrations of metals and metalloids in waters were predicted by chemical measurement or calculation by speciation modelling. Metals in labile forms were measured using the in situ DGT technique (Section 2.4.1.1) and its measurement is indicated as C<sub>DGT</sub>. Soluble metalloids such as arsenic could not be measured using in situ DGT deployment. Prediction of metal and metalloid speciation in water, particularly for arsenic when the DGT technique could not be used, was determined by the geochemical model program, Visual MINTEQ (USEPA, 1999). The physico-chemical properties of pH, EC, water hardness, and DOC concentrations were input data to the model. Using the in situ DGT deployment enabled a relationship between pH and the chemical forms of the metals and metalloids in the water to be determined. The measured or predicted bioavailable concentration may be used as a further step in the decision process to calculate site-specific trigger values.

Water samples were collected from the Leichhardt River during the pre-wet, wet, and post-wet seasons. Water sampling was also conducted from the three tailings seepage ponds at the bases of Tailing Dams 5, 7, and 8 to determine the total, filtered, and predicted bioavailable metal and metalloid concentrations at potential drainage points to Leichhardt River. However, seepage is, pumped back to the respective dams. An understanding of the concentrations of metals and metalloids in the different fractions of water samples assisted evaluating potential sources of metals and metalloids in the Leichhardt River and its environmental values. During the dry season, levels of suspended solids will increase and cause similar increases to metal and metalloid total concentrations.

### 2.2 Selection methods for determining sediment quality

For sediment quality component of the study, the potential sources and pathways of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids into the Leichhardt River sediment were reviewed. Sediment quality measurements associated with sediment quality guidelines were taken.

Sediment was sampled according to ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) to give a <63 µm fraction from each whole collected sediment sample. This gives the most homogenous fraction of the whole sediment sample that is relevant to ecological effects from exposure of biota to metals and metalloids (Noller et al., 2009).

Physical properties of sediment such as grain size and density are important in sedimentation and transport processes. Typically, sediments are characterised as coarse material, clay/silt and sand fractions, on the basis of separations using 2 mm and 63 µm sieves. Particles >2 mm may consist of shells, rocks, wood and other detrital materials, and are usually not a source of bioavailable contaminants. The clay/silt fraction has a high surface area and because of this its surface chemistry is more likely to absorb organic and heavy metal and metalloid contaminants. Particles <63 µm are more commonly found in the gut of sediment-ingesting biota. A significant metal fraction may be present in detrital, mineralized form (i.e. the >2 mm fraction), but this is generally considered of little ecological importance as it is usually unavailable for bioaccumulation (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000).

The decision tree for undertaking sediment quality assessment given in Figure 12 was followed (ANZECC/ ARMCANZ 2000) with a focus on identifying the issues and protection measures necessary to manage them. The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines (ISQGs) are trigger values that, if exceeded, prompt further action as defined by the decision tree (Figure 12). The two kinds of trigger levels that are indicated are:

- 1. ISQG-High, which is defined as the median of effects data from a large sediment toxicity database and represents a concentration above which there is a high probability of biological effects and below which effects are possible.
- 2. ISQG-Low, which is derived from the lower 10th percentile of toxicity data from a US effects database and represents a concentration below which there is a low probability of effects.



Figure 12. Decision tree for the assessment of contaminated sediment (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

As a first step, the total metal and metalloid concentrations were compared with the ISQG-High and ISQG-Low trigger values (Table 1). If the low trigger value was exceeded and the concentration was greater than background levels, then management or remedial action or further investigation was required. Further investigation considers the contaminant that is bioavailable in the <63 µm fraction or can be transformed and mobilised into a bioavailable form, allowing comparison of contaminant concentrations adjusted for bioavailability with the ISQG-Low trigger value (Figure 12). In the case of metals and metalloids, the bioavailable concentration was estimated by extraction with cold HCI (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). This was considered to be a more meaningful measure than the total contaminant concentration, particularly for the Leichhardt River where natural mineralisation in sediment is commonly found (Noller et al., 2009). When the ISQG-Low trigger value was exceeded by the concentration after it was adjusted for predicted bioavailability (by extraction with 1M HCI), acute and chronic toxicity testing was undertaken (Figure 12). Toxicity testing enabled the response of the test organism to the bioavailable fraction in sediment to be assessed and was considered to be the most reliable measure of potential effect of metals and metalloids (Noller et al. 2009).

Metal or Metalloid	Sediment ISQG-Low (mg/kg)	Sediment ISQG-High (mg/kg)
Antimony (Sb)	2	25
Arsenic (As)	20	70
Cadmium (Cd)	1.5	10
Cobalt (Co)	NA	NA
Copper (Cu)	65	270
Lead (Pb)	50	220
Manganese (Mn)	NA	NA
Nickel (Ni)	21	52
Zinc (Zn)	200	410

#### Table 1. ANZECC/ARMCANZ ISQG-Low and ISQG-High trigger values for sediments

### 2.3 Selection of methods for assessing aquatic toxicity of water and sediment

The water and sediment ecotoxicology component of the study, the nature and extent of toxicity of contaminants in the waters relevant to the water quality part of the study were determined. The nature and extent of toxicity of contaminants in the sediments relevant to the sediment quality part of the study were also determined.

To protect aquatic ecosystems, the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines identify the need to protect species that have special significance in the aquatic ecosystem. The guidelines recommend that a suite of organisms is used for aquatic testing. The ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision trees for assessing contaminated water (Figure 11) and sediment (Figure 12) both indicate that biological effects assessment and acute and chronic toxicity testing may be required when guideline values for metals and metalloids are exceeded. The following sections describe the toxicity testing approaches that were selected for water and sediment. In the first instance, toxicity testing of both water and sediment was undertaken to determine how toxic the media was. When the decision tree water or sediment quality process indicated that effects to aquatic biota are likely, toxicity testing can confirm this. The ecotoxicity data can also be used for subsequent site-specific development of guidelines by showing the sensitivity of different species to both acute and chronic responses of metal constituents in both water and sediment.

### 2.3.1 Toxicity testing of water

The Queensland Environmental Protection Agency (now Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management (DERM)) has published a guide for direct toxicity assessment of wastewater discharges (QLD EPA, 2009), which is consistent with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines. The EPA outlines the following features (QLD EPA, 2009):

- 1. effluent series dilution
- 2. normalising for salinity (not important for freshwaters)
- 3. collection and use of effluent and bulk natural water
- 4. appropriate species end points
- 5. acute effects

- 6. sub-lethal effects
- 7. chronic effects
- 8. exposure times
- 9. appropriate test species.

Selecting suitable test aquatic organisms for toxicity testing is governed by the available species and the fully validated test methods, accepted by DERM, that conform to US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 1994), Organisation of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD, 2004), and American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM, 1994) guidelines. For aquatic toxicity testing, it is usually accepted that sensitive end-point species that occupy key steps in the trophic chain are identified (USEPA, 1998). Apart from the need to protect species that have special significance in the aquatic ecosystem, ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) criteria recommend that a suite of at least five organisms from at least four taxonomic groups be used with, as a minimum, an invertebrate, a fish, and an alga.

Ecotox Services Australasia (NATA accredited) undertook both the acute and chronic testing using well-validated standardised test methods. The species that were selected for aquatic toxicity testing of water were:

- acute toxicity testing 48-h EC50 test using the freshwater Cladoceran (*Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia*) (Bailey et al., 2000; ESA, 2008; USEPA, 2002a)
- 72-h growth inhibition test using the green alga (*Selenastrum capricornutum*) representing two levels of food chain (chronic testing) (ESA, 2010a; USEPA, 2002b)
- 7-d growth inhibition of the freshwater aquatic duckweed Lemna disperma (ESA, 2010b; OECD, 2006)
- Fish Imbalance Test (FIT) modified 96-h acute assay using *Melanotaenia splendida* to observe if fish are stressed or have loss of balance (ESA, 2009; USEPA, 2002a)
- chronic toxicity testing one test species 7-d reproductive impairment test using the freshwater Cladoceran (*Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia*) as test species to show longer-term effects on the organism (Bailey et al., 2000; ESA, 2008; USEPA, 2002b).

Water samples for toxicity testing were collected in clean 4 L glass bottles supplied by Ecotox Services Australasia, with chain-of-custody forms and returned within 48 h of collection in eskies containing chilled ice bricks.

#### 2.3.2 Toxicity testing of sediment

The Handbook for Sediment Quality Assessment (Simpson et al., 2005) covers a number of aspects of relevance to sediment toxicity testing in tropical locations in Australia. However, the main focus of this reference is marine and estuarine sediments, with a minor section on freshwater sediments. A review of the status of toxicity testing assessment for marine ecosystems by Adams and Stauber (2008) is equally relevant to tropical freshwater category. In this Phase III study, test species were selected based on their availability from Ecotox Services Australasia and their compatibility assessing the aquatic ecosystem of Leichhardt River, particularly the ephemeral sediment habitat.

Before Phase I of the study (Noller et al., 2009), Ecowise (2005, 2006) undertook an extensive aquatic ecosystem monitoring program was undertaken to monitor freshwater fish and macroinvertebrates at six sites in a 60 km section of the Leichhardt River above and below the mine. Ecowise (2005, 2006) followed the Queensland Department of Natural Resources and Mines AusRivAS (Australian River Assessment Scheme) protocols for rapid sampling of macroinvertebrates (NRM, 2001). The results were assessed against reference sites using the Qld AusRivAS model (NRM, 2001). This protocol is based on the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) recommendation to use aquatic macroinvertebrates as the key biological indicator group for assessing the health of Australian rivers and streams.

A total of 51 different macroinvertebrate taxa were collected during the 2005 program (Ecowise 2005, 2006). Of all the macroinvertebrates collected, Insecta were the dominant (38 taxa), followed by Gastropoda (5 taxa) and Crustacea (4 taxa).

There are limitations to using the AusRivAS model with ephemeral streams. Therefore, Ecowise was unable to provide an ecological assessment for the Leichhardt River sites. Advice from NRM highlighted the limited reference data collected from the Mount Isa region and Leichhardt River to develop the models.

Univariate data analysis undertaken by Ecowise (2005, 2006) showed the sites were in moderate to poor ecological condition, with average taxa richness. The seven taxa collected at all sites during both sampling events

were a mixture of moderately sensitive and pollution tolerant taxa. Acarina are considered to be moderately sensitive to poor water quality, while species such as Corixidae and Pleidae are air breathers and are not as susceptible to poor water quality.

During the September sampling event, the two control sites had dried out and the taxa richness was higher at the impact (mine site) and recovery (downstream) sites. This result may be due to the surviving macroinvertebrates finding refuge in deeper waterholes during the dry season where the interaction of predation and competition can markedly alter community composition.

Despite the macroinvertebrate identifications undertaken by Ecowise (2005, 2006), identification of suitable end or test species for aquatic toxicity testing of sediment, for this Phase III study was not clear. The features needed for assessing the aquatic ecosystem of the Leichhardt River, and particularly the dry sediment habitat, are:

- a macroinvertebrate species that burrows and is compatible with high pH (8.0) and reasonable levels of salinity and EC arising from the presence of sulfate and chloride
- a species of macroinvertebrate that exists and emerges in water in contact with sediment and can be a food source to higher species e.g. fish.

In ephemeral waters, many aquatic macroinvertebrates have developed strategies to survive the dry periods when surface water disappears. Many organisms burrow down into the saturated sediments where interstitial water is permanently available. This aspect was considered to be important in selecting a suitable test aquatic organism for toxicity testing of the Leichhardt River sediments. There is no validated aquatic toxicity test protocol for the macroinvertebrate species that exist in tropical northern Australia, including the Leichhardt River catchment. However, *Chironomid* sp. are potentially a suitable tropical species for a test protocol (Smith et al., 1999) and are a suitable test species for tropical conditions as they are a source of food for fish.

Due to a lack of fully validated test methods for tropical aquatic sediment macroinvertebrates that conform to USEPA (1994), ASTM (1994) and OECD (2004) guidelines for sediment toxicity at the time of undertaking the study, an alternative approach was required. Ecotox Services Australasia undertook acute aquatic toxicity testing using three species, based on tests for sediments suggested by ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000):

- 10-d whole sediment survival toxicity test using the estuarine amphipod *Corophium spp.*, and Test Protocol ESA SOP 109, based on USEPA (1996). *Corophium spp.* is a burrowing organism that is compatible with the high pH found in the Leichhardt River (pH 8.0). It has been fully validated as a test species for both fresh and marine waters, is used internationally, and is sensitive to heavy metals (Surtikanti and Hyne, 2000; USEPA, 1996)
- 48-h acute (survival) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* and Test Protocol ESA SOP 101 (Bailey et al., 2000; ESA, 2008), based on USEPA (2002a) and 72-h IC50% concentration of cells for the green alga *Selenastrum capricornutum* (ESA, 2010a) based on USEPA (2002b). These species emerge in water and can be a food source to higher species, including fish. Tests were conducted by Ecotox Services Australasia on elutriate prepared from the dry sediment and according to the US EPA procedure (USEPA, 1991). According to this procedure, sediment is mixed with dilution water at a ratio of 1:4, stirred and allowed to settle for two hours before preparing a dilution series and seeding it with test organisms.
- The reference to the use of dry sediment in these two methods needs to be understood as being moist riverbed sediment collected in situ and kept chilled at 4 °C before dispatch for ecotoxicity measurement. Metal and metalloid concentrations were measured in elutriates from the 2007 sediment tests, but not in the 2009–2010 tests.

The available test species appeared to be good model organisms for sediment toxicity assessment (Noller et al., 2009). However, it has become apparent that *Corophium spp*. as a test species was affected by sharp features of sediment material found in Leichhardt River and caused injury. This meant that there was no burrowing test species available for measuring toxicity of tropical sediments during the remaining period of the study. Therefore, as an alternative, the number of species used to assess toxicity of the water elutriate of sediment was increased.

The chironomid (Diptera) is a well-recognised species suitable for assessing sediment toxicity (Burton, 1991). A sediment toxicity test has been developed to evaluate contaminated Australian freshwater sediments (Smith et al., 1999). A study with chironomid species has also been undertaken to test metal bioavailability from tropical acid sulfate sediments (Peck et al., 2002) and response to tropical acid streams (Cranston et al., 1997). These studies show promise for future applications to bridge the gap of the number of species required to meet the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines for assessing sediment toxicity (Figure 12).

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

Chironomids are a potentially suitable burrowing test species for measuring toxicity of the Leichhardt River and is widely accepted internationally as a suitable test organism. Chironomid species have been identified in the Leichhardt River (Ecowise 2005, 2006) and are proposed as suitable test species for future testing of sediment toxicity. Some preliminary evaluation of availability of chironomid species was undertaken in the Leichhardt River in July 2010. A preliminary examination was undertaken of the presence of chironomid species in Leichhardt River sediments and were found at all five sites examined.

#### 2.3.3 Bioaccumulation of metals and metalloids in aquatic biota

In August 2010, Xstrata Mount Isa Mines Limited commissioned a study of bioaccumulation of heavy metals and metalloids in fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates in the Leichhardt River in and around Mount Isa (FRC Environmental, 2010). Physico-chemical water quality data and the concentration of 14 metals and metalloids in water, sediment, and biota (fish, crayfish, prawns, crabs, mussels, and algae) were sampled from 12 survey sites in late August and early September 2010 (Appendix 9). Algae, macroinvertebrates (including insects, crustaceans, and molluscs) and fish are key components of aquatic ecosystems and represent a range of trophic levels. They absorb and release metals, passing them through the aquatic food web. Therefore, they are indicators of waterway health and the bioavailability of heavy metals. The results of this project have been incorporated into this Phase III study and contributed to the understanding of heavy metals in Mount Isa waterways.

The study area incorporates the Leichhardt River catchment upstream of Lake Moondarra, and extends downstream approximately 100 km to Lake Julius (Appendix 9). The catchment area included the Mount Isa Mines operations, Mount Isa City, large areas of cattle grazing, and the upstream water supply dam (Rifle Creek Dam) in the headwaters of the catchment. Lake Moondarra, 30 km downstream of Mount Isa, also provides potable water to Mount Isa, with water pumped from the lake into Clear Water Lagoon (immediately adjacent to the lake) for treatment and supply (Section 1.2).

Selected aquatic invertebrates (freshwater crayfish, prawns, crabs, and mussels), fish, algae, sediment and water quality were assessed during the dry season of 2010. Twelve sites were surveyed, including two background sites and 10 test sites (Appendix 9). Results from earlier surveys conducted in 2005 and 1978–1992 were also compared with the 2010 survey (FRC Environmental, 2010).

### 2.4 Bioavailability of metals and metalloids in water

Although the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) water quality guidelines are based on unfiltered and 0.45 µm filtered metal and metalloid concentrations, determining bioavailable fractions of metals in water is recognised as an important way to predict the effects of metals and metalloids on biota. Research has demonstrated that not all dissolved metals in water appear to contribute to acute toxicity (Christiansen et al., 2011). In addition, there are mitigating factors from both environmental and intracellular effects that can result in a decrease in the effective of toxicity of metals and metalloids to aquatic biota. For example, several water quality variables including water hardness, alkalinity, and pH are known to influence the toxicity of metals and metalloids to aquatic biota and these variables are considered to be correction factors in the generic decision tree for assessing metal toxicity (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). In natural waters, metals and metalloids exist in a variety forms, mostly as cations that are complex by inorganic and organic ligands (Florence, 1982) with a small proportion of free metal ions. It has been reported that the toxicity of metals (e.g. cadmium, copper, iron, and manganese) is proportional to their free ionic activity (MZ<sup>+</sup>) rather than to the total concentration (Campbell, 1995). The bioavailability of cadmium, copper, and zinc was reduced in the presence of organic chelators (Zamuda et al., 1985). In addition, soluble metal forms that can be disassociated from organic and inorganic ligand-bound metals have been reported as contributing to the toxicity or show uptake by biota (Meyer et al., 2007).

Chemical speciation is key to understanding the reactivity, mobility, bioavailability, and toxicity of metals and metalloids in the aquatic ecosystem (Hamilton-Taylor et al., 2011). Bioavailability is a concept that has been variously defined; however, it is commonly assumed to mean the ability to be taken up by, and cross, a biological membrane (Batley et al., 2004). Meyer (2002) defined the bioavailable fraction as the amount of metal or metalloid in water that is accumulated and correlated well with the observed toxicity in aquatic biota.

#### 2.4.1 Techniques to determine bioavailability of metal and metalloids in water

Various analytical techniques have been used to determine metal and metalloid speciation in water, including:

- anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) (Figura and McDuffie, 1980)
- ion-exchange resins, chromatographic methods (Tills and Alloway, 1983)

- ion-selective electrodes (ISE) (Bakker and Pretsch, 2002)
- competitive chelation (Workman and Lindsay, 1990)
- filtration and ultrafiltration (Buffle et al., 1992).

Most of these methods have limitations from either chemical interference or poor limits of detection and disturbance of solution equilibria (Batley, 1989). Losses of metals may occur through the adsorption onto surfaces of filter in the filtration technique (Batley et al., 2004).

Potentiometric techniques used for determining trace metals free ions were mainly restricted to measuring Cu<sup>2+</sup> using the ISE (Koryta, 1990). Recently, the ISE technique has been developed and improved in detection limits for Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> (Puntener et al., 2004) and Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> (Bakker and Pretsch, 2002). Voltammetric techniques such as ASV are not species-specific (Mota and Correia dos Santos, 1995) as they measure the electrochemically-labile species of metal in solution. Labile copper determined by ASV was correlated with bioavailable copper (Tubbing et al., 1994 and Lage et al., 1996). However, limitations of the ASV technique include that it is 'non-robust', has adsorptive interferences, has possible artefacts of dissolved oxygen removal, and is unsuitability for in situ application (Batley et al., 2004). Dialysis or filtration techniques work on the principle of only allowing certain species to cross a semi-permeable membrane (Buffle et al., 1992; Cox et al., 1984). Separation can be on the basis of either ion size or charge (Minnich and McBride, 1987). The Donnan Membrane Technique (DMT) is designed to follow the approach of Fitch and Helmke (1989) to determine speciation of metals in soil solution. It involves a continuous flow system in which the donor-side and the acceptor-side of the DMT cell are continuously flushed with solution across the membrane. The free ions in the acceptor-side solution are then determined using ICP (Temminghoff et al., 2000).

Several geochemical models have been used to determine metal and metalloid speciation in solution. Geochemical models rely on chemical equilibrium programs such as GEOCHEM (Parker et al., 1995), MINEQL+ (William and Drew, 1992), MINTEQA (Allison and Brown, 1995) or WinHumic where metal speciation is calculated using known solution composition and making significant assumptions about the interaction of metal with DOC. The WHAM/Model VI (Tipping, 1994) and ECOSAT/NICA-Donnan (Bennedetii et al., 1995) are equilibrium-based models, calibrated using published data on metals and proton binding to fulvic and humic acid. The BLM (Biotic Ligand Model) was developed to incorporate metal speciation and the protective effects of competing cations into predictions of metal bioavailability and toxicity to selected aquatic organisms (Paul et al., 2007). The BLM model has been incorporated in the Environmental Risk Assessment Procedures (USEPA, 2007a) to give freshwater quality criteria of copper.

The following section discusses the rationale of techniques selected for this study including DGT, the geochemical speciation model Visual MINTEQ, and the BLM model that takes metal and natural ligand interactions into account in the prediction.

#### 2.4.2 Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films technique

A different approach using DGT was first introduced by Zhang and Davison (1995) to give in situ measurement of soluble trace metal forms in natural water. The DGT technique is based on Fick's First Law of Diffusion. An ion exchange resin layer is separated from the bulk solution by an ion-permeable hydrogel membrane of thickness  $\Delta g$ (Figure 13). Metal ions that diffuse through the gel membrane are rapidly bound by the resin gel. If the gradient concentration remains constant during the deployment time (t), the flux of ions diffusing through the gel layer (F) is given by Equation (1) and the concentration of ions in bulk solution (C) can be calculated using Equation (2) (Zhang and Davison, 1995)

(1)

$$F = DC/\Delta g$$

$$C = M \Delta g /(DtA)$$
(2)

where D is the diffusion coefficient of a given metal ion, A is the area of gel membrane exposed to the bulk solution, and M is the mass of metal accumulated in the resin layer. M is determined from the elution of resin gel by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).



# Figure 13. Schematic representation of diffusive gradient in thin films principle in water (Zhang and Davison, 1995)

Since 2000, the DGT technique has been used to assess the bioavailable fractions of metals and metalloids, including arsenic cadmium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc in natural waters. The study by Divis et al. (2007) reported that the concentrations of cadmium, chromium, lead, and zinc measured by DGT were proportionally related to the biological uptake of metals by an aquatic moss *Fontinalis antipyretica* in natural water. The DGT technique was shown to be a surrogate for bioaccumulation of cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc by mussels (Webb and Keough, 2002). The accumulation of copper by the gills of rainbow trout was compared with DGT-measured copper (Luider et al., 2004). Tusseau-Vuillemin et al. (2004) suggested that copper toxicity to *Daphnia magna* could be predicted by the DGT measurement. Aluminium measured by the DGT technique was found to predict the gill uptake of aluminium more accurately than conventional measurements of aluminium. As evidenced by strong linear correlations with the fish physiological response, aluminium increased blood glucose levels and decreased plasma chloride (Røyset et al., 2005). Warnken et al. (2007) suggested that better prediction by the DGT technique was attributed to the measurement being in situ.

In addition, DGTs can be placed directly into sediment and used to give in-situ measurement of metal concentrations in the pore water (Camusso and Gasparella, 2006; Happer et al., 1998). The results from a study on assessing heavy metal pollution and ecotoxicological status of rivers using the DGT technique by Roig et al. (2011) showed a good correlation was found between toxicity values of extracts (from sediments and DGTs) and heavy elements levels in sediments. The results supported the suitability of using combined spot sampling and the DGT technique for assessing the chemical and ecotoxicological status of aqueous environments.

#### 2.4.3 Geochemical speciation model - Visual MINTEQ

Speciation modelling provides a means to consider metal and metalloid speciation in the 0.45 µm filtered fraction of the water sample (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). The soluble and potentially bioavailable forms of metals and metalloids in waters were predicted by calculation using the equilibrium speciation program Visual MINTEQA2 ver 2.61 (update August 2009) to confirm DGT measured concentrations and when the DGT technique was not available (USEPA, 1999). The basic measured chemical properties of the water sample were used. Visual MINTEQ is a Windows-based program of MINTEQ2 version 4, which was released by the Centre of Exposure Assessment Modeling (CEAM) USEPA in 1999. The model incorporates the NICA-Donnan model for predicting the equilibrium-speciation of metal ions and the fraction associated with humic and fulvic substances. The model has been used in previous studies to calculate metals species in water (Wu et al., 2011; Unsworth et al. 2006; Romero and Jonson, 2005); the calculated metal species concentrations were compared with those measured using the DGT technique.

#### 2.4.4 Biotic Ligand Model

The toxicity and bioavailability of metals have been recognized as being dependent on water chemistry. The formation of inorganic and organic complexes and sorption on particle surfaces can reduce metal toxicity. Therefore, metal toxicity can be highly variable and depend on ambient water chemistry (Batley et al., 2003). The relationship between metal speciation and toxicity has been used to predict the range of effects on selected species of aquatic biota for site-specific water quality assessment (Batley et al., 2003). The BLM is a mechanistic approach that greatly improves the ability to predict toxicity for certain metals and generate site-specific water quality criteria (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). The model was developed to incorporate metal speciation and the protective effects of competing cations into predictions of metal bioavailability and toxicity (Di Toro et al., 2001). The BLM is based on the premise that toxicity is primarily related to the amount of metal bound to a biochemical receptor on an organism (e.g. gill membrane in a fish). Many water quality characteristics, including pH, alkalinity, DOC, and hardness, can affect the bioavailability, and thus the toxicity, of a metal such as copper.

The BLM was developed using published information on metal toxicity and biotic ligand accumulation as a function of water chemistry. The Biotic Ligand for copper (Acute copper-BLM) is the most advanced model. Playle et al. (1993) identified that the site of acute toxicity for fathead minnow was the sodium ion uptake channels in the gill membrane. The absorption of copper on gill surfaces in the BLM has been calibrated to measurements of copper accumulation on the gill surfaces over a wide range of water quality conditions (Playle et al., 1992). MacRae et al. (1999) established a dose response relationship to determine the biotic ligand LC50 in rainbow trout. In the BLM, metal toxicity is defined as the amount of metal necessary to result in accumulation at the biotic ligand LC50. While others models were capable of predicting metal bioaccumulation on the gill in short-term exposures (Playle et al., 1993), the BLM was the first to include aquatic toxicity prediction.

The BLM was used to calculate the chemical speciation of a dissolved metal including complexation with inorganic and organic ligands, and the biotic ligand. The biotic ligand represents a discrete receptor or site of action on an organism where accumulation of metal leads to acute toxicity. The BLM can therefore be used to predict the amount of metal accumulation at this site for a variety of chemical conditions and metal concentrations i.e. the inorganic, organic, and biotic speciation of metals in aquatic settings.

The conceptual framework of BLM defines accumulation of metal at the biotic ligand at, or above, a critical threshold concentration, which leads to acute toxicity. This critical accumulation on the biotic ligand is also termed the LA50, the lethal accumulation of metal on the biotic ligand that results 50% mortality in a toxicological exposure. The LA50 is expressed in units of mol/g wet weight of the biotic ligand. The BLM includes inorganic and organic metal speciation and competitive complexation with the biotic ligand. The amount of dissolved metal required to reach this threshold will vary, depending on the water chemistry. Therefore, the BLM can also be used to predict the concentration of metal that would result in acute toxicity within a given aquatic system. The ability of the BLM to account for site-specific variations in the bioavailability and toxicity of copper has also lead the USEPA to develop a BLM-based approach for calculating the water quality criteria for copper (USEPA, 2007a).

#### 2.5 Study sites, sampling program, and analytical test methods for water

#### 2.5.1 Study sites for water sampling

A total of 10 sites were chosen for the Leichhardt River sampling (Figure 14):

- three sites upstream Mica Creek, Leichhardt River upstream, and Rifle Creek Dam (not including DGT sampling)
- four sites within Mount Isa City 23rd Avenue crossing, east of 19th Avenue crossing, Isa Bridge, and Moondarra Crossing east
- one site at the Moondarra Junction, two sites at the Lake Moondarra and Clear Water Lagoon.

#### 2.5.2 Water sampling

Both surface water and water table samples in the riverbed were collected at Moondarra Junction. Three seepage ponds including Tailing Dams 5, 7, and 8 were also included (Figure 14). Samples were collected over the period May 2008 to February 2009 with three sampling times: pre-wet season (November 2008); wet season (January and February 2009); and post-wet season (May 2009). DGT units were deployed in situ at each site and water samples were collected concurrently for the filtration and physico-chemical measurements.



Figure 14. Study sites for water sampling

#### 2.5.3 Water filtration

An awareness of contamination sources was particularly relevant for all steps in the sampling procedure (e.g. personal hygiene, sweating, and non-smoking). The syringes and filters were rinsed twice with sample water. The first part of the filtered samples was discarded to reduce the chance of contamination from the impurities in the filters and syringe itself. Sample bottles were also rinsed using filtered water.

Water samples were filtered into 75 mL bottles using 0.45  $\mu$ m disposable filters (Minisart Sartorius) onsite. Approximately 100 mL of water samples were filtered into 150 mL bottles using 0.45  $\mu$ m filter (polyethersulfone Minisart Sartorius No. 16533) onsite. Unfiltered and filtered samples were preserved with ultra pure nitric acid (to pH <2) before undertaking metals and major cations analysis using ICP-MS. Blanks were also prepared to assess contamination from sampling or filtration processes. All water samples were stored at 4°C until analysed. Another 100 mL of 0.45  $\mu$ m filtered samples were stored at 4 °C for major anion determinations (sulfate, chloride, nitrate, and total alkalinity for bicarbonate/carbonate). These samples were chilled immediately and kept frozen within 24 h until analyses were undertaken by the Environment Water Section (FSS) of Queensland Health's Scientific Services Laboratories.

#### 2.5.4 Measurement physico-chemical properties of water

The pH and EC of water was determined onsite using calibrated field meters. The concentrations of metals and metalloids and major cations in filtered and unfiltered water samples were determined using ICP-MS at the National Research Centre for Environmental Toxicology. The total water hardness was calculated using filtered calcium and magnesium concentration data. The hardness values of water samples were used to adjust the trigger value for aquatic toxicity in fresh water as described by ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000). Monitoring data for ammonia in water and pH from selected sites was also examined to compare with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guideline for ammonia in freshwater.

#### 2.5.5 DGT in situ measurement in water

Three to five DGT units (supplied by DGT Research Ltd) and one temperature data logger were deployed in the water column at each site for seven days. Water samples were collected at each site concurrently for metal and water quality analysis and aquatic toxicity testing.

The concentrations of metals in the river water were estimated from the capacity of the gel and the calculated deployment time. In this study, DGT units were deployed in surface river and creek water for seven days and in tailing seepage for five days. The DGT units were tied in PVC cages with holes (Figure 15). Polyester fly mesh was used to cover the ends of the cages to prevent fish damaging the DGT gels. A temperature data logger (HOBO Ware Lite) was deployed at each site to record the water temperature over the period of sampling. The temperature logging interval was set at 30 minutes.

The DGT units were intended for a single use. When they were recovered, the DGT units were rinsed with Milli-Q water and placed into clean ziplock plastic bags and kept cool at 4°C until sample recovery was undertaken at the laboratory. The caps of the DGT units were removed and the resin gel retrieved with plastic tweezers and placed in the acid-washed 1.5 mL vial, adding 1 mL of 1M 'Suprapur' nitric acid solution. Samples of the DGT eluate were analysed by ICP-MS (Appendix 3) to give the concentration of metals in the solution.

The measurement and calculation of metal concentrations by DGT deployment followed the methods described by Zhang et al. (1998) and presented in Section 2.4.1.1. The concentrations of metals in the water (referred to as  $C_{DGT}$ ) were calculated from the eluted concentration using the procedure of Zhang and Davidson (1995) and Zhang et al. (2001).



#### Figure 15. DGT in situ deployment

#### 2.5.6 DGT in situ deployment in sediment and effective concentration calculation

Three DGT units (supplied by DGT Research Ltd) and one temperature data logger were deployed in the sediment in the river. The DGT units and temperature data logger (Hobo Ware Lite) were immersed in sediment (at an approximate depth of 2 cm depth) for approximately two days. Sediment samples at each site were collected concurrently for pore water extraction and other analysis including aquatic toxicity, sediment moisture content, sediment quality, and heavy metal concentrations. There were two sites with no water, so sediment samples were collected. The sediment samples were rewetted to the moisture content at 100% according to Hooda et al. (1999) and allowed to equilibrate for 24 h before deployment of the DGT. The DGT window was smeared with moist sediment. Three replicates were carried out for each site. The blank DGTs were carried out by retrieving DGT that were not in contact with soil and analysing them in same way as deployed DGT.

The measurement and calculation of metal concentrations by DGT deployment followed the methods described by Zhang et al. (1998) and was presented in Sections 2.4.1.1 and 2.5.5.

The total dissolved metal (referred to as  $C_{SOL}$ ) of pore water in sediment was extracted by centrifuge from the same sediment samples used to determine  $C_{DGT}$  by DGT units. The sediment samples were centrifuged at 1,509 g for five min using an Eppendorf Centrifuge 5818. The centrifuged water was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and the concentrations of metals were analysed by ICP-MS (Appendix 3). The pore water ( $C_{SOL}$ ) concentrations of metals and metalloids, however, are not directly comparable to the elutriate concentrations (Section 2.3.2).

The concentrations of metals in sediment obtained using DGT (referred to as  $C_{DGT}$ ) was calculated from the eluted concentration using the procedure of Zhang et al. (2004). Temperature data over the deployment time were retrieved from the data logger and average temperature was used to select the diffusion coefficients of metal ions in the DGT gel. These diffusion coefficients were used to calculate the concentration of metals determined by the DGT technique using Equation (2).

To further interpret the DGT measurements in sediment, the  $C_{DGT}$  (concentration directly measured by the DGT technique) is converted to an effective concentration ( $C_E$ ) using Equation (3). The  $C_E$  represents the hypothetical elemental concentration that is effectively available from the solution-phase and solid-phase.

$$C_{\rm E} = C_{\rm DGT} / R_{\rm diff} \tag{3}$$

Where,  $R_{diff}$  is the ratio of the mean interfacial concentration due to resupply by diffusion ( $C_{diff}$ ) only to the initial or bulk concentration ( $C_{sol}$ ).  $R_{diff}$  is determined by the geometry of the device, deployment time, and sediment tortuosities (Zhang et al., 2001) and calculated using the 2D DIFS (DGT induced fluxes in sediment and soils) model by Harper et al. (1998).

The principles for calculating  $C_{E}$  were described by Zhang et al. (2004). The following parameters were used in the 2D DIFS model to calculate  $R_{diff}$  and  $C_{E}$  for five sediment sites (refer to Table 63):

- $P_c$  (particle concentration) = m (soil g) / V (cm<sup>3</sup>) was 0.9 ± 0.2 gcm<sup>-3</sup> based on actual values of weight and volume of five sediments wet sediment samples collected concurrently with the DGT unit in situ deployment. Wet samples were weighed and then dried in the oven at 100 °C.
- D<sub>d</sub> are the diffusive coefficients of the labile metal species in the diffusion layer (DGT Research Ltd, Lancaster, UK)
- $D_o$  (diffusive coefficients of water) where  $D_o = D_d/0.85$  (gel contains 85% water)
- $D_s$  (sediment layer coefficients cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) where  $D_s = D_o/(1 \ln \phi_s^2)$
- $ø_s$  (sediment porosity) =  $d_p/(P_c + d_p)$  calculated by the DIFS model.

Fixed parameters were used according to Zhang et al. (2004): a large value for  $T_c$  (sediment time respond) of 10<sup>10</sup> and a small value for  $K_d$  (distribution ratio of sorbed to dissolved concentration at equilibrium) of 10<sup>-10</sup>,  $\Delta g$  for standard gel is 0.94 and  $d_p$  (density of soil particle) is 2.65 gcm<sup>-3</sup>.

#### 2.5.7 Speciation modelling with Visual MINTEQ

Section 2.4.1.3 indicated that speciation modelling with Visual MINTEQ was used when the DGT technique was not available to predict the various metal and metalloid species concentrations in surface water. The input components and parameters (Table 2) used for the speciation calculation in Visual MINTEQ followed the earlier MINTEQ user's manual. Gustafsson (2010) and Wu et al. (2011) used Visual MINTEQ to calculate the metal-humic complexes for cadmium, copper and lead that were present at the lower dissolved organic carbon concentrations.

Input variable	Description/ unit/ set up parameters	References
pH, temperature	Fixed at measured value	
Alkalinity	Measured value (mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	
Dissolved metal concentrations	Concentrations of metals in 0.45 $\mu$ m filtered fraction	
Major cations	Calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium	
Major anions	Sulfate, chloride, nitrate	
Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)	DOM-SHM model (Default values set up of 10% humic acid and 90% fulvic acid)	Martin-Mousset et al., (1997)

Table 2.	Summary	of input	variables	for visual	MINTEQ	speciation	modelling.
	Gainnan	or input	Tana Sico	ioi vioaai		opoolation	modoling

#### 2.6 Sampling program, study sites, and analytical test methods for sediment

#### 2.6.1 Sediment sampling program

Six sediment sampling programs were conducted in the Leichhardt River from November 2007 to November 2009. A description of the sampling programs is presented in Table 3 and site locations are shown in Figure 16. In 2007, the sediment-sampling program was conducted as part of the Phase I study (Noller et al., 2009). Six sediment samples were collected (L). In October 2009, sediment samples at five sites were assessed for aquatic toxicity (AT) and five samples analysed for metal and metalloids (DGT-S) (Table 3).

Samples of about 1 kg were collected as a composite of five individual sub-samples, using a stainless steel scoop. The samples were contained in polyethylene zip lock bags and forwarded to the Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation Laboratory. Soil and sediment samples were prepared according to the NEPC (1999), Standards Australia (2005), and ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) recommended procedures. Sediments and soils were dried at 60°C. The whole dried soils were initially sieved to separate the <2 mm size material. In 2010 the < 250 µm sized material was used for analysis of bioaccessibility if the sediment posed a human health risk assessment (Noller et al., 2009) (refer to Section 2.7). Ng et al. (2010a,b) stated that the < 250 µm size material is appropriate for bioaccessibility measurement. A portion of the whole dried sediment was then sieved and the <63 µm fraction was retained for analysis.

The dried and sieved sediment samples were digested with aqua regia according to the USEPA (method 200.2) procedure, and the concentrations of metals and metalloids in digested solution was determined by the ALS Laboratory, using ICP-MS and appropriate certified reference materials. Sediment samples (<63 µm fraction) were also extracted with cold 1M HCI according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) procedure for sediments. These samples were analysed for metals and metalloids at the ALS Laboratory by ICP-OES to enable comparisons with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) ISQG-Low trigger values (Table 1).

#### 2.6.1.1 Leichhardt River verification sediment sampling program

In November 2009, Xstrata conducted the Leichhardt River Verification Program along a length of the Leichhardt River, after the Leichhardt River Remediation Program. Seventy-nine sediment samples were collected from Alma Crossing to Moondarra Junction (LR) (Table 3).

Table 3.	Details of the legend on the sampling ma	р
----------	--	---

Groups	Site descriptions
	Water
DGT-T	In situ DGT deployment in water and water sampling and aquatic toxicity test in October 2009. Site details are presented in Appendix 5, Table A21.
DGT-W	In situ DGT deployment in water and water sampling in five seasons (November 2008–June 2010). Site details are presented in Appendix 5, Table A7.
T-W	Aquatic toxicity test for water samples collected at Rifle Creek and Rifle Creek Dam. Site details are presented in Appendix 5, Table A21.
	Sediment
L	Sediment samples collected for Phase I study (2007). Site details are presented in Appendix 6, Table A11.
DGT-S	In situ DGT deployment in sediment. Site details are presented in Appendix 6, Table A33.
T-S	Sediment samples collected concurrently with a toxicity test by CMLR and Xstrata (15–16 October 2009) and Rifle Creek and Rifle Creek Dam. Site details are presented in Appendix 6, Table A21.
LR	Leichhardt River verification samples taken along a length of the Leichhardt River (13–14 November 2009). Site details are presented in Appendix 6, Table A12.
RB	Regional and background stream sediment sampling program by Xstrata (11 November 2009). Site details are presented in Appendix 6, Table A16.
SS	Annual stream sediment samples conducted as part of the Mine Plan Commitments (by Xstrata 11 November 2009). Site details are presented in Appendix 6, Table A16.
58	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation – Sustainable Minerals Institute



Figure 16. Water and sediment sampling sites of the study. Details of the legend is presented in Table 3

#### 2.6.1.2 Regional and background sampling program

The effects-based sediment guidelines currently recommended by ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) are primarily based on a single, large biological-effects dataset originating from North American sediment data. With the operations of Xstrata Mount Isa Mines occurring within a unique, semi-arid and naturally mineralised environment, it is unlikely that the current guidelines will be applicable and suitable as an important tool in sediment quality management (QWQG, 2009). Indeed, the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines themselves suggest that the relevance of the adopted guidelines to Australia is yet to be determined and that the values are to be considered as only interim until verified by new, local data. The purpose of the regional background sediment sampling, undertaken by Xstrata Mount Isa Mines, was to obtain sufficient local data to make a preliminary assessment of sediment background quality for metals and metalloids (Xstrata, 2009). In November 2009, Xstrata conducted the Leichhardt River regional/background sediment sampling program and 29 sediment samples named RB1 to RB 29 were collected.

#### 2.6.2 Study sites for sediment sampling

#### 2.6.2.1 Geological character of reference locations for Mount Isa operations

The major geological units that upper catchments underlie the area (Figure 17) in which Xstrata Mount Isa Mines conducts its operational activities including, but not limited to, concentrating and smelting, are the Eastern Creek Volcanics and Myally Subgroup (Conaghan et al., 2003).

In the lower part of the catchments, minerals processing occurs over the Urquhart Shale and the Native Bee Siltstone. As catchment geology and land-use contribute to sediment chemical composition, catchments that contain similar geology to that within the Mount Isa operational area and which have been subjected to minimal disturbance (as far as practicable) have been selected in order to characterise sediment composition within a natural, mineralised environment. The Mica Creek (Figure 18) and Sybella Creek (Figure 19) catchments were selected for sampling. The upper reaches of the Mica and Sybella Creek catchments consist of the Queen Elizabeth Granite and the Eastern Creek Volcanics. The lower reaches of the Mica and Sybella Creek catchments consist of the Myally Subgroup, Breakaway Shale and Native Bee and other siltstones (Figure 20). Unfortunately, due to the Crystallena Fault, extension of the Urquhart Shale into areas of minimal disturbance is not observed. However, the Mica and Sybella Creek catchments remain valid catchments for comparison due to their otherwise similar geological character and the presence of numerous explorative prospects within these areas.

#### 2.6.2.2 Geological character of reference locations for George Fisher and the Handlebar Hill Open Cut

The major geological units that underlie the George Fisher and Handlebar Hill Open Cut operations in the upper catchment areas are the Myally Subgroup and the Eastern Creek Volcanics. The lower areas of the catchments in which George Fisher and Handlebar Hill Open Cut are situated consist of the Breakaway Shale, Urquhart Shale and Moondarra and other siltstones.

In order to detemine background sediment (no-effect) composition from areas that have been subject to minimal disturbance (aside from grazing), and which have similar geological character to those units described above, it was proposed to utilise sediment quality information obtained from Spring Creek as part of the annual stream sediment sampling as conducted per mining plan commitments.

#### 2.6.2.3 Background (no-effect) sample locations

Sediment samples were collected from major creek systems on and surrounding the Mining Lease. A map providing a regional context is presented in Figure 17. The detailed sampling locations are within Mica Creek Catchment (Figure 18); Mid-Leichhardt River (Figure 19), near Leichhardt River and Sybella Creek Junction (Figure 20); within Sybella Creek and upper Sybella catchment (Figure 21) and within Sybella Creek and upper Leichhardt River (Figure 22). Three additional samples were taken at Spring Creek (SPC) Bridge, First SPC Gully, and Second SPC Gully as part of the annual stream sediment program (Figure 17).



Figure 17. Sediment sampling locations for regional and background samples, Spring Creek Gully as the annual stream sediment program and Rifle Creek and Rifle Creek Dam sites



Figure 18. Sampling locations of regional and background samples within the Mica Creek catchment (RB1-11).



Figure 19. Sampling locations of regional and background samples along the mid-Leichhardt River catchment (RB12-16)



Figure 20. Sampling locations of regional and background samples near Leichhardt River and Sybella Creek Junction (RB17-18)







#### Figure 22. Sampling locations within Sybella Creek and Upper Leichhardt River

#### 2.6.3 Analytical testing methods for sediment

#### 2.6.3.1 X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurement of lead in sediment samples

Fifteen representative sediment samples collected from upstream to downstream of the Leichhardt River were selected for X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurement of lead compound and mineral forms using the synchrotron-induced X-ray absorption near edge spectroscopy (XANES) technique (see Phase II report for further details). The estimated range of lead concentration in these samples was 50–25,000 mg/kg, which included some contaminated sediments from the Phase I report (Noller et al. 1999), which were removed from Leichhardt River in 2008. All samples were analysed for lead concentration using ICP-MS before the XANES analysis. Samples were ground to less than 20 µm and placed in cell holders and covered with X-ray transparent tape (Nitto) for XANES analysis. The scans were recorded for a number of river sediments and lead model compounds. Different model compounds were prepared either directly, or diluted in an X-ray transparent substance, boron nitride. All samples were scanned at room temperature.

Lead L3-edge XANES spectra were collected at the Australian National Beamline Facility (BL-20B) Photon Factory, Tsukuba, Japan, over the energy range 13,000–13,150 eV (ring conditions: 2.5 GeV, 300-400 mA). BL– 20B was equipped with a channel-cut Silicon (111) monochromator, which was detuned 50% to reject harmonics. The monochromator step size was reduced to 0.25 eV per step in the XANES region (13,000–13 085 eV) to collect high-resolution spectra. XANES data for samples and model compounds were collected at ambient temperature and pressure in fluorescence, with the simultaneous collection of a lead metal reference foil for energy calibration. The first peak of the first derivative of the spectrum of elemental lead was assumed to be 13,035 eV.

Data analysis was undertaken using the EXAFSPAK suite of programs (George and Pickering, 2000). XANES spectra of model compounds and samples were background subtracted and normalised to edge jump, normalised to the absorbance value of the spline at 13,050 eV. Identification of lead chemical forms (Brown, 1999; Ohmsen, 2001) in the collected samples was achieved using statistical analysis of the lead L3-edge XANES spectra using the linear combination fitting technique. The fitting of linear combination of model spectra to the XANES sample spectra to calculate the percentage composition of lead compounds in each sample was undertaken using the program DATFIT (George and Pickering, 2000).

# 2.7 Health and ecological risk assessment of Leichhardt River sediment and water

The whole dried soils sieved to <2 mm size material and following 2010 to < 250  $\mu$ m were analysed for total concentrations of metals and metalloids following acid digestion (NEPM Level E) and also for bioaccessibility (%BAc) using PBET (Ruby et al. 1996) for human health risk assessment as described in Noller et al. (2009). Following 2010 the whole dried soils were sieved to <250  $\mu$ m, based on the recommendation for revision of the NEPM that this size fraction be used for human health risk assessment purposes (Ng et al., 2010 a, b). The results for total concentration were then bioaccessibility adjusted for comparison with NEPM Level E health investigation level for assessing soil contamination (Table 4).

Metal or Metalloid	Soil HIL (Level A)	Soil HIL (Level D)	Soil HIL (Level E)	Soil HIL (Level F)	Soil EIL (Int Urban)
Antimony (Sb)	NA	NA	NA	NA	-
Arsenic (As)	100	400	200	500	20
Cadmium (Cd)	20	80	40	100	3
Cobalt (Co)	100	400	200	500	-
Copper (Cu)	1,000	4,000	2,000	5,000	100
Lead (Pb)	300	1200	600	1,500	600
Manganese (Mn)	1,500	6,000	3,000	7,500	500
Nickel (Ni)	600	2,400	600	3,000	60
Zinc (Zn)	7,000	28,000	14,000	35,000	200

# Table 4.NEPM Soil Investigation Levels (HIL = Health Investigation Level and EIL = Ecological<br/>Investigation levels)

Level A — Standard residential with garden/accessible soil

Level D — Residential with minimal soil access

Level  $\mathsf{E}-\mathsf{Parks},$  recreational open space and playing fields including secondary schools

Level F — Commercial/Industrial

# 2.7.1 Health risk assessment of metal and metalloid uptake in aquatic biota from Leichhardt River

The study of bioaccumulation of heavy metals and metalloids in fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates in the Leichhardt River in and around Mount Isa was commissioned by Xstrata Mount Isa Mines Limited in August 2010 (Section 2.3.3: FRC Environmental, 2010). The report by FRC Environmental (2010) also included a health risk assessment of metal and metalloid bioaccumulation in fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates to identify if there were exceedances of the Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code (FSANZ, 2010), which gives the maximum levels of specified metal and metalloid contaminants in foods, including aquatic foods (Table 5). In addition, a health risk assessment was undertaken of particular metal and metalloid bioaccumulation levels in fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates where observed levels are considered to be of significance. The location of sampling sites and data for the health risk assessment on metals in aquatic biota from FRC Environmental (2010) is summarised in Appendix 9.

Table 5.Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code maximum levels of metals and metalloid<br/>contaminants in aquatic foods (FSANZ 2010)

Metal or metalloid	Maximum level (mg/kg)
Aluminium	N/A
Arsenic (inorganic)	
Crustacea	2
Fish	2
Molluscs	1
Barium	N/A
Cadmium	
Molluscs (excluding dredge or bluff oysters and queen scallops)	2
Copper	N/A
Iron	N/A
Lead	
Fish	0.5
Molluscs	2
Manganese	N/A
Mercury	
Crustacea	Mean level of 0.5*
Fish and fish products, excluding gemfish, billfish, southern bluefin tuna, barramundi, ling, orange roughy, rays, and all species of shark	Mean level of 0.5*
Gemfish, billfish, southern bluefin tuna, barramundi, ling, orange roughy, rays, and all species of shark	Mean level of 1*
Fish for which insufficient samples are available to analyse in accordance with clause (6) of Standard 1.4.1	1
Molluscs	Mean level of 0.5
Selenium	N/A
Silver	N/A
Vanadium	N/A
Uranium	N/A
Zinc (Zn)	N/A

\* A reference to a mean level is to the mean level of mercury in a minimum of 5 prescribed sample units as described in clause (6) of the FSANZ (2010), Standard 1.4.1. NA = not applicable.

### 2.8 Quality assurance and quality control in metals analysis

The appropriate quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) were applied in the metals analysis procedure on the ICP-MS. Triplicate spiked samples were included in the analysis and the recovery of these known addition were determined. Triplicate certified references samples (TM-28.3 and LGC6019) were included in each batch of samples. Triplicate blanks samples were also included to determined the background contaminant levels. Three blank DGT units were included in each batch of DGT deployment. Various certified reference materials were used in conjunction with sediment analyses together with internal standards and repeated samples. Details are given in Appendix 4.

# 3. Results

### 3.1 Study on metals and metalloids in waters

#### 3.1.1 Water chemistry

Table 6 summarises the water conditions of all sites during the sampling periods. The upstream sites were generally dry during the pre-wet season with flowing water after the start of the wet season and pooled water in the post-wet season period, until it was completely evaporated. Sites within Mount Isa City had flowing water during the short period of the wet season and pooled water, which sometimes lasted all year. Lake Moondarra, Clear Water Lagoon, and water storage and seepage ponds always retain water, although Lake Moondarra nearly depleted towards the end of 2008. All results for the study on metals and metalloids in water are given in Appendix 5.

Time	Season	Upstream sites	Sites within Mount Isa City	Downstream sites	Sites at creeks/ waterways	Seepage ponds		
		Fiv	e sampling peri	ods				
29/10/2008 to 7/11/2008	Pre-wet 2008	Dry	Pooled water	Flowing water	Dry	Contain water		
9/01/2009 to 17/02/2009	Wet season 2008–09	Flowing water	Flowing water	Flowing water	Flowing water	Contain water		
12/05/2009 to 20/05/2009	Post-wet 2009	Pooled water	Flowing water	Flowing water	Pooled water	Contain water		
13/01/2008 to 20/01/2008	Wet season 2010	Pooled water	Flowing/ pooled water	Flowing water	Flowing/ pooled water	Contain water		
21/05/2010 to 01/06/2010	Post-wet 2010	Pooled water	Flowing water	Flowing water	Pooled water/ dried	Contain water		
	Aquatic toxicity and first flush collection							
15/10/2009 to 20/10/2009	Aquatic toxicity & DGT study	Pooled water	Pooled water	Flowing water	Flowing/ pooled water	Contain water		
3/01/2010	First flush collection	Pooled water	Flowing/ pooled water	Flowing water	Flowing/ pooled water	Contain water		

#### Table 6. Summary of water conditions at the sampling sites

The water quality data (pH, EC, and water hardness) for water collected over the five sampling periods is presented for Leichhardt River, urban discharge, and tributaries from the mine lease (Table 7) and tailing seepage ponds (Table 8). The pH of water measured at all Leichhardt River sites was alkaline (range 7.0–8.5). The pH of water decreased slightly (0.5 unit) over the sampling period at the 19th Avenue site. However, the pH of water at Alma Crossing increased by 1.0 unit from the wet season to the post-wet season. At other sites, the change in water pH was not significant over the sampling period from pre-wet to post-wet seasons.

The EC gives an estimate of the amount of dissolved salt in the water. The EC of samples at upstream sites (Leichhardt River upstream and Mica Creek upstream) and downstream sites (Moondarra Junction, Lake Moondarra, and Clean Water Lagoon) were low and within the range for drinking water (<1000  $\mu$ S/cm) (ADWG, 2004). The EC of water sampled at the sites within Mount Isa City (19th Avenue, Isa Crossing, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, and Moondarra Junction) sampled in the pre-wet and post-wet seasons exceeded the drinking water guideline for EC (ADWG, 2004). The EC values of water at all sites collected in the wet season were significantly lower than those of pre-wet and post-wet season.

The water hardness of water samples collected over five sampling periods is presented in Table 7. The hardness at Leichhardt River sites within Mount Isa City was higher than for sites from upstream and downstream. The hardness of water at the points of urban discharge, the tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds (Table 7) to Leichhardt River was high and variable from season to season.

Sites	pł	1	EC (μS/cm)		Hardness (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )		
	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range	Median	
Leichhardt River upstream	7.3–7.9	7.4	114–420	200	32–128	84	
Mica Creek upstream	7.5–8.1	7.5	230–530	277	21–94	58	
19th Avenue	7.1–8.5	8.1	690–11,040	3,920	263–842	545	
23rd Avenue	7.6–8.6	8.0	192–1,037	434	123–281	161	
Isa Crossing	7.3–8.3	8.2	189–3,530	1,505	187–485	221	
Alma Crossing	7.3–9.3	7.9	203–958	711	51–230	149	
Davis Crossing	8.0–8.6	8.1	2,830–5,900	4,336	392–873	660	
Moondarra Crossing	7.7–8.2	8.0	350–6,900	1,224	265–1,096	526	
Moondarra Junction	7.7–9.3	8.0	364–6,900	455	61–294	90	
Lake Moondarra	7.3–8.4	8.1	253–499	303	43–122	78	
Clear Water Lagoon	7.3–8.4	8.0	160–322	245	43–71	64	
Urban dischar	ge						
Breakaway Creek upstream	7.5–8.6	8.2	2,610–7,480	4,165	501–622	580	
Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	7.3–8.2	8.0	1,697–7,110	1,724	282–785	623	
Breakaway Junction	7.4–8.6	8.4	1,983–6,220	4,990	257–524	375	
Tributaries from	m the mine lease	9					
George Fisher Creek	7.8–9.1	8.5	153–703	334	54–264	144	
King Gully Creek	7.4–8.2	7.8	346–1,860	1,103	94–436	265	
Lena Creek	7.6–7.7	7.6	161	161	65	65	
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	8.0	8.0	345	345	1,635	1,635	
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	7.7–8.0	7.9	451–14,750	10,950	132–8,468	4,300	

# Table 7.Summary ranges of pH, EC, and hardness of water over the sampling periods in the Leichhardt<br/>River, urban discharge, and tributaries from the mine lease (November 2008–June 2010)

Sites	рН	EC (μS/cm)	Hardness (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )
Drinking water guideline (ADWG, 2004)	6.5–8.5	746	N/A
Livestock drinking watering guideline (ANZECC/ ARMCANZ, 2000)	N/A	7,462	N/A
TV for 95% species protection (ANZECC/ ARMCANZ, 2000)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Degrees of hardness can be described as follows (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000):

- <60 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>: soft but possibly corrosive
- 60–200 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>: good quality
- 200–500 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>: increasing scaling problems
- >500 mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>: severe scaling.

# Table 8.Summary ranges of pH, EC, and hardness of water over the sampling periods in the tailing<br/>seepage ponds (November 2008–June 2010)

Sites	р	Н	EC (µS/cm)		Hardness (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	
	Range	Median	Range	Median	Range	Median
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5	7.2–8.4	8.3	4,260–11,620	9,840	1,790–5,040	3,630
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 7	7.1–8.1	7.8	6,900–13,300	11,700	3,310– 6,780	6,440
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 8	7.1– 10.4	7.8	4,120–8,210	7,778	935–3,180	2,450

Note: Seepage is controlled.

Table 9 shows the concentrations of major anions and DOC in water samples collected over five sampling periods vary over time. Four sites in the Leichhardt River within Mount Isa City, including 19th Avenue, 23rd Avenue, Davis Crossing, and Moondarra Crossing, had chloride concentrations that exceeded the trigger values for drinking water (ADWG, 2004). The results also show that three sites exceeded the drinking water guidelines for chloride at Breakaway Creek, namely the tributaries downstream north of Tailing Dam 3 and three from the seepage ponds at Tailing Dam 5, Tailing Dam 7, and Tailing Dam 8.

There was a wide range of sulfate concentrations for samples from the Leichhardt River (Table 9). A number of sites exceeded the drinking water guideline for sulfate (ADWG 2004): three sites from the Leichhardt River (19th Avenue, Davis Crossing, and Moondarra Crossing); three sites at the urban discharge (Breakaway Creek); two sites at the tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek and downstream north TD5); and three seepage ponds. None of the sites exceeded the drinking water guideline for nitrate. There is no drinking water guideline for DOC and bicarbonate.

# Table 9.Summary ranges of DOC and major anions in water over the sampling periods (November<br/>2008–June 2010)

	DOC	Chloride	Sulfate	Nitrate	Alkalinity	
Sites		(mg/L)				
Leichhardt River						
Leichhardt River upstream	3–7	10–45	10–33	0.5–0.9	81–140	
Mica Creek upstream	6–10	24–40	16–30	0.5–0.7	81–107	
19th Avenue	3–20	102– <b>1,200</b>	72–670	0.5–2.5	96–440	
23rd Avenue	4–26	10–116	15–31	<0.5	57–215	
Isa Crossing	5–22	74–308	60–254	0.5–1.0	91–240	
Alma Crossing	5–7	55–113	95–106	0.5–2.6	77–174	
Davis Crossing	6–8	520–1,220	370– <b>810</b>	0.5–9.5	273–446	
Moondarra Crossing	4–23	604–880	436– <b>520</b>	0.5–2.5	263–351	
Moondarra Junction	5–8	41–51	30–37	0.5–0.8	73–82	
Lake Moondarra	5–9	24–34	26–30	0.5–1.1	60–76	
Clear Water lagoon	4–7	26–32	26–27	<0.5	65–70	
Urban discharge						
Breakaway Creek upstream	4–5	510–1,630	499–962	0.5–8.5	362–545	
Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	6–11	261– <b>1,560</b>	216– <b>932</b>	0.5–2.4	228–521	
Breakaway Junction	4–10	346– <b>1,280</b>	224- <b>810</b>	0.5–16.0	214–463	
Tributaries from the mine leas	e	·				
George Fisher Creek	5–10	6–26	16–130	0.5–2.7	18–41	
King Gully creek	6–8	40–180	84– <b>560</b>	5.6–18.0	42–103	
Lena Creek	5–9	13	48	3.6	11	
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	7–17	52	130	4.1	10	
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	17	290– <b>380</b>	2,000–9,000	23.0–30.0	83–356	
Tailing seepage ponds (contro	lled)					
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5	5–18	780–828	4,900–5,220	0.5–20.0	382–455	
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 7	5–12	565–868	8,300–7,700	<0.5	259–355	
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 8	4–29	470–968	1,500–3,610	<0.5	45–296	
Drinking water guidelines (ADWG, 2004)	-	300	500	50.0	_	
Livestock watering guideline (Al ARMCANZ, 2000)	NZECC/	-	1,000	400.0	-	
TV for 95% species protection (/ ARMCANZ, 2000)	ANZECC/	-	_	700.0	_	

Note: Bold figures exceed trigger values

#### 3.1.2 Nutrients

A limited examination of nutrients (Section 2.5.4) was undertaken to identify if ammonia, in particular, was likely to be a significant toxicant in waste water from the sewage treatment plant that is discharged via Breakaway Creek to the Leichhardt River.

Table 10 gives nutrient concentration data for five sites from Leichhardt River and also compares the ammonia as N concentration for ambient pH with the TV 95% trigger values for 95% protection for fresh water species (Table 8.3.7 ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). The TV 95% trigger values for 95% protection for fresh water species is not exceeded for these samples.

Sites	рН	Ammonia as N (µg/L)	TV 95% Amonia-N* (μg/L)	Ammonia as N mg/L	Nitrite as N mg/L	Nitrate as N mg/L	Nitrite + Nitrate as N mg/L	Total Nitrogen as N mg/L
23rd Avenue Crossing	8.1	52	780	0.052	0.003	<0.002	<0.002	0.3
Alma Crossing	9.3	32	180	0.032	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0.38
Davis Crossing	7.8	<5	1180	<0.005	0.127	1.97	2.1	2.49
Moondarra Crossing	8.4	228	480	0.228	0.103	0.535	0.638	1.56
Rifle Creek Dam	8.6	47	340	0.047	< 0.002	< 0.002	<0.002	0.65

Table 10.	Summary of water quality of samples collected 27–28 July 2010
-----------	---

\*TV 95% trigger values for total ammonia-N at different pH for 95 % protection for fresh water species (Table 8.3.7 ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

#### 3.1.3 Metal and metalloid concentrations in waters

The results for arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc concentrations in water sampled at Leichhardt River, urban discharge, the tributaries the from mine lease, and seepage ponds over five sampling period are presented in Table 11 to Table 22. Metal and metalloid concentrations in the water were determined in three fractions:

- 1. unfiltered water sample (referred to as total)
- 2. filtered water sample through 0.45 µm filter
- 3. the free and labile metal ion concentrations in solution (referred to as C<sub>DGT</sub>), which were sampled by using the DGT technique as described in Section 2.5.5.

The soluble complexed metals may have included labile forms. If the complexed metals were labile, they were measured by the DGT technique. The particulate concentration was the difference between total and the 0.45 µm fractions. In this study, arsenic was not measured by the DGT technique. There is a general decline in metal and metalloid concentrations over time, which may be attributed to the higher flow conditions following the dry period in 2009.

#### 3.1.3.1 Arsenic in waters

Table 11 shows a wide range of arsenic concentrations in two fractions and over the five sampling periods in Leichhardt River. The concentrations of arsenic in Leichhardt River water in the pre-wet season in 2008 were greater than for the other four seasons, due to an abnormally long dry period.

The arsenic concentrations in water sampled at Breakaway Creek, where urban wastewater was discharged to the Leichhardt River (Table 12), show significantly higher levels at Breakaway Creek outlet pipes, compared with sites upstream of Breakaway Creek and at the junction with the Leichhardt River.

Arsenic concentrations in water sampled at the tributaries from the mine lease varied from site to site (Table 11). The highest concentrations of arsenic were found in the creek downstream north of Tailing Dam 3 and in Lena Creek during the wet season in 2010. Arsenic concentrations in the seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 were higher than those of Tailing Dams 7 and 8.

The total arsenic fraction of all water samples was slightly higher than the 0.45  $\mu$ m fraction (Table 11). This indicates that the primary forms of arsenic in water sampled at all sites in the <0.45  $\mu$ m fraction were free or soluble complexes.

#### 3.1.3.2 Cadmium in waters

The results of cadmium concentrations in the water sampled at sites from the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods are presented in Table 13 and show that cadmium concentrations in water at most of the sites were low, being 1  $\mu$ g/L to less than 0.01  $\mu$ g/L or below the detection limit, except for the sites at Alma Crossing, Davis Crossing Moondarra Junction, and Clear Water Lagoon. The cadmium concentrations in water sampled at Davis Crossing were significantly higher than those at others sites over the five sampling periods with a range for total concentrations from 0.7–4.7  $\mu$ g/L and a corresponding pH range of 8.0–8.6. The dissolved anion concentrations, particularly chloride and sulfate, at Davis Crossing (Table 14) were high and explained why the filtered and soluble cadmium concentrations were 20–70% of the total. In contrast, the maximum cadmium concentration at Clear Water Lagoon sampled, at the start of the wet season in 2009, was 4.2  $\mu$ g/L as a total, at a pH of 7.3. However, <3% was soluble, indicating that most cadmium was present as particulate matter. At the start of the wet season in 2009, Clear Water Lagoon was almost empty and the water was excessively turbid. Therefore, the high concentration of particulate cadmium was probably present in detritus from the reed bed purification system (Fountain, 1994). The water itself was 0.01  $\mu$ g/L cadmium and was well below the ADWG (2004) guideline. Monitoring data from the MIWB (2010) confirmed that the cadmium in the potable water in January 2009 was at the detection limit and below the ADWG (2004) guideline.

The concentrations of cadmium in water sampled at Breakaway Creek were low (<0.1  $\mu$ g/L) (Table 14). However, the concentrations of cadmium in water collected at the tributaries from the mine lease were high in the wet season in 2010 and varied from site to site. The highest total concentrations of cadmium in water were found at the creek downstream north of Tailing Dam 3 (16.4  $\mu$ g/L); downstream of Tailing Dam 5 (9.9  $\mu$ g/L); Lena Creek (3.23  $\mu$ g/L); King Gully Creek (3.5  $\mu$ g/L); and George Fisher Creek (2.3  $\mu$ g/L) in the wet season in 2010. The total concentrations of cadmium in seepage at the three seepage ponds at Tailing Dam 5, Tailing Dam 7, and Tailing Dam 8 were all < 1  $\mu$ g/L, except for seepage at Tailing Dam 7 for the wet season in 2009 (1.2  $\mu$ g/L).

The total cadmium concentrations of all water samples were significantly higher than the 0.45  $\mu$ m and C<sub>DGT</sub> fractions. The results indicate that cadmium was primarily in the particulate fraction. Cadmium in the 0.45  $\mu$ m fractions was greater than the C<sub>DGT</sub> concentrations in most of the samples. These results indicate both free and soluble complexed cadmium forms in solution. The proportion of labile to total cadmium in the water was low.

#### 3.1.3.3 Copper in waters

There was a wide range of copper concentrations in the three fractions of water over the five sampling periods in the Leichhardt River (Table 15). The total concentrations of copper in Leichhardt River water varied from 0.5–14.0  $\mu$ g/L. The highest total concentration of copper (37  $\mu$ g/L) was found at Moondarra Junction in the pre-wet season in 2008. However, the C<sub>DGT</sub> copper concentrations at all sites in the Leichhardt River were very low. This can be explained by the high hardness and pH of the water in the Leichhardt River (Table 7). The total concentrations of copper in water sampled at Breakaway Creek ranged from 3–14  $\mu$ g/ (Table 16). Water at the tributaries from the mine lease had some elevated copper levels, especially the sample collected at the creek downstream of Tailing Dam 3 (817  $\mu$ g/L) in the wet season in 2010. Total concentrations of copper in seepage were found to be highest at the seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 for the wet season in 2009 (54.3  $\mu$ g/L). The total copper concentrations of all water samples were significantly higher than in the 0.45  $\mu$ m and C<sub>DGT</sub> fractions. The indicated that copper was primarily in the particulate fraction and was a function of the high pH of the water (Table 7).

#### 3.1.3.4 Lead in waters

The lead concentrations in Leichhardt River water sampled at the pre-wet season in 2008 were higher than for the other four sampling periods (Table 17). The highest total lead concentrations were found at Moondarra Junction (158  $\mu$ g/L); 23rd Avenue (39  $\mu$ g/L); and Moondarra Crossing (17.7  $\mu$ g/L). However, the concentrations of lead in the 0.45  $\mu$ m filtered and C<sub>DGT</sub> fractions were significantly lower than the total lead concentrations because of the high pH of the water (Table 7).

The concentrations of lead in water sampled at Breakaway Creek was low and ranged from 0.5–5.0  $\mu$ g/L (Table 18). Water at the tributaries from the mine lease were found to have high lead concentrations, especially in the sample collected at the George Fisher Creek (444  $\mu$ g/L) and downstream of Tailing Dam 3 (417  $\mu$ g/L) in the wet season in 2010. The total concentrations of lead in water at the seepage ponds at Tailing Dams 5, 7, and 8 varied from 1–21  $\mu$ g/L. The lead concentrations in the 0.45  $\mu$ m and C<sub>DGT</sub> fractions were significantly lower than total lead concentrations indicating that lead was primarily in the particulate fraction at all sites.
#### 3.1.3.5 Nickel in waters

The nickel concentrations in Leichhardt River water sampled in the pre-wet season in 2008 were higher than for the other four sampling periods (Table 19). The total nickel concentrations in water at the Leichhardt River sites varied from  $0.1-7.0 \mu g/L$ .

The concentrations of nickel in the water sampled at Breakaway Creek were low and ranged from 0.5–6.0  $\mu$ g/L (Table 20). Water at the tributaries from the mine lease had low nickel concentration. However, the total concentration of nickel in the water at the seepage ponds at Tailing Dams 5, 7, and 8 in the pre-wet season in 2008 were significantly higher for other sampling periods. The total nickel concentrations were slightly higher than in the 0.45  $\mu$ m and C<sub>DGT</sub> fractions. The results indicate that nickel was present primarily in soluble forms in water at all sites.

#### 3.1.3.6 Zinc in waters

The total zinc concentrations in Leichhardt River water sampled at the pre-wet season in 2008 were higher than for the other four sampling periods (Table 21). The total zinc concentrations in water at sites in the Leichhardt River varied from 1–67  $\mu$ g/L.

The concentrations of zinc in the water sampled at Breakaway Creek were of low concentration in the range of 7–15  $\mu$ g/L (Table 22). Water in the tributaries from the mine lease was found to be high in zinc at the George Fisher Creek site (407  $\mu$ g/L). The total concentrations of zinc in the waters at the seepage ponds at Tailing Dams 5, 7, and 8 varied from 7–20  $\mu$ g/L. The zinc concentrations in the 0.45  $\mu$ m and C<sub>DGT</sub> fractions were slightly lower than the total zinc concentrations.

			Arsenic (µg/L)					
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010		
Leichhardt	Total	-	0.6	0.9	1.3	_		
River upstream	0.45 µm	_	0.6	0.9	1.0	_		
Mica Creek	Total	_	0.9	2.5	4.9	_		
upstream	0.45 µm	_	0.9	2.5	3.4			
23rd Ave	Total	17.1	_	1.2	0.8	0.9		
Crossing	0.45 µm	13.6	-	1.0	0.8	0.5		
19th Ave	Total	9.4	0.9	1.6	1.3	0.9		
Crossing	0.45 µm	8.5	0.9	1.4	1.2	0.7		
Isa Bridge	Total	5.2	0.9	1.5	2.3	1.5		
Crossing	0.45 µm	6.4	0.9	1.6	2.0	0.6		
Alma St	Total	_	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.4		
Crossing	0.45 µm	_	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.2		
Davis	Total	7.0	_	2.9	3.1	3.4		
Crossing	0.45 µm	6.8	-	2.7	2.9	1.6		
Moondarra	Total	21.4	1.7	2.6	5.0	2.1		
Crossing	0.45 µm	18.5	1.7	2.5	4.6	1.1		
Moondarra	Total	8.0	2.4	1.5	5.0	1.3		
Junction	0.45 µm	5.0	2.4	1.2	4.0	1.2		
Lake	Total	3.4	2.7	1.4	2.3	0.8		
Moondarra	0.45 µm	3.3	2.6	1.2	2.1	0.6		
Clear Water	Total	1.9	3.2	1.3	1.7	0.8		
Lagoon	0.45 µm	1.9	1.1	1.1	1.6	0.6		

Table 11.Concentrations of arsenic ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled at Leichhardt River over five<br/>sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

Note: '--' data is not available

Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation – Sustainable Minerals Institute

Table 12.	Concentrations of arsenic ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled at Leichhardt River over five
	sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

Sites	Fraction			Arsenic (µg/L)		
		Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Urban dischar	ge					
Breakaway	Total	_	_	2.6	3.7	1.3
Creek upstream	0.45 µm	_	_	2.6	3.5	1.3
Breakaway	Total	_	_	3.1	4.2	7.3
Creek outlet pipes	0.45 µm	-	_	3.1	4.2	7.3
Breakaway	Total	-	_	3.3	6.3	3.8
Junction	0.45 µm	-	_	3.1	6.6	3.3
Tributaries fro	m the mine leas	e				
George Fisher	Total	_	_		4.1	1.4
Creek	0.45 µm	_	_	_	1.3	1.0
King Gully	Total	_	_		8.2	6.5
Creek	0.45µm	_	_		4.6	4.7
Lena Creek	Total	_	_		12.4	
	0.45 µm	_	_		5.3	-
Downstream	Total	_	_		26.4	
north Tailing Dam 3	0.45 µm	-	_	_	12.6	-
Downstream	Total	-	_	-	11.8	2.3
north Tailing Dam 5	0.45 µm	-	_	_	6.6	1.0
Tailing seepag	e ponds					
Seepage	Total	26.4	36.0	43.8	46.6	4.9
pond at Tailing Dam 5	0.45 µm	25.1	35.8	42.2	44.1	3.8
Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 7	Total	10.2	5.4	4.7	9.3	2.2
	0.45 µm	8.1	4.3	3.4	7.5	1.7
Seepage	Total	2.4	2.5	1.7	7.1	6.4
pond at Tailing Dam 8	0.45 µm	2.3	2.3	1.5	6.0	4.7

## Table 13.Concentrations of cadmium ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five<br/>sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

				Cadmium (µg/L)	)	
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet 2010
Leichhardt	Total	-	<0.01	0.03	_	_
River	0.45 µm	-	<0.01	0.02	_	_
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	0.02	<0.01	-	_
Mica Creek	Total	-	0.03	0.05	_	_
upstream	0.45 µm	_	0.03	0.06	_	_
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	$0.04 \pm 0.0$	<0.01		_
23rd Avenue	Total	<0.01	-	0.04	<0.01	0.12
	0.45 µm	<0.01	-	0.03	<0.01	0.06
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.01	-	<0.01	<0.01	0.02
19th Avenue	Total	< 0.01	0.01	0.04	<0.01	0.15
	0.45 µm	< 0.01	<0.01	0.04	<0.01	0.12
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.01	$0.07 \pm 0.09$	0.01	_	0.03
Isa Street	Total	< 0.01	0.05	0.29	0.06	0.71
Crossing	0.45 µm	< 0.01	0.04	0.16	<0.01	0.19
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.01	0.11 ± 0.01	0.13	<0.01	$0.15 \pm 0.02$
Alma	Total	-	0.7	0.16	2.98	0.29
Crossing	0.45 µm	-	0.6	0.03	2.74	0.22
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-		0.14 ± 0.09	1.22 ± 0.07	0.05
Davis	Total	3.75		4.73	0.70	2.65
Crossing	0.45 µm	1.22		3.91	0.53	0.61
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.15 \pm 0.11$	_	2.71 ± 0.29	$0.34 \pm 0.16$	0.08
Moondarra	Total	0.206	1.85	0.23	<0.01	0.18
Crossing	0.45 µm	< 0.01	1.48	0.19	<0.01	0.10
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.01	0.71 ± 0.14	0.07	0.04	0.03
Moondarra	Total	9.15	0.12	0.1	1.31	0.16
Junction	0.45 µm	0.46	0.08	0.05	<0.01	0.12
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.01	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	0.01	<0.01	0.02
Lake	Total	< 0.01	1.29	0.05	0.11	0.08
Moondarra	0.45 µm	< 0.01	0.24	0.02	0.11	0.07
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	<0.01	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	<0.01	<0.01	0.02
Clear Water	Total	< 0.01	4.18	0.03	0.10	0.08
Lagoon	0.45 µm	< 0.01	0.17	0.02	0.12	0.08
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.01	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	<0.01	<0.01	$0.02 \pm 0.01$

# Table 14.Concentrations of cadmium ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled in creeks (urban discharge, tributaries<br/>from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June<br/>2010)

			(	Cadmium (µg/L)		
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Urban dischar	ge					
Breakaway	Total	-	_	0.06	<0.01	0.06
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	0.06	<0.01	0.06
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	<0.01	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
Breakaway	Total	_	_	0.05	<0.01	0.05
Creek outlet	0.45 µm	-	_	0.05	<0.01	0.05
pipes	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.01	<0.01	0.01
Breakaway	Total	-	_	0.12	0.08	0.12
Junction	0.45 µm	-	_	0.09	0.06	0.09
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.02	0.01	0.02
Tributaries fro	m the mine leas	se				
George Fisher	Total	-	_	-	2.3	0.2
Creek	0.45 µm	_	_	_	1.3	0.2
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	—	$0.5 \pm 0.05$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
King Gully	Total	_	_	_	3.5	5.8
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	_	1.2	5.9
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	$0.37 \pm 0.04$	3.1 ± 0.1
Lena Creek	Total	-	_	-	3.2	_
	0.45 µm	_	_		2.7	_
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	$0.98 \pm 0.09$	_
Downstream	Total	_	_		16.4	_
north Tailing	0.45 µm	_	_		16.3	_
Danis	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	-		$3.05 \pm 0.02$	-
Downstream	Total	_	_		9.9	0.8
north Tailing	0.45 µm	-	-		8.7	0.7
Danis	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	-	-	$5.5 \pm 0.5$	$0.3 \pm 0.01$
Tailing seepag	e ponds					
Seepage	Total	0.29	0.72	0.14	0.07	0.3
pond at	0.45 µm	0.18	0.64	0.12	0.02	0.3
Talling Dam 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.11 ± 0.01	$0.53 \pm 0.03$	0.05	0.15	0.09 ± 0.01
Seepage	Total	0.20	1.24	0.77	0.03	0.4
pond at	0.45 µm	0.11	0.56	0.50	0.03	0.4
Tailing Dam 7	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.06 ± 0.01	$0.22 \pm 0.08$	0.45 ± 0.01	0.09	0.1
Seepage	Total	0.09	0.022	0.12	0.64	0.2
pond at	0.45 µm	0.02	0.017	0.05	0.61	0.1
Tailing Dam 8	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.01 ± 0.003	0.03	0.01	$0.32 \pm 0.02$	0.04

## Table 15.Concentrations of copper ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five<br/>sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

			Copper (µg/L)					
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet 2010		
Leichhardt	Total	-	6.2	2	4.8	-		
River	0.45 µm	-	4.9	1.4	3.2	_		
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	1.1 ± 0.1	$0.2 \pm 0.03$	1.1 ± 0.5	_		
Mica Creek	Total	_	15.9	5.6	5.6	_		
upstream	0.45 µm	-	11.2	4.4	3.6	_		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	3.1 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.1	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	_		
23rd Avenue	Total	17	_	3	5.5	3.0		
	0.45 µm	6	-	2	5.8	1.1		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.5 ± 0.3	_	0.4 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.6	$0.4 \pm 0.1$		
19th Avenue	Total	8.3	5.6	4.8	6.6	3.4		
	0.45 µm	6.9	3.3	2.6	4.7	1.2		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.3 ± 0.2	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$		
Isa Street	Total	5.9	14.0	7.0	11.7	9.9		
Crossing	0.45 µm	3.9	13.3	5.4	5.4	3.3		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.9 ± 0.1	$0.9 \pm 0.04$	$0.8 \pm 0.03$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	1.7 ± 0.2		
Alma	Total	-	23.1	6.0	17.2	5.2		
Crossing	0.45 µm	-	18.5	2.1	8.4	4.7		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-		$0.9 \pm 0.04$	1.7 ± 0.1	$1.0 \pm 0.1$		
Davis	Total	4.9	_	4.2	12.1	5.7		
Crossing	0.45 µm	3.3	_	3.0	3.8	3.7		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.2 ± 0.1	_	0.7 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.5	1.1 ± 0.1		
Moondarra	Total	13.6	11.1	5.7	8.8	3.0		
Crossing	0.45 µm	3.8	12.5	3.6	6.2	1.7		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.1± 0.01	1.4 ± 0.1	$0.8 \pm 0.01$	$1.5 \pm 0.02$	$0.6 \pm 0.02$		
Moondarra	Total	37	8.8	5.4	6.2	6.6		
Junction	0.45 µm	2.1	6.6	3.6	7.2	5.2		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.2 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	$0.9 \pm 0.02$	1.2 ± 0.1	$0.9 \pm 0.2$		
Lake	Total	4.9	30.7	5.6	4.5	1.1		
Moondarra	0.45 µm	2.8	11.7	3.3	3.7	0.8		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.7 ± 0.3	$3.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.04$	$0.6 \pm 0.02$	$0.4 \pm 0.03$		
Clear Water	Total	1.5	19.5	3.9	1.8	1.7		
Lagoon	0.45 µm	1.3	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.4		
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.1 ± 0.8	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.01$	0.2	$0.3 \pm 0.1$		

# Table 16.Concentrations of copper ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries<br/>from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June<br/>2010)

				Copper (µg/L)		
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Urban dischar	ge					
Breakaway	Total	-	_	7.5	5.5	10.8
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	2.9	4.1	7.6
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.6 ± 0.1	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	1.0 ± 0.1
Breakaway	Total	-	_	7.4	10.0	3.7
Creek outlet	0.45 µm	-	_	2.5	8.3	2.9
pipes	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.6 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.4	1.8 ± 0.4
Breakaway	Total	-	_	8.3	13.7	8.4
Junction	0.45 µm	-	_	2.9	11.2	6.3
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.5
Tributaries fro	m the mine lea	se				
George Fisher	Total	-	_	_	42.7	9.8
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	_	11.5	5.3
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	_	2.7 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.6
King Gully	Total	-	_	-	318	154
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	_	102	96
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	15 ± 1	27 ± 1
Lena Creek	Total	-	_	-	310	-
	0.45 µm	-	_	-	134	-
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	28 ± 2	-
Downstream	Total	-	_	_	817	-
north Tailing	0.45 µm	-	_	-	355	-
Dam 3	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_			132 ± 2	
Downstream	Total	-	_	-	305	23.9
north Tailing	0.45 µm	_			_	14.4
Dani 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_	_	65 ± 5	$2.6 \pm 0.4$
Tailing seepag	e ponds					
Seepage	Total	30.8	54.3	24.0	28.5	27.9
pond at	0.45 µm	23.0	33.8	17.2	24.2	6.4
Tailing Dam 5	C	$5.7 \pm 0.4$	16.8 ± 6.7	3.4 ± 0.1	5.6 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.6
Seepage	Total	13.1	12.7	15.3	9.9	7.7
pond at	0.45 µm	6.8	7.3	12.0	7.6	5.0
Tailing Dam 7	C	0.7 ± 0.1	$2.8 \pm 0.4$	3.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.3
Seepage	Total	7.2	6.9	6.5	8.4	11.9
pond at	0.45 µm	3.4	3.0	6.5	6.8	4.5
Iailing Dam 8	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.04	1.1 ± 0.8	0.33	1.3 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1

#### Table 17. Concentrations of lead ( $\mu$ g/L) in surface water sampled at the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

				Lead (µg/L)		
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet 2010
Leichhardt	Total	-	1.0	<0.3	1.6	_
River	0.45 µm	-	0.5	<0.3	0.1	_
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	0.4	<0.03	<0.03	_
Mica Creek	Total	-	1.3	1.2	3.2	_
upstream	0.45 µm	_	0.5	0.3	2.1	_
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	0.4	<0.03	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	_
23rd Avenue	Total	39		0.5	0.9	1.3
	0.45 µm	6		0.3	0.3	0.5
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.04		<0.03	_	0.04
19th Avenue	Total	0.4	0.2	0.2	1.5	1.6
	0.45 µm	<0.4	0.1	<0.3	0.4	0.7
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.14 \pm 0.06$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	<0.03	-	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
Isa Street	Total	5.7	0.8	1.2	4.7	2.8
Crossing	0.45 µm	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	<0.03	<0.03	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
Alma	Total	-	6.6	2.0	4.1	1.0
Crossing	0.45 µm	_	1.8	<0.3	0.7	0.4
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_	<0.03	<0.03	$0.06 \pm 0.04$
Davis	Total	3.9	_	1.4	10.5	1.8
Crossing	0.45 µm	0.6		<0.3	0.1	1.3
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.04	-	<0.03	2.6 ± 0.5	0.04
Moondarra	Total	17.7	2.2	5.6	3.9	0.4
Crossing	0.45 µm	2.8	0.4	0.5	0.04	0.8
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.3 \pm 0.2$	0.04 ± 0.01	<0.03	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$
Moondarra	Total	158	2.4	4.7	2.2	3.5
Junction	0.45 µm	2.4	0.5	<0.3	0.8	0.6
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.11 \pm 0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	<0.03	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	0.03
Lake	Total	6.8	4.6	2.5	3.3	0.6
Noondarra	0.45 µm	<0.4	1.9	<0.3	1.5	0.7
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.09	0.1 ± 0.04	<0.03	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	0.03
Clear Water	Total	<0.4	3.9	1.4	1	0.77
Lagoon	0.45 µm	<0.4	0.1	<0.3	1.5*	-
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.04	$0.01 \pm 0.0$	<0.03	$0.4 \pm 0.02$	<0.03

Notes:

'-' data is not available. \* may have been contaminated during filtration

### Table 18.Concentrations of lead ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from<br/>the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

		Lead (µg/L)					
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010	
Urban dischar	ge						
Breakaway	Total	-	-	0.6	3.2	0.7	
Creek	0.45 µm	_	_	<0.3	0.3	1.3	
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_	<0.03	0.04	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	
Breakaway	Total	-	_	0.7	5.1	0.5	
Creek outlet	0.45 µm	-	_	<0.3	3.4	0.4	
pipes	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	<0.03	$0.08 \pm 0.04$	0.12± 0.05	
Breakaway	Total	-	_	1.9	3.4	2.0	
Junction	0.45 µm	-	_	<0.3	1.4	0.6	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	<0.03	$0.07 \pm 0.02$	0.1	
Tributaries fro	m the mine leas	se					
George Fisher	Total	-	_	-	444	0.7	
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	-	21	_	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	$3.8 \pm 0.3$	0.2 ± 0.1	
King Gully	Total	-	_	-	191	129	
Creek	0.45 µm	_	_	—	8	52.4	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	13 ± 1.6	
Lena Creek	Total	-	_	-	108	-	
	0.45 µm	-	_	-	13	_	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	$0.7 \pm 0.03$	_	
Downstream	Total	-	_	_	417		
north Tailing	0.45 µm	-	_	-	138	_	
Danis	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_		24 ± 2		
Downstream	Total	_	_		159	26.8	
north Tailing	0.45 µm	_	_		180	1.3	
Danis	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	_	18.3 ± 1.2	0.2 ± 0.1	
Tailing seepag	e ponds						
Seepage	Total	21.0	11.1	5.2	9.4	15.0	
pond at	0.45 µm	6.4	1.4	1.6	3.5	1.6	
Talling Dam 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.24 ± 0.12	0.59 ± 0.35	0.23	1.75 ± 0.11	0.2 ± 0.12	
Seepage	Total	9.17	6.65	5.25	5.34	2.84	
pond at	0.45 µm	1.56	0.61	0.07	0.57	0.81	
Tailing Dam 7	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.17 ± 0.02	0.08 ± 0.01	<0.03	$1.24 \pm 0.06$	0.06 ± 0.01	
Seepage	Total	1.22	0.59	2.80	33.03	1.05	
pond at	0.45 µm	<0.4	0.11	0.85	14.08	0.27	
Tailing Dam 8	C <sub>DGT</sub>	< 0.04	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	<0.03	3.98 ± 0.22	0.25 ± 0.05	

### Table 19.Concentrations of nickel ( $\mu$ g/L) on surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five<br/>sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

				Nickel (µg/L)		
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet 2010
Leichhardt	Total	-	2.4	2.1	0.8	_
River	0.45 µm	-	2.3	2.2	0.9	_
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	0.6 ± 0.1	$0.3 \pm 0.03$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	-
Mica Creek	Total	-	2.1	2.6	8.3	_
upstream	0.45 µm	-	2.1	2.2	1.8	_
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	0.6 ± 0.1	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	_
23rd Avenue	Total	5.5	-	2.2	0.5	0.93
	0.45 µm	5	-	2.1	0.6	0.46
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.7 ± 0.1	_	$0.5 \pm 0.02$	$0.4 \pm 0.3$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$
19th Avenue	Total	10.2	2.3	2.1	1.6	0.80
	0.45 µm	8.6	2.2	2.1	1.9	0.60
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	1.0 ± 0.8	$0.4 \pm 0.03$	$0.19 \pm 0.03$	$0.19 \pm 0.03$
Isa Street	Total	6.2	2.3	2.7	1.9	1.21
Crossing	0.45 µm	7.5	2.3	3.2	1.4	0.73
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.1 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	$0.36 \pm 0.02$
Alma	Total		2.2	2.6	2.0	0.79
Crossing	0.45 µm	-	1.9	13.2	1.9	0.77
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.6	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.16 \pm 0.03$
Davis	Total	6.5	_	2.9	1.8	1.36
Crossing	0.45 µm	6.3	_	7.3	1.8	1.01
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.2 \pm 0.01$	_	$0.6 \pm 0.03$	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$
Moondarra	Total	12.2	2.5	3	2.3	1.35
Crossing	0.45 µm	11.3	2.6	3	2.71	0.83
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.3 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	$0.6 \pm 0.04$	$0.37 \pm 0.14$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$
Moondarra	Total	5.2	2.1	2.3	1.57	0.76
Junction	0.45 µm	4.4	2.1	2.1	0.91	4.87
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.2 ± 0.01	1.3 ± 1.1	$0.4 \pm 0.01$	$0.29 \pm 0.09$	$0.14 \pm 0.02$
Lake	Total	2.2	3.2	2.2	1.42	0.38
Moondarra	0.45 µm	2	2.9	2.1	1.34	0.29
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.3 \pm 0.01$	0.6 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$
Clear Water	Total	0.8	4.4	2.9	1.35	0.43
Lagoon	0.45 µm	0.7	2.1	1.9	1.32	0.39
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.1 ± 0.01	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.18 \pm 0.02$	$0.1 \pm 0.01$

# Table 20.Concentrations of nickel ( $\mu$ g/L) in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries<br/>from the mine lease, and seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June<br/>2010)

				Nickel (µg/L)		
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Urban dischar	ge					
Breakaway	Total	_	_	2.8	_	_
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	-	_	-
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.61 ± 0.07	_	_
Breakaway	Total	-	_	2.6	_	_
Creek outlet	0.45 µm	_	_	2.4	_	_
pipes	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.75 ± 0.09	_	-
Breakaway	Total	_	_	3.1	_	-
Junction	0.45 µm	-	_	2.6	_	-
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.58 ± 0.06	_	-
Tributaries fro	m the mine leas	se				
George Fisher	Total	-	_	_	5.0	0.8
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	-	4.9	1.3
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	_	$0.38 \pm 0.07$	$0.24 \pm 0.06$
King Gully	Total	-	_	-	4.1	1.5
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	_	2.4	1.2
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	0.53 ± 0.14	$0.64 \pm 0.03$
Lena Creek	Total	-	_	_	3.3	-
	0.45 µm	-	_	-	2.2	-
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	-	$0.57 \pm 0.07$	_
Downstream	Total	-	_	_	4.9	_
north Tailing	0.45 µm				11.9	
Dam 3	C <sub>DGT</sub>				0.77 ± 0.01	
Downstream	Total				7.6	2.3
north Tailing	0.45 µm				4.3	2.4
Dam 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_	_	$0.67 \pm 0.09$	$0.93 \pm 0.04$
Tailing seepag	e ponds					
Seepage	Total	33	2.6	2.6	4.4	0.9
pond at	0.45 µm	32	2.6	2.5	4.8	0.7
Talling Dam 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.4 \pm 0.03$	$0.72 \pm 0.07$	1.17 ± 0.28	0.51 ± 0.07	0.18 ± 0.01
Seepage	Total	23	10.2	7.5	5.3	2.7
pond at	0.45 µm	21	9.8	5.6	5.5	2.7
Tailing Dam 7	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.5 ± 0.01	2.7 ± 1.4	5.4 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.2	1 ± 0.01
Seepage	Total	24	6.2	10.4	10.6	1.6
pond at	0.45 µm	24	6.0	7.1	8.8	0.8
Tailing Dam 8	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.7 ± 0.1	$2.2 \pm 0.2$	3.6 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.1	$1.0 \pm 0.1$

## Table 21. Concentrations of zinc in surface water sampled in the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

		Zinc (µg/L)					
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet 2010	
Leichhardt	Total	-	4.9	5.5	6.5	_	
River	0.45 µm	_	4.3	5.1	4.2	_	
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	1.1 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1	$0.9 \pm 0.4$	_	
Mica Creek	Total	_	5.9	8.2	11.5	_	
upstream	0.45 µm	-	3.4	5	8.1	_	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	3.7 ± 1.4	$0.7 \pm 0.02$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	_	
23rd Avenue	Total	15.8	-	7.9	5.2	9.3	
	0.45 µm	6.2	-	7.1	4.2	3.7	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	_	0.4 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	
19th Avenue	Total	13.5	4.5	10.8	7.6	8.5	
	0.45 µm	14.6	5.5	9.8	7.0	9.1	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.6 \pm 0.4$	0.8 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.1	0.1	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	
Isa Street	Total	9	17.6	67.8	27.3	89.6	
Crossing	0.45 µm	5.4	13.4	42.5	13.9	26.3	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	15.6 ± 1.8	41.1 ± 3.7	14.3 ± 1.3	$27.9 \pm 2.9$	
Alma	Total	-	166.8	15.2	307	18.7	
Crossing	0.45 µm	-	147.9	6.3	282	15.8	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-		15.9 ± 8.6	101.2 ± 4.9	$0.77 \pm 0.08$	
Davis	Total	11.3		20.3	10.4	29.5	
Crossing	0.45 µm	8.1		15.3	8.4	5.7	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.5 ± 0.2	_	7.9 ± 1.1	6.0 ± 1.4	1.1 ± 0.1	
Moondarra	Total	22.6	22.9	15.7	16.1	17.3	
Crossing	0.45 µm	7.5	16.5	11.7	13.6	8.8	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.3 ± 0.1	6.7 ± 0.7	1.3 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	
Moondarra	Total	35	7.5	8.3	13.5	8.5	
Junction	0.45 µm	3.5	5.2	6.9	4.2	12.4	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	1.3 ± 0.6	0.8 ± 0.1	$0.7 \pm 0.06$	$0.3 \pm 0.07$	
Lake	Total	3.8	25.9	6.3	6.5	4.0	
Moondarra	0.45 µm	2	8.7	3.6	5.8	2.5	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.4 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	
Clear Water	Total	2.3	47.8	6.1	9.5	3.4	
Lagoon	0.45 µm	2.5	3.9	5	6.6	2.8	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	0.2 ± 0.1	$1.1 \pm 0.8$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	1.1 ± 0.1	$0.9 \pm 0.7$	

### Table 22.Concentrations of zinc in water sampled at the creeks (urban discharge, tributaries from the<br/>mine lease and tailing seepage ponds) over five sampling periods (November 2008–June 2010)

				Zinc (µg/L)		
Sites	Fraction	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Urban dischar	ge					
Breakaway	Total	-	_	7.0	9.0	13.7
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	6.3	6.5	10.3
upstream	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_	3.2 ± 1.1	$3.8 \pm 0.2$	1.3 ± 0.2
Breakaway	Total	-	_	8.1	11.9	7.1
Creek outlet	0.45 µm	-	_	7.0	8.6	6.5
pipes	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	1.0 ± 0.2	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	2.1 ± 0.9
Breakaway	Total	-	_	10.1	14.7	11.5
Junction	0.45 µm	-	_	7.9	13.8	8.3
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	0.8 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.2	3.9 ± 1.8
Tributaries fro	m the mine lea	se				
George Fisher	Total	-	_		407	11
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	_	87	6
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	_	_		39 ± 4	2.1 ± 0.7
King Gully	Total	-	_	_	207	154
Creek	0.45 µm	-	_	-	40	123
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	_	16 ± 2	60.8 ± 2.6
Lena Creek	Total	-	_	-	214	_
	0.45 µm	-	_	_	165	
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_		64 ± 6	
Downstream	Total	-	_	_	458	
north Tailing	0.45 µm	-	_		260	
Danis	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	_	141 ± 0.6	
Downstream	Total	-	_		273	22.8
north Tailing	0.45 µm	-	_	_	270	16.2
Danis	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	_	_	96 ± 8	18 ± 0.7
Tailing seepag	e ponds					
Seepage	Total	19.0	16.3	6.7	9.6	11
pond at	0.45 µm	15.0	9.2	4.3	6.8	4.5
Tailing Darn 3	C <sub>DGT</sub>	3.3 ± 1.6	17.9 ± 5.5	2.8 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.2	4.7 ± 0.2
Seepage	Total	15.0	164.7	76.9	21	12.1
pond at	0.45 µm	14.0	126.3	50.9	18	10.3
Tailing Dam 7	C <sub>DGT</sub>	1.7 ± 0.3	42.6 ± 16.4	87.7 ± 1.7	6.1 ± 0.2	7.5 ± 0.2
Seepage	Total	13.0	65	8.6	177	22
pond at	0.45 µm	9.0	4.5	4.9	170	6
Talling Dam 8	C	0.4 ± 0.2	$3.3 \pm 2.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.2$	67.2 ± 4.2	11 ± 2.3

#### 3.1.4 Comparison of water concentration with drinking water guidelines

The total concentrations of metals and metalloids in water were compared against the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG, 2004). Table 23 presents a summary of sites exceeding the guideline values of ADWG (2004) for arsenic, cadmium, and lead. The results show that nickel and zinc total concentrations in water samples from all sites in the Leichhardt River over five sampling periods did not exceed the drinking water guidelines. However, there were number of sites where the total water concentrations of arsenic (19th Avenue, 23rd Avenue, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, and Moondarra Junction); cadmium (Alma Crossing, Davis Crossing, and Moondarra Junction); and lead (23rd Avenue, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, and Moondarra Junction) exceeded the drinking water guideline values ADWG (2004). Such high levels of metals and arsenic were associated with very dry periods when only isolated pools of water were present and were very unlikely to have been consumed.

Table 24 presents a summary of sites at the tributaries from the mine lease that exceeded the drinking water guideline values (ADWG, 2004) for arsenic, cadmium, and lead. These results indicate that the drinking water guidelines were exceeded in the tributaries from the mine lease during the wet season of 2010.

Metal or metalloid	Sites exceeding drinking water guidelinesSampling time exceeding 		Total metal or metalloid concentrations (μg/L)	рН	Australian drinking water guideline (µg/L)
	19th Avenue	Pre-wet 2008	9.4	8.5	
	23rd Avenue	Pre-wet 2008	17.1	7.9	
Arsenic	Davis Crossing	Pre-wet 2008	7.0	_	
	Moondarra Crossing	Pre-wet 2008	21.4	8	7
	Moondarra Junction	Pre-wet 2008	8.0	7.9	
	Alma Crossing	Wet 2010	3.0	7.4	
	Davis Crossing	Pre-wet 2008	3.8	-	
		Post-wet 2009	4.7	-	
Cadmium		Post-wet 2010	2.7	8.6	2
	Moondarra Junction	Pre-wet 2008	9.2	8	
	Clear Water Lagoon	Wet 2009	4.2	7.3	
	23rd Avenue	Pre-wet 2008	39	7.9	
	Davis Crossing	Wet 2010	10	8	
Lead	Moondarra Crossing	Pre-wet 2008	18	8	10
	Moondarra Junction	Pre-wet 2008	158	7.9	

### Table 23. Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines over five sampling periods for arsenic, cadmium, and lead (November 2008–June 2010)

Table 24.	Summary of sites at the tributaries from the mine lease and seepage ponds exceeding
	Australian drinking water guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead over five sampling periods
	(November 2008–June 2010)

Metal or metalloid	Sites exceeding drinking water guidelines	Sampling time exceeding water guideline	Total metal or metalloid concentrations (μg/L)	Australian drinking water guideline (µg/L)
	King Gully Creek	Wet 2010	8.2	
	Lena Creek	Wet 2010	12.4	
	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	Wet 2010	26.4	
	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	Wet 2010	11.8	
Arsenic	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 (highest)	All seasons except post-wet 2010	46.6	7
	Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	Post-wet 2010	7.3	
	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 8	Wet 2010	7.1	
	George Fisher Creek	Wet 2010	2.3	
	King Gully Creek	Wet 2010 and post- wet 2010	5.8	
Cadmium	Lena Creek	Wet 2010	3.2	2
Cadmium	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	Wet 2010	16.4	
	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	Wet 2010	9.9	
	George Fisher Creek	Wet 2010	444	
	King Gully Creek	Wet 2010 and post- wet 2010	191	
	Lena Creek	Wet 2010	108	
	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	Wet 2010	417	
Lead	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	Wet 2010 and post- wet 2010	158	10
	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5	Pre-wet 2008, wet 2009 and post-wet 2010	21	
	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 8	Wet 2010	33	

#### 3.1.5 Comparison of water concentrations in the Leichhardt River with water guidelines

#### 3.1.5.1 Comparison of water concentrations with recreational water quality and aesthetics guidelines

Water-based recreational activities are related to estuarine and freshwater rivers and lakes. According to ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000), the parameters for water used for recreation purposes (recreational water) are microbiological, visual clarity and colour, pH, temperature, toxic chemicals, and surface film. In this study, only pH is used to compare with the recreational water guideline (NH&MRC, 2008). The results show that pH of water at all recreation water sites within the study area is within the range 5.0–9.0 (Table 7). According to the NH&MRC (2008), the trigger values for recreation water quality assessments are 10 times that of the drinking water quality guideline values. These trigger values for recreation water are for consumption of 100–200 mL per day. At Moondarra Junction during the pre-wet season in 2008, concentration of lead was 158 µg/L and exceeded the

drinking water guideline (ADWG, 2004). Such a high level of lead was associated with a very dry period when only isolated pools of water were present at the Leichhardt River sites and the river could not be used for swimming or other recreational activities.

#### 3.1.5.2 Comparison of water concentrations with irrigation and livestock water guidelines

The total concentrations of arsenic (Table 11 and Table 12); cadmium (Table 13 and Table 14); copper (Table 15 and Table 16); lead (Table 17 and Table 18); nickel (Table 19 and Table 20); and zinc (Table 21 and Table 22) in water samples collected over five sampling periods showed no exceedances, except for sulfate concentration, at any sites when compared against the irrigation and livestock watering guidelines (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000).

## 3.1.5.3 Comparison of water concentrations with water guidelines for 95% and 90% species protection

According to ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000), the default trigger values have been derived using advanced statistical analyses of database information on chronic aquatic toxicity. The trigger values aim to protect the designated percentages of aquatic life. The default levels of species protection depend on the water system. The water system in the Leichhardt River in Mount Isa is considered to be moderately disturbed; therefore, the guideline trigger values that protect 95% of species were applied to these sites.

The total concentrations of metals and metalloids (Table 11 to Table 22) in water were compared against the trigger values for fresh water species at the 95% level of protection, as the first step in the decision-tree process to assess metal toxicants (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). The trigger values for each element were adjusted to the site-specific water hardness condition described in Section 2.1. Four sites including Alma Crossing, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, and Clear Water Lagoon had total metal concentrations that exceeded cadmium trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection (Table 25). Nine sites, including upstream and downstream samples from the Leichhardt River, exceeded the copper trigger value for 95% freshwater species protection (Table 26). The total concentrations of lead in the water at two sites, Alma Crossing and Moondarra Junction, exceeded the lead trigger value for 95% freshwater species protection (Table 27). No sites exceeded the 95% protection trigger values for arsenic, nickel, and zinc.

The downstream sites including Alma Crossing, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, and Moondarra Junction are highly disturbed; therefore, the guideline trigger values that protect 90% of species were applied to these sites (Table 25). The results indicate that two sites, Moondarra Crossing and Moondarra Junction complied with the cadmium trigger value for 90% species protection and three sites, 19th Avenue, Isa Crossing, and Clear Water Lagoon, complied with the copper trigger value for 90% species protection. This implies the condition is classified as 'highly disturbed' (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000).

The filterable (0.45  $\mu$ m) metal concentrations of sites that exceeded the trigger values in the first step (total concentrations) were compared against the trigger values. The results (Table 25) show that one site (Alma Crossing) had a filterable (0.45  $\mu$ m) cadmium concentration that exceeded the trigger values at both 95% and 90% species protection (Table 25). A more relevant comparison was made for this site using the concentration of cadmium determined by the DGT technique (C<sub>DGT</sub>). The cadmium concentration measured by the DGT technique at Alma Crossing complied with the cadmium trigger value 95% species protection. Seven sites (Table 26) had filterable (0.45  $\mu$ m) copper concentrations that exceeded the trigger values for both 95% and 90% freshwater species protection. The copper concentrations measured by the DGT technique at these seven sites were compared against the trigger values guideline of copper for both 95% and 90% species protection concentrations. The results show that one site (Mica Creek) had a copper concentration, measured by the DGT technique, which exceeded the trigger values.

None of the sites had a filterable (0.45  $\mu m)$  filterable lead concentration that exceeded the 95% species protection trigger value.

### Table 25.Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding trigger values for cadmium for 95% and 90%<br/>freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods

Sites	Sampling	(	Cadmium (µg/L	)	Hardness	TV for 95%	TV for 90%
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 μm	C <sub>DGT</sub>	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)
Alma Crossing	Wet 2010	3	2.7	1.2 ± 0.1	230	1.1	2.3
Davis	Pre-wet 2008	3.8	1.2	0.2 ± 0.11	801	2	4.0
Crossing	Post-wet 2009	4.7	3.9	2.7 ± 0.3	519	2	4.0
	Post-wet 2010	2.7	0.6	0.08	392	2	4.0
Moondarra Crossing	Wet 2009	1.9	1.5	0.7 ± 0.1	265	1.1	2.3
Moondarra	Pre-wet 2008	9.2	0.5	<0.01	294	1.1	2.3
Junction	Wet 2010	1.3	<0.01	<0.01	94	0.5	1.1
Clear Water Lagoon	Wet 2009	4.2	0.2	0.02 ± 0.01	64	0.5	1.1

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for cadmium for 95% and 90% of freshwater species protection. The TV values have been adjusted to the site-specific conditions of water hardness.

### Table 26.Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding trigger values for copper for 95% and 90%<br/>freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods

Sites	Sampling		Copper (µg/L)		Hardness	TV for 95%	TV for 90%
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>dgt</sub>	CaCO₃ (mg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)
Leichhardt River upstream	Wet 2009	6.2	4.9	1.1 ± 0.1	32	1.4	1.8
Mica Creek upstream	Wet 2009	15.9	11.2	3.1 ± 0.6	21	1.4	1.8
23rd Avenue	Pre-wet 2008	17	6	1.5 ± 0.3	281	7.3	9.4
19th Avenue	Pre-wet 2008	8.3	6.9	1.3 ± 0.2	263	7.3	9.4
	Wet 2009	5.6	3.3	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	160	5.5	7.0
Isa Crossing	Wet 2009	14	13.3	$0.9 \pm 0.04$	51	1.4	1.8
	Post-wet 2009	7	5.4	$0.8 \pm 0.03$	339	12.6	16.2
	Wet 2010	11.7	5.4	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	187	7.3	9.4
	Post-wet 2010	9.9	3.3	1.7 ± 0.2	194	7.3	9.4
Alma	Wet 2009	23.1	18.5	-	51	1.4	1.8
Crossing	Post-wet 2009	6	2.1	$0.9 \pm 0.04$	90	3.5	4.5
	Wet 2010	17.2	8.4	1.7 ± 0.2	230	7.3	9.4
Moondarra	Pre-wet 2008	13.6	3.8	0.1 ± 0.01	1096	12.6	16.2
Crossing	Wet 2009	11.1	12.5	1.4 ± 0.1	265	7.3	9.4

Sites	Sampling		Copper (µg/L)		Hardness	TV for 95%	TV for 90%
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	CaCO₃ (mg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)
Moondarra	Pre-wet 2008	37	2.1	0.2 ± 0.1	294	7.3	9.4
Junction	Wet 2009	8.8	6.6	1.6 ± 0.1	94	3.5	4.5
	Post-wet 2009	5.4	3.6	$0.9 \pm 0.02$	61	3.5	4.5
	Wet 2010	6.2	7.2	1.2 ± 0.1	94	3.5	4.5
	Post-wet 2010	6.6	5.2	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	86	3.5	4.5
Clear Water	Pre-wet 2008	1.5	1.3	1.1 ± 0.8	55	1.4	1.8
Lagoon	Wet 2009	19.5	1.8	0.5 ± 0.1	64	3.5	4.5
		3.9	1.7	0.2 ± 0.01	71	3.5	4.5

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for copper giving protection of fresh water species at 95% level. The TV have been adjusted to the site-specific conditions of water hardness.

### Table 27.Summary of sites at Leichhardt River exceeding trigger values for lead 95% and 90%<br/>freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over two sampling periods

Sites	Sampling		Lead (µg/L)		Hardness	TV for 95%	TV for 90%
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	CaCO₃ (mg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)	species protection* (µg/L)
Alma Crossing	Wet 2009	6.6	1.8	_	51	3.4	5.6
Moondarra Junction	Pre-wet 2008	158	2.4	0.1 ± 0.03	294	40	66

Notes:

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for lead at 95% and 90% freshwater species protection. The TV have been adjusted to the site-specific conditions of water hardness.

'-' data are not available.

## 3.1.6 Sites from urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds exceeding water guidelines for fresh water species protection

Total concentrations of arsenic in water collected from the creeks downstream north of Tailing Dam 3 (wet season 2010) and the seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 (four seasons from pre-wet season 2008 through to the wet season 2010) exceeded the arsenic trigger value for the 95% level of freshwater species protection (Table 28). The filterable (0.45  $\mu$ m) arsenic concentration of Tailing Dam 5 (four seasons from the pre-wet season 2008 to the wet season 2010) exceeded the arsenic trigger value for 95% freshwater species protection. No site of urban discharge exceeded the trigger values for arsenic.

## Table 28. Summary of sites at the tributaries from the mine lease and seepage ponds exceeding trigger values for arsenic for 95% species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods

Sites exceeding	Sampling time	Arsenio	c (µg/L)	Hardness	TV at 95%
water guidelines	exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	species protection (µg/L)
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	Wet 2010	26.4	12.6	1,640	
Seepage pond	Pre-wet 2008	26.4	25.1	_	24
at Tailing Dam 5	Wet 2009	36	35.8	1,790	
	Post-wet 2009	43.8	42.2	2,710	
		46.6	44.1	5,400	

Note: '-' data are not available.

Table 29 presents a summary of the sites of urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds that had total concentrations that exceeded copper trigger values of 95% levels of freshwater species protection. The results show the total concentration of copper exceeded the trigger values for 95% levels of freshwater species protection at one site of urban discharge; five sites at the tributaries from the mine lease; and two seepage ponds at Tailing Dam 5 and Tailing Dam 7. The filterable ( $0.45\mu$ m) concentration of copper at the seepage pond at Tailing Dam 7, however, complied the trigger values. The copper concentrations determined by DGT were compared with the trigger values and the results show the copper concentration determined by DGT (C<sub>DGT</sub>) exceeded the trigger values for 95% species protection at five sites: George Fisher Creek, King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, downstream of Tailing Dams 3 and 5 (Table 29).

# Table 29.Summary of sites of urban discharge, tributaries from the mine lease, and seepage ponds<br/>exceeding trigger values for copper for 95% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/<br/>ARMCANZ, 2000) over five sampling periods

Sites	Sampling		Copper (µg/L)		Hardness	TV at 95%
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	protection* (µg/L)
Urban dischar	ge					
Breakaway Creek Junction	Wet 2010	13.7	11.2	1.7 ± 0.1	257	7.28
Tributaries fro	m the mine leas	e				
George Fisher	Wet 2010	42.7	11.5	2.7 ± 0.2	144	5.5
Creek	Post-wet 2010	9.8	5.3	1.6 ± 0.6	54	1.4
King Gully	Wet 2010	318	102	15 ± 0.8	94	5.5
Creek	Post-wet 2010	154	96	27 ± 1.3	436	12.6
Lena Creek	Wet 2010	310	134	27.5 ± 2	65	3.5
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	Wet 2010	817	355	132 ± 2	1640	12.6
Downstream	Wet 2010	305	564	65 ± 5	132	5.5
north Tailing Dam 5	Post-wet 2010	23.9	14.4	2.55 ± 0.41	8470	12.6

Sites	Sampling		Copper (µg/L)	Hardness	TV at 95%	
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	protection* (µg/L)
Seepage pond	S					
Seepage	Pre-wet 2008	30.8	23	$5.7 \pm 0.4$	6,170	12.6
pond at	Wet 2009	54.3	33.8	16.8 ± 6.7	1,790	12.6
Talling Dam 5	Post-wet 2009	24	17.2	$3.4 \pm 0.1$	2,710	12.6
	Wet 2010	28.5	24.2	5.6 ± 0.2	5,400	12.6
	Post-wet 2010	27.9	6.4	1.9 ± 0.6	4,540	12.6
Seepage pond at	Pre-wet 2008	13.1	6.8	0.7 ± 0.1	6,170	12.6
	Wet 2009	12.7	7.3	$2.8 \pm 0.4$	6,780	12.6
Talling Dam 7	Post-wet 2009	15.3	12	3.1 ± 0.2	3,310	12.6

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for copper for 95% species protection. The TV have been adjusted to the site-specific conditions of water hardness.

Table 30 shows that five sites from the tributaries from the mine lease (George Fisher Creek, King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, Downstream Tailing Dams 3 and 5) had total concentrations of lead that exceeded the trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection. Two sites (downstream of Tailing Dams 3 and 5) had filterable (0.45  $\mu$ m) concentrations of lead exceed the trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection. The concentration of lead determined by the DGT technique at these five sites complied with the trigger values for lead 95% freshwater species protection (Table 30).

### Table 30.Summary of sites at tributaries from mine lease exceeding trigger values for lead for 95%<br/>freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000) over two sampling periods

Sites	Sampling		Lead (µg/L)		Hardness	TV at 95%
exceeding water guidelines	time exceeding water guidelines	Total	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	protection* (µg/L)
George Fisher Creek	Wet 2010	434	21	3.8 ± 0.3	144	26
King Gully	Wet 2010	191	8	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	94	14
Creek	Post-wet 2010	129	52	12.5 ± 1.6	436	91
Lena Creek	Wet 2010	108	13	$0.7 \pm 0.03$	65	14
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	Wet 2010	417	138	24 ± 1.8	1,640	91
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	Wet 2010	159	180	18.3 ± 1.2	132	26

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for lead giving protection of fresh water species at 95% level. The TV have been adjusted to the site-specific condition of water hardness.

### 3.1.7 Overall summary of water quality

The results of the water quality assessment show that the Leichhardt River water was alkaline and water pH varied from 7.0–8.5 over five sampling periods. The EC of samples at upstream sites (Leichhardt River upstream and Mica Creek upstream) and downstream sites (Moondarra Junction, Lake Moondarra, and Clean Water Lagoon) were low and within the limits of drinking water guidelines (<1000  $\mu$ S/cm) (ADWG, 2004). However, the EC of water sampled at Leichhardt River sites within Mount Isa City exceeded the drinking water guidelines (ADWG, 2004). The EC values of water at all sites collected in the wet season were significantly lower than those collected during the pre-wet season and the post-wet season.

The total concentrations of metals and metalloids in water were compared with drinking water guidelines (AWDG, 2004) and the results show that six sites from the Leichhardt River, four sites at tributaries from the mine lease, and two seepage ponds exceeded the drinking water guideline for arsenic, cadmium, and lead. A summary of sites exceeding drinking water guidelines is presented in Table 23 and Table 24. The irrigation and livestock watering guidelines for the measured metals and metalloids were not exceeded (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000).

## 3.1.7.1 Overall results for total metal and metalloid concentrations compared against guidelines for the 95% level for freshwater species protection (first step on the decision tree)

Total metal and metalloid concentrations of water were compared with water hardness adjusted trigger values for 95% level of freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000). The results show that five sites in the Leichhardt River exceeded the trigger value for cadmium (Table 25); nine sites exceeded the trigger value for copper (Table 26); and two sites exceeded the trigger value for lead (Table 27). No site in Leichhardt River exceeded the trigger value for arsenic, but two sites in the tributaries from the mine lease exceeded the trigger value for arsenic (Table 28). Table 29 showed that the trigger value for 95% species protection for copper was exceeded at one site from urban discharge (Breakaway Creek Junction); five sites in tributaries from the mine lease; and two seepage ponds at Tailing Dam 5 and Tailing Dam 7.

Table 31 and Figure 23 summarise the locations of sites exceeding drinking water guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead and Table 32 and Figure 24 summarise the locations of sites exceeding the 95% species protection trigger values for arsenic, cadmium, copper, and lead.

Map no.	Sites exceeding ADWG (2004)	Metal or metalloid
Leichhardt River		
1	23rd Avenue	Arsenic/lead
2	19th Avenue	Arsenic
3	Davis Road Crossing	Arsenic/cadmium/lead
4	Moondarra Crossing	Arsenic/lead
5	Moondarra Junction	Arsenic/cadmium/lead
6	Clear Water Lagoon	Cadmium
Tributaries from th	e mine lease	
7	King Gully Creek	Arsenic/cadmium/lead
8	Lena Creek	Arsenic/cadmium/lead
9	Downstream Tailing Dam 3	Arsenic/cadmium/lead
10	Downstream Tailing Dam 5	Arsenic/cadmium/lead
Seepage ponds		
11	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5	Arsenic/lead
12	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 7	Lead
13	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 8	Arsenic/lead

Table 31. Sites exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines



Figure 23. Summary of sites exceeding Australian drinking water guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead (refer to Table 31 for site names)

Map no.	Sites exceeding TV for 95% freshwater species protection	Metal or metalloid		
Leichhardt River				
1	Leichhardt River upstream	Copper		
2	Mica Creek upstream	Copper		
3	23rd Avenue	Copper		
4	19th Avenue	Copper		
5	Isa Crossing	Copper		
6	Alma Crossing	Cadmium/copper/ lead		
7	Davis Road crossing	Cadmium		
8	Moondarra Crossing	Cadmium/copper		
9	Moondarra Junction	Cadmium/copper/ lead		
10	Clear Water Lagoon	Cadmium/copper		
Urban discharge				
11	Breakaway Creek Junction	Copper		
Tributaries from the	ne mine lease			
12	George Fisher Creek	Cadmium/copper/ lead		
13	King Gully Creek	Cadmium/copper/		
14	Lena Creek	Cadmium/copper/ lead		
15	Downstream Tailing Dam 3	Arsenic/cadmium/copper/lead		
16	Downstream Tailing Dam 5	Cadmium/ copper/lead		
Seepage ponds				
17	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5	Arsenic/copper/ lead		
18	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 7	Copper		
19	Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 8	Lead		

## Table 32. Sites where total concentrations exceeded trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)



Figure 24. Summary of sites exceeding trigger values for arsenic, cadmium, and lead for 95% freshwater species protection (refer to Table 32 for site names). TV has been adjusted to the site-specific conditions of water hardness

# 3.1.7.2 Overall results on relevant comparison of metal and metalloid concentration compared against guidelines for the 95% level for freshwater species protection (next steps on decision tree for metals)

The metals and metalloids with filterable (0.45 µm) concentrations, including soluble species, were measured by the DGT technique at sites where total concentrations exceeded the hardness-adjusted trigger values for the 95% levels for freshwater species protection. This comparison aligns with the decision tree process for water (Figure 11). The data for physico-chemical properties and metal and metalloid concentrations of sites with filterable and DGT concentrations that exceeded the hardness-adjusted trigger values, were then used in the visual MINTEQ program (Section 2.5.7). The visual MINTEQ program calculated the free metal or metalloid concentrations as inorganic and organic species, predicted to be in solution. The summary results are presented for cadmium (Table 33); copper (Table 34); and lead (Table 35).

The calculated inorganic species concentrations (including free ions in solution) from Table 33 to Table 35 were compared against the hardness-adjusted trigger values for the 95% level for freshwater species protection. The results of this comparison (Table 36 and Table 37) show:

- one site exceeded the trigger values for arsenic (seepage pond for Tailing Dam 5)
- seven sites exceeded the trigger values for cadmium (Alma Crossing, Davis Crossing, downstream north of Tailing Dam 3, downstream north of Tailing Dam 5, George Fisher Creek, King Gully Creek, and Lena Creek)
- eight sites exceeded the trigger values for copper (Leichhardt River upstream, Mica Creek, Isa Crossing, downstream north of Tailing Dam 3, downstream north of Tailing Dam 5, George Fisher Creek, King Gully Creek and Lena Creek).

These findings indicate that biological effects assessments, such as direct toxicity assessments (Figure 11), needed to be undertaken at these sites.

According to the Queensland Water Quality Guidelines (QWQG 2009), toxicant trigger values in water at the 90% level for freshwater species protection can be applied to highly disturbed systems. Further interpretation of the results at downstream sites, which were considered to be highly disturbed, including Davis Crossing and Alma Crossing was undertaken. The results were compared with the trigger values for metals for 95% freshwater species protection (Table 32). The results show that both sites complied with the trigger values for cadmium for 90% freshwater species protection.

## Table 33.Cadmium concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated<br/>by visual MINTEQ from sites that exceeded the trigger values for 95% freshwater species<br/>protection and complied with 90% freshwater species protection

		Measured results in filtered fraction and DGT technique		Visual	MINTEQ calcu	ulation	Hardness	TV* for 95%	TV* for 90%	
Sites	Species	0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	Cd <sup>2+</sup>	Inorganic species	Organic species	as CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	species protection (µg/L)	species protection (µg/L)	
Post-wet se	ason 2009									
Davis Crossing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	69	25.6	84.6	15.4	519	2	4	
	Cd (µg/L)	3.9	2.7 ± 0.3	1.0	3.3	0.6				
Wet season	2010									
Alma Crossing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	44	63	78	22	230	1.14	2.3	
	Cd (µg/L)	2.7	1.2 ± 0.1	1.7	2.1	0.6				

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for 95% and 90% freshwater species protection. The TV has been adjusted for site-specific conditions of water hardness.

# Table 34.Copper concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual<br/>MINTEQ from sites that exceeded the trigger values for the 95% level of freshwater species<br/>protection

Sites	Species	Measu results in fraction DGT tecl	Measured results in filtered fractions and DGT technique		Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	TV* 95% species protection		
		0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>dgt</sub>	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	Inorganic species	Organic species	(iiig/L)	(µg/L)
Wet season 2009	9							
Mica Creek	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	24.8	4.9	64	36	21	1.4
	Cu (µg/L)	11.2	3.1 ± 0.6	0.6	7	4		
Leichhardt River Upstream	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	22	4.8	50	50	32	1.4
	Cu (µg/L)	4.9	1.1 ± 0.1	0.2	2	2		
Isa Crossing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	6.8	1.1	52	48	187	1.4
	Cu (µg/L)	13.3	0.9 ± 0.04	0.1	7	6		
Moondarra Junction	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	24	0	7	93	94	3.5
	Cu (µg/L)	6.6	1.6 ± 0.1	0.01	0.45	6.13		
Wet season 2010	0		· · · · · ·					
Alma Crossing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	20	0.4	2.5	97.5	230	1.4
	Cu (µg/L)	8	2 ± 0.1	0.03	0.2	8.2		
Breakaway Creek Junction	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	15	0.4	9.9	90	257	7.3
	Cu (µg/L)	11	2 ± 0.1	0.05	1.1	10		
Lena Creek	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	20.5	10.1	41	59	65	3.5
	Cu (µg/L)	134	28 ± 2	14	55	80		
King Gully Creek	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	14.7	1.9	55	45	94	5.5
	Cu (µg/L)	102	15 ± 0.8	2.1	56	46		
George Fisher Creek	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	26.1	1	7	93	144	5.5
	Cu (µg/L)	11.5	3 ± 0.2	0.1	1	11		
Downstream north of Tailing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	37	13	73	27	1635	12.6
Dam 3	Cu (µg/L)	355	132 ± 2	17	100	38		

Sites	Species	Measu results in fraction DGT tecl	ured filtered s and hnique	Visual N	MINTEQ cal	culation	Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	TV* 95% species protection
		0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>dgt</sub>	Cu <sup>2+</sup>	Inorganic species	Organic species	(iiig/L)	(µg/L)
Downstream north of Tailing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	12	4	48	52	132	5.5
Dam 5	Cu (µg/L)	564	65 ± 5	7	86	94		
Seepage pond of Tailing Dam 5	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	10.0	5	59	41	5404	12.6
	Cu (µg/L)	24	5.6 ± 0.2	1	14	10		

TV\*: ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for copper for 95% freshwater species protection. The TV has been adjusted for site-specific conditions for water hardness.

### Table 35.Lead concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual<br/>MINTEQ from sites that exceeded the trigger values for 95% species protection

Sites	Species	Meas results i fractions tech	sured n filtered and DGT nique	Visua	I MINTEQ cal	culation	Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	TV* 95% species
		0.45 <i>µ</i> m	C <sub>DGT</sub>	Pb <sup>2+</sup>	Inorganic species	Organic species	(mg/L) ័	protection (μg/L)
Wet season 2	010							
Downstream north Tailing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	17	16	64	36	1635	91
Dam 3	Pb (µg/L)	138	24 ± 1.8	22	89	49		
Downstream north Tailing	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	10	0.5	5.1	94.9	132	26
Dam 5	Pb (µg/L)	180	18.3 ± 1.2	0.9	9.2	170		

TV\*: ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for lead for 95% freshwater species protection. The TV has been adjusted for site-specific conditions of water hardness.

Table 36.	Comparison of arsenic and cadmium concentrations measured in 0.45 $\mu$ m fractions and by
	the DGT technique and calculated by visual MINTEQ at sites exceeding trigger values for 95%
	species protection

Metal or metalloid	0.45 $\mu$ m fraction	DGT technique	Visual MINTEQ calculation
Arsenic	Arsenic Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 (4 exceedances)		Seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 (3 exceedances)
	Alma Crossing (1	Alma Crossing (1	Alma Crossing (1
	exceedance)	exceedance)	exceedance)
	Davis Crossing (1	Davis Crossing (1	Davis Crossing (1
	exceedance)	exceedance)	exceedance)
	Downstream north Tailing	Downstream north Tailing	Downstream north Tailing
	Dam 3 (1 exceedance)	Dam 3 (1 exceedance)	Dam 3 (1 exceedance)
Cadmium	Downstream north Tailing	Downstream north Tailing	Downstream north Tailing
	Dam 5 (1 exceedance)	Dam 5 (1 exceedance)	Dam 5 (1 exceedance)
	George Fisher Creek (1	George Fisher Creek (1	George Fisher Creek (1
	exceedance)	exceedance)	exceedance)
	King Gully Creek (2	King Gully Creek (1	King Gully Creek (1
	exceedances)	exceedance)	exceedance)
	Lena Creek (1	Lena Creek (1	Lena Creek (1
	exceedance)	exceedance)	exceedance)

# Table 37.Comparison of copper and lead concentrations measured in 0.45 $\mu$ m fractions, evaluated using<br/>the DGT technique and calculated by visual MINTEQ at sites exceeding trigger values for 95%<br/>species protection

Metal or metalloid	0.45 $\mu$ m fraction	DGT technique	Visual MINTEQ calculation
	Leichhardt River upstream (1 exceedance)	Nil	Leichhardt River upstream (1 exceedance)
	Mica Creek upstream (1 exceedance)	Mica Creek upstream (1 exceedance)	Mica Creek upstream (1 exceedance)
	Isa Crossing (1 exceedance)	Nil	Isa Crossing (1 exceedance)
	Alma Crossing (3 exceedances)	Alma Crossing (2 exceedances	Nil
	Moondarra Junction (4 exceedances)	Nil	Nil
Coppor	Breakaway Creek (1 exceedance)	Nil	Nil
Copper	George Fisher Creek (2 exceedances)	George Fisher Creek(1 exceedance)	Nil
	King Gully Creek (2 exceedances)	King Gully Creek (2 exceedances)	King Gully Creek (2 exceedances)
	Lena Creek (1 exceedance)	Lena Creek (1 exceedance)	Lena Creek (1 exceedance)
	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3 (1 exceedance)	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3 (1 exceedance)	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3 (1 exceedance)
	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5 (1 exceedance)	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5 (1 exceedance)	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5 (1 exceedance)
	Seepage pond Tailing Dam 5 (4 exceedances)	Seepage pond Tailing Dam 5 (1 exceedance)	Seepage pond Tailing Dam 5 (1 exceedance)

Metal or metalloid	0.45 $\mu$ m fraction	DGT technique	Visual MINTEQ calculation
Lood	Downstream north Tailing Dam 3 (1 exceedance)	Nil	Nil
Lead	Downstream north Tailing Dam 5 (1 exceedance)	Nil	Nil

## 3.1.8 Water chemistry and metal and metalloid concentrations in water at the first flush collection in the wet season of 2010

During the wet season of 2010, five sites at Leichhardt River (upstream and within Mount Isa City) and five sites at tributaries from the mine lease were selected to collect water samples for measuring water quality after the first rain event of the wet season. The results for metals and metalloid concentrations at these Leichhardt River sites are presented in Table 38. The results for arsenic, cadmium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc did not exceed the drinking water guidelines (ADWG, 2004). However, arsenic, cadmium, and lead concentrations collected at most of the tributaries from the mine lease did exceed the drinking water guidelines (ADWG, 2004).

## Table 38.Metal and metalloid concentrations of water sampled in the Leichhardt River after the first flush<br/>event of the wet season in 2010 (3 January 2010)

Citoo	Fraction	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Zinc
Siles	Fraction			(µg	ı/L)		
Leichhardt	Total	0.5	<0.1	6.3	0.9	1.5	8.5
River upstream	0.45 µm	0.4	<0.1	4.5	1	0.2	4.6
Mica Creek	Total	1.2	<0.1	19.1	1.7	2.3	7.4
upstream	0.45 µm	1.1	<0.1	14.7	6.2	0.9	3.2
23rd	Total	0.6	<0.1	10.2	1	2.8	18.9
Avenue	0.45 µm	0.6	<0.1	6.7	0.4	0.3	5.7
Alma	Total	0.7	<0.1	11.8	0.9	3	18.4
Crossing	0.45 µm	0.6	<0.1	8.4	0.5	0.4	8.7
Moondarra	Total	1.7	<0.1	15.7	0.7	7.7	10.4
Junction		1.6	<0.1	10.6	0.5	1.1	9
Australian Drinking Water Guideline (ADWG, 2004)		7	2	1,000	20	10	3,000
Number of sites exceeding ADWG (2004)		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

## Table 39.Metal and metalloid concentrations in water sampled at tributaries from mine lease sites at first<br/>flush collection in the wet season of 2010 (3 January 2010)

Sitoo	Erection	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Zinc
Siles	Fraction			(µg	/L)		
Lena Creek	Total	12.4	3.2	310	3.3	108	214
	0.45 µm	5.3	2.7	134	2.2	13	165
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	1 ± 0.1	28 ± 2	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.03$	64 ± 6
King Gully	Total	8.2	3.5	318	4.1	191	207
Creek	0.45 µm	4.6	1.2	102	2.4	7.5	40
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	15 ± 0.8	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.04$	16 ± 2
George	Total	4.1	2.3	43	5	444	407
Fisher Creek	0.45 µm	1.3	1.3	12	5	21	87
	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	0.5 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.2	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	3.75 ± 0.32	39 ± 4

Sites	Fraction	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Zinc
Siles	Fraction			(µg	ı/L)		
Downstream	Total	26.4	16.4	817	5	417	458
north of	0.45 µm	12.6	16.3	355	12	138	260
Tailing Dam 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	$3.1 \pm 0.02$	132 ± 2	$0.8 \pm 0.01$	24.3 ± 1.8	$141 \pm 0.6$
Downstream	Total	11.8	9.9	305	8	159	273
north of	0.45 µm	6.6	8.7	564	4	180	270
Talling Dam 5	C <sub>DGT</sub>	-	$5.5 \pm 0.5$	65 ± 5	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	18 ± 1.2	96 ± 8
Australian Drinking Water Guideline (ADWG, 2004)		7	2	2,000	20	10	3,000
Number of site exceeding AD	es WG (2004)	4	5	Nil	Nil	5	Nil

Note: Bold values indicate site exceed guideline values.

### 3.2 Sediment studies on metal and metalloids

Six sets of sediment samples were collected at Leichhardt River from 2007 to 2009 (Table 3). The <63 µm fractions of sediments were prepared and analysed for total and cold 1M HCl extraction of metal and metalloids, as described in Section 2.6. The total concentrations of metals and metalloids were initially compared against the ANZECC/ARMCANZ ISQG-Low for sediments (Table 1). When the total concentrations of metals and metalloids exceeded the ISQG-High and ISQG-Low, the 1M HCl extract concentrations, which give an estimate of bioavailability (Figure 12), were compared against the ISQG-Low. If the ISQG-Low was still exceeded, the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision tree process for assessing contaminated sediment (Figure 12) requires an assessment of toxicity. All results for the six sets of sediment samples are given in Appendix 6.

### 3.2.1 Sediment samples from the Leichhardt River collected in 2007

Table 40 summarises the sites that exceeded ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for 1M HCl extracts of metal and metalloids concentrations in sediments collected in 2007 (Noller et al., 2009).

Metal or metalloid	Number of s	sites exceed QG	ISQG-Low (mg/kg)	ISQG-High (mg/kg)	Sites exceed ISQG-Low	Sites with highest concentrations
	ISQG-Low	ISQG-High				(mg/kg)
Arsenic	1	1	20	70	L9	174 (L9)
Cadmium	3	1	1.5	10	L9,L12,L15	111 (L12)
Copper	4	1	65	270	L7,L9,L12,L15	6400 (L12)
Lead	4	3	50	220	L7,L9,L12,L15	20,000 (L12)
Zinc	3	1	200	410	L9,L12,L15	4,290 (L12)
Nickel	1	0	21	52	L7	22.2 (17)

## Table 40. Summary of sites exceeding ISQG-Low for metal and metalloid concentrations in 1M HCI extraction of sediment samples collected in 2007

### 3.2.2 Sediment samples following the Leichhardt River Remediation Program

Ten sites (Figure 14) were selected for sediment quality evaluation and concurrent aquatic toxicity testing following the 2008 Leichhardt River Remediation Program. Samples were collected between 15 and 16 October 2009. The metal and metalloid concentrations of the total digestion and 1M HCl extraction of these sediments are in Table 41. The sediment concentrations were compared against ISQG-Low and ISQG-High (Table 1). The summary of sites exceeding ISQG-Low and ISQG-High (Table 42) showed that nine sites exceeded ISQG-Low and one site exceeded ISQG-High for cadmium.

The highest cadmium concentration was 59 mg/kg at Davis Crossing. Ten sites exceeded ISQG-Low for copper with the highest copper concentration of 272 mg/kg at the upper Lake Moondarra site 'between the junction and the pump station'. Ten sites exceeded ISQG-Low for lead and seven sites exceeded ISQG-High with the highest

Results for the total concentration and 1M HCI extraction of metals and metalloids in sediment samples collected 15–16 October 2009 Table 41.

	Antir	nony	Arse	enic	Cadi	mium	Cot	balt	3	pper	Nic	kel	el	ad	ZII	IC
Sites	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI	Total	1MHCI
	gm)	/kg)	gm)	()kg)	(m)	s/kg)	(mg	/kg)	Ű	g/kg)	(mg	(kg)	(mg	g/kg)	gm)	/kg)
23rd																
Avenue	N/A	10	2	m	N/A	0.5	N/A	8.2	N/A	194	N/A	1.9	N/A	50.8	N/A	42
Isa Crossing	\$	<1.0	10	4.3	4	4.7	16	8.7	390	218	19	3.6	89	82.1	947	932
Alma Crossing	W/A	0.1⊳	N/A	4.2	N/A	7	N/A	8.5	N/A	190	N/A	2.7	N/A	144	N/A	274
Davis Crossing	Ŷ	1.0	16	7.4	28	59.1	21	12	264	148	19	2.9	281	225	669	578
Moondarra Crossing	11	0.1≻	19	4.7	00	6.9	18	9.2	252	155	23	3.2	348	282	500	294
Before Junction	\$	0.1>	11	2.9	9	5.7	17	8.8	212	138	20	2.5	276	253	440	310
Between junction & pump station	\$	410	24	5.9	4	3.1	22	7.7	502	272	27	2.4	328	280	376	173
Lower channel albove junction	\$	01⊳	17	1.4	5	5	17	8.2	253	156	20	2.3	375	343	442	316
Lake Moondarra	\$	0.1>	22	5.1	4	3.7	21	8.4	459	253	25	2.7	308	259	411	204
Clear Water Lagoon	20	410	34	4.2	s	4.9	19	8.6	349	222	23	4.4	389	339	550	327
Total and 1MI		- antiputor	Care on an	and an a	C.3. million	dine										

concentration of 343 mg/kg at the 'lower channel above junction'. Eight sites exceeded ISQG-Low for zinc and two sites exceeded ISQG-High for zinc. The highest zinc concentration in sediment 932 mg/kg was found at Isa Crossing. No site exceeded the ISQG-Low for nickel (Table 42).

	Number of si	tes exceed				Sites with
Elements	ISQG-Low	ISQG-High	ISQG-Low (mg/kg)	ISQG-High (mg/kg)	Sites exceeding ISQG-Low	highest concentration (mg/kg)
Arsenic	0	0	20	70	Nil	Nil
Cadmium	9	1	1.5	10	Isa Crossing	59
					Alma Crossing	(Davis
					Davis Crossing	Crossing)
					<ul> <li>Moondarra Crossing</li> </ul>	
					Before junction	
					Between junction	
					& pump station	
					Lower channel &     above junction	
					<ul> <li>Lake Moondarra</li> </ul>	
					Clear Water	
					Lagoon	
Copper	10	1	65	270	23rd Avenue	272
					Isa Crossing	(Between
					<ul> <li>Alma Crossing</li> </ul>	junction & pump station)
					Davis Crossing	otationy
					<ul> <li>Moondarra Crossing</li> </ul>	
					<ul> <li>Before junction</li> </ul>	
					<ul> <li>Between junction &amp; pump station</li> </ul>	
					<ul> <li>Lower channel &amp; above junction</li> </ul>	
					Lake Moondarra	
Lead	10	7	50	220	23rd Avenue	343
					<ul> <li>Isa Crossing</li> </ul>	(Lower channel
					Alma Crossing	above iunction)
					Davis Crossing	janotionij
					<ul> <li>Moondarra Crossing</li> </ul>	
					Before junction	
					<ul> <li>Between junction &amp; pump station</li> </ul>	
					Lower channel &	
					above junction	
					Lake Moondarra	
					Clear Water     Lagoon	

	Table 42.	Summary of sites	exceeding ISQG-I	Low for 1MHCI extraction
--	-----------	------------------	------------------	--------------------------

	Number of si	tes exceed					Sites with
Elements	ISQG-Low	ISQG-High	ISQG-Low (mg/kg)	ISQG-High (mg/kg)		Sites exceeding ISQG-Low	highest concentration (mg/kg)
Zinc	8	2	200	410	• • • •	Isa Crossing Alma Crossing Davis Crossing Moondarra Crossing Before junction Lower channel & above junction Lake Moondarra Clear Water	932 (Isa Crossing)
Nickel	0	0	21	52		Lagoon	Nil

#### 3.2.3 Sediment from Leichhardt River Verification Program

During 13–14 November 2009, 79 sediment samples were collected from the section of the Leichhardt River comprising Alma Crossing to Moondarra Junction. These samples were collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program. Each sample site is referred to as LR (Table 3). The samples were analysed for total digest and 1M HCI extraction metals and metalloids in <63 µm fractions (Section 2.6). The results are presented in Figure 25 to Figure 30. The total concentration and 1M HCI concentrations are compared with the ISQG-Low and ISQG-High of each metal and metalloid. Table 43 summarises:

- the number of sites that have total concentrations of metal and metalloids exceeding the ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, zinc, and nickel
- the number of sites that exceeded ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for 1M HCl extraction.

The metal and metalloid concentrations for 1M HCI extraction were compared against the ISQG-Low. The results show:

- two sites (LR10 and LR10DUP) (Figure 31) exceeded ISQG-Low for arsenic
- 79 sites exceeded ISQG-Low for cadmium, with the highest readings at LR68
- 78 sites exceeded ISQG-Low for copper with the highest readings at LR10
- 79 sites exceeded ISQG-Low for lead with the highest reading at LR9
- 50 sites exceeded ISQG-Low for zinc with the highest reading at LR10 (Table 43).

The ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process for assessing contaminated sediment (Figure 12) indicates that sites exceeding ISQG-Low for 1M HCI extraction are considered to be contaminated and require a toxicity assessment.



Figure 25. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of arsenic compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for arsenic in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 26. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of cadmium compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for cadmium in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 27. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of copper compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for copper in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)


Figure 28. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCI extraction concentration (b) of nickel compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for nickel in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 29. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCI extraction concentration (b) of lead compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for lead in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 30. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCI extraction concentration (b) of zinc compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for zinc in sediment samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program by Xstrata (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 31. Sampling sites of Leichhardt River Verification Program (13-14 November 2009). The insert map shows the LR10 site, where the concentration of arsenic exceeded ISQG-Low. LR10 is identified as being contaminated for human health

## Table 43.Summary sites exceeding ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for total metal concentrations and 1M HCI<br/>extraction in sediment sampled for the Leichhardt River Verification Program 13–14 November<br/>2009

	Sites excee	eding ISQG			Sites with	
Metal or metalloid	ISQG-Low	ISQG-High	ISQG-Low (mg/ kg)	ISQG-High (mg/ kg)	highest total concentration (mg/kg)	
(a) Total concent	ration(<63 <i>µ</i> m fra	ction) (mg/kg)				
Arsenic	31	4	20	70	LR10 and LR10DUP (Mean ± SD 460±37)	
Cadmium	86	33	1.5	10	LR 9 (52)	
Copper	86	77	65	270	LR10 and LR10DUP (Mean ± SD 2300±30)	
Lead	86	72	50	220	LR9 (9200)	
Zinc	86	77	200	410	LR9 (11500)	
Nickel	84	0	21	52	LR67 (34)	
(b) 1M HCI extrac	tion concentration	n (<63 µm fraction	ı) (mg/kg)			
Arsenic	2	2	20	70	LR10 only (242)	
Cadmium	79	22	1.5	10	LR68 (66)	
Copper	78	10	65	270	LR10 only (1160)	
Lead	79	46	50	220	LR9 (2650)	
Zinc	50	18	200	410	LR9 (4200)	
Nickel	0	0	21	52	Nil	

#### 3.2.4 Sediment from Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program

The regional/background samples (Section 2.6.1.2; Figure 32 to Figure 37) were analysed for total digested and 1M HCl extracted metals and metalloids in <63  $\mu$ m fractions (Section 2.6). The results in Figure 25 to Figure 30 show that the total concentration, when compared with the ISQG-Low and ISQG-High of each metal and metalloid, were exceeded in some cases. When the results for 1M HCl extraction concentrations in Figure 25 to Figure 30 and Figure 32 to Figure 37 were compared with the ISQG-Low, some samples exceeded the ISQG-Low for copper and lead. Table 43 summarises:

- the sites that have total concentrations of copper and lead exceeding the ISQG-Low
- the sites that exceeded the ISQG-Low for 1HCI extraction.

These results indicate that some sites in the upper catchment may show effects of copper and lead on aquatic biota.

Twenty-nine sediment samples were collected by Xstrata from an upstream section of the Leichhardt River comprising Mica Creek up to Rifle Creek. The sample sites are referred to as RB in Table 3 and Figure 16. An additional three sediment samples were collected at Spring Creek (SPC) Bridge, and First and Second SPC Gullies, which lie in the upper catchment of George Fisher Creek and flow to Lake Moondarra (Figure 38).

The results of total and 1M HCl extraction metal and metalloid concentrations in the regional/ background samples are presented in Figure 32 to Figure 37. Table 44 and Table 45 compared the results with ISQG-Low. Table 46 summarises the background sediment sites that exceed or comply with ISQG-Low for copper and lead. Thirteen out of twenty-nine sites had concentrations of copper and lead in sediment that exceeded the ISQG-Low trigger values. The highest concentration of copper (226 mg/kg) and lead (140 mg/kg) were found at RB8. The mean concentrations (± se) of 1M HCl extraction copper and lead for sites that exceeded the ISQG-Low were 115±38 mg/kg and 70±20mg/kg, respectively. Most of the sites exceeding ISQG-Low were located in the Mica Creek catchment and in overlies on the top of abandoned mines (Figure 38). Mean concentration (± se) of 1M HCl extraction copper and lead for sites that concentration (± se) of 1M HCl extraction copper and lead mines (Figure 38). Mean concentration (± se) of 1M HCl extraction copper and lead for sites that exceeding 132, and 23±2.4 mg/kg, mg/kg and 23±2.4 mg/kg ang 23±2.4 mg/kg ang

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

respectively. The 1M HCl extraction metal and metalloids from the three additional sediment samples collected at SPC Bridge, First and Second SPC Gully (Figure 38), (background), also exceeded the ISQG-Low (Table 43). These sites are located above the Handlebar Hill Open Cut operations, which were also associated with abandoned copper mines (Figure 38).

ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) advise that for sediment in highly disturbed systems, metals should be <3 X natural background and for toxicants <3 X ISQG – Low. Comparison of the results in Table 44 and Table 45 with these two criteria shows that metal and metalloid concentrations in 1M HCl extractions do not exceed the criteria. This supports the use of all sediment data from background sites for site-specific guideline purposes.

# Table 44. Summary of sites exceeding ISQG-Low for 1M HCI metal and metalloid extraction concentrations in sediment sampled for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (November 2009)

Sitoo	1M HCl extraction (mg/kg) in <63 µm fraction										
Siles	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Zinc					
RB_01	2.1	0.7	161	<0.1	67	22.5					
RB_02	3.5	0.4	79	<0.1	41	13.4					
RB_03	4.8	0.3	116	1.5	51	18.8					
RB_04	11	<1	204	2	95	39.7					
RB_05	3	<1	125	2	63	23					
RB_06	5.5	0.3	72	<1	46	19.5					
RB_07	10.7	0.4	96	<1	55	22.3					
RB_08	8.0	1.1	226	3	140	90.7					
RB_09	8.9	0.9	99	2	66	27.8					
RB_10	9	1	197	1.7	99	34.3					
RB_11	8.3	<1	194	1	85	27					
RB_16	<1	0.1	84	1	15	4.4					
RB_17	3.6	0.4	77	2.4	54	22.2					
RB_23	6.3	0.3	58	1.7	68	18.9					
RB_24	10.1	0.4	58	<1	55	28.5					
RB_25	2.7	0.4	59	1.1	59	21.6					
SPC Bridge	1.8	0.7	84	1.2	79	30					
First SPC Gully	1.1	0.7	87	1.2	91	40.2					
Second SPC Gully	2	0.9	100	1.7	109	59.2					
Mean	6	0.6	115	1.5	70	30					
SE	2.4	0.2	38	0.5	20	13					
ISQG-Low	20	1.5	65	21	50	200					
3 X ISQG-Low	60	4.5	195	63	150	600					
3 X Mean	18	1.8	345	4.5	210	90					

## Table 45.Summary of sites complying with ISQG-Low for 1M HCI metal and metalloid extraction<br/>concentrations in sediment sampled for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling<br/>Program by Xstrata (November 2009)

Cites	1M HCl extraction (mg/kg) in <63 µm fraction											
Sites	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Zinc						
RB_12	1.3	0.2	38	1.3	20	13.6						
RB_13	<1	0.1	41	1.3	15	6.9						
RB_14	<1	<0.1	28	1.4	11	3.1						
RB_15	<1	0.1	52	3	15	4.7						
RB_18	<1	0.2	43	2.6	29	19.4						
RB_19	2.5	0.2	34	1.2	28	12.5						
RB_20	4.45	0.5	58	1.9	41	18.6						
RB_21	5.1	0.2	22	1.2	24	12.1						
RB_22	4.4	0.2	25	1.2	26	13.7						
RB_26	2.3	0.4	49	1.8	32	19.6						
RB_27	<1	0.3	49	1.9	18	9.4						
RB_28	<1	0.3	43	1.5	21	11.3						
RB_29	<1	<1	19	2.0	12	14.3						
Mean	3	0.3	39	1.7	23	12						
SE	0.9	0.1	4.9	0.2	2.4	1.5						
ISQG-Low	20	1.5	65	21	50	200						
3 X ISQG-Low	60	4.5	195	63	150	600						
3 X Mean	9	0.9	117	5.1	69	36						



Figure 32. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of arsenic compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for arsenic in sediment samples collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009).



Figure 33. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCI extraction concentration (b) of cadmium compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for cadmium in sediment samples collected for the Regional/ Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009).



Figure 34. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of copper compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for copper in sediment samples collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009).



Figure 35. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of nickel compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for nickel in sediment samples collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009).



Figure 36. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of lead compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for lead in sediment samples collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009).



Figure 37. Total concentration (a) and 1M HCl extraction concentration (b) of zinc compared with ISQG-Low and ISQG-High for zinc in sediment samples collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (11 November 2009).

Table 46. Summary sites exceeding or complying with the ISQG-Low for 1M HCl extraction of copper and lead in sediment samples (<63 µm) collected for the Regional/Background Stream Sediment Sampling Program by Xstrata (November 2009).

Cites	1M HCI extraction (mg/kg)		ISQG-Low	ISQG-Low	Exceeded for	Exceeded for Comment	
Siles	Copper	Lead	Copper	Lead	metals		
RB01	161	67	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB02	79	41	Exceeded	Comply	Copper		
RB03	116	51	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB04	204	95	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB05	125	63	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB06	72	46	Exceeded	Comply	Copper		
RB07	96	55	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB08	226	140	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead	Highest	
RB09	99	66	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB10	197	99	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB11	194	85	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB12	38	20	Comply	Comply			
RB13	41	15	Comply	Comply			
RB14	28	11	Comply	Comply			
RB15	52	15	Comply	Comply			
RB16	84	15	Exceeded	Comply	Copper		
RB17	77	54	Exceeded	Exceeded	Copper, lead		
RB18	43	29	Comply	Comply			
RB19	34	28	Comply	Comply			
RB20	58	41	Comply	Comply			
RB21	22	24	Comply	Comply			
RB22	25	26	Comply	Comply			
RB23	58	42	Comply	Comply			
RB23R	58	68	Comply	Exceeded	Lead		
RB24	58	55	Comply	Exceeded	Lead		
RB25	59	59	Comply	Exceeded	Lead		
RB26	49	32	Comply	Comply			
RB27	49	18	Comply	Comply			
RB28	43	21	Comply	Comply			
RB29	19	12	Comply	Comply			
ISQG-low	65	50					



Figure 38. Locations of regional/background sample sites of Rifle Creek and Spring Creek (SPC). Sites that exceeded ISQG-Low sediment for copper are shown by red stars and those that complied with ISQG-Low sediment for copper are shown by green stars.

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

The results in Table 43 indicate that a number of regional/background sites were affected by historical mining activities or the presence of natural mineralisation. Delineation of the sites known to have been affected by historical mining (Table 44) provide the best dataset for identifying regional/background sites that only reflect the presence of natural mineralisation.

#### 3.2.5 Sediment from the Rifle Creek Dam background site

Sediment was collected from Rifle Creek Dam as part of the comprehensive assessment of a background site for both water and sediment aquatic toxicity assessment (Section 3.3). The comparison of results (Table 47) for total digestion and 1M HCl extraction with ISQG-Low showed that copper and lead exceeded ISQG-Low. The suitability of this site as a background comparison depends on further toxicity assessment according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision-tree process for sediment contamination (Figure 12).

### Table 47.Results for total concentration and 1M HCl extraction of metals and metalloids in sediment<br/>sample (<63 $\mu$ m) collected from Rifle Creek Dam on 5 August 2009

Metals and metalloids	Rifle C (n	Sediment ISQG-Low	
	Total	1M HCI	(mg/kg)
Aluminium	14,700	2,030	N/A
Antimony	<5	<1	2
Arsenic	9	3	20
Cadmium	_	1.1	1.5
Cobalt	14	6.8	N/A
Chromium	28	3	N/A
Copper	122	83.8	65
Iron	28,500	6,000	N/A
Lead	97	67.3	50
Manganese	1,830	1,450	N/A
Nickel	20	2.9	52
Zinc	149	89	410

### Table 48.Metal and metalloid 1M HCl extractable concentrations in sediment collected upstream from<br/>Rifle Creek Dam 5 August 2010

Elements	Rifle Creek Dam (mg/kg)					
	<2 mm	<63 µm				
Aluminium	160	2030				
Antimony	<1.0	<1				
Arsenic	<1.0	3				
Cadmium	0.1	1.1				
Cobalt	1.2	6.8				
Chromium	<1.0	3				
Copper	6.8	83.8				
Iron	930	6000				
Lead	13.8	67.3				
Manganese	77	1450				
Nickel	<1.0	2.9				
Zinc	36.3	89				

An additional comparison was made for metals and metalloids in the Rifle Creek Dam sediment collected on 5 August 2009 between the <2 mm and <63  $\mu$ m fractions (Table 48). The results show that the <63  $\mu$ m fraction has metal and metalloid concentrations that exceeds those for the <2 mm fraction, which indicates that the finer fraction is likely to be more significant with respect to effects on aquatic biota.

#### 3.2.6 Summary of all sediment data

The 1M HCl extractable concentrations for metal and metalloids of six sets of samples collected in the Leichhardt River and upstream sites from 2007 to 2009 were compared against the ISQG-Low for arsenic, cadmium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc. The locations of sites exceeding ISQG-Low (red stars) and complying (green stars) are presented in Figure 39 to Figure 44. These figures show the general trends of downstream contaminated sediment below Mount Isa City and upstream contamination from historical mining, particularly for copper and lead.



Figure 39. Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for arsenic (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for arsenic (green stars)



Figure 40. Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for cadmium (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for cadmium (green stars)



Figure 41. Sampling sites of Leichhardt River Verification Program (13-14 November 2009). The insert map shows the LR10 site, where the concentration of arsenic exceeded ISQG-Low. LR10 is identified as being contaminated for human health



Figure 42. Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for nickel (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for nickel (green stars)



Figure 43. Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for lead (red stars), sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for lead (green stars)



Figure 44. Summary of sites that exceed ISQG-Low for zinc (red stars) and sites that do not exceed ISQG-Low for zinc (green stars)

#### 3.2.7 Comparison of sediment concentrations (<250 µm fraction) with NEPM Health Investigation Level E

#### 3.2.7.1 Sediment samples

Table 49 gives a comparison of total concentrations and the percentage of bioaccessibility (%BAc) of metals and arsenic in the < 250  $\mu$ m fraction of sediment samples (Section 2.7). The results were compared with the NEPM HIL — Level E for recreational use of land (Table 4) and indicated that none of the sites exceeded the NEPM HIL — Level E. When adjusted for bioaccessibility, the metal and arsenic concentrations were substantially lower than total the values.

Table 49.	Results for total concentration and percentage of bioaccessibility (BAc%) of arsenic, cadmium,
	copper, lead, and zinc in Leichhardt River sediment samples (< 250 $\mu$ m fraction) collected
	15–16 October 2009

	Arsenic		Cadmium		Copper		Le	ad	Zinc	
Sites	Total (mg/kg)	BAc (%)	Total (mg/kg)	BAc (%)	Total (mg/kg)	BAc (%)	Total (mg/kg)	BAc (%)	Total (mg/ kg)	BAc (%)
23rd Avenue	<5	3.0	<1	<1	12	0.3	6	2.3	14	8
Isa Crossing	<5	1.8	<1	2	14	57	6	26	50	-
Alma Crossing	5	13	2	56	83	28	43	25	121	75
Davis Crossing	<5	9.7	3	90	18	39	25	38	94	-
Moondarra Crossing	<5	3.2	<1	3	38	19	92	3	129	67
Before junction	<5	6.8	<1	93	21	48	49	40	98	63
Between junction & pump station	23	16	4	45	559	25	368	19	422	13
Lower channel & above junction	11	12	3	54	146	29	234	20	342	16
Lake Moondarra	21	20	5	38	501	34	339	19	460	10
Clear Water Lagoon	18	6	5	30	359	22	389	9	550	13
NEPM Level E HIL(mg/ kg)		200		40		2,000		600		14,000
Sites exceeding NEPM Level E		Nil		Nil		Nil		Nil		Nil

#### 3.2.7.2 Sediment from Leichhardt River Verification Program collected by Xstrata

The total metal and metalloid concentrations in the <2 mm fraction of the Leichhardt River Verification Program (LR) samples were compared against the NEPM soil investigation HIL E (Figure 45 to Figure 50). A summary of total arsenic, cadmium, copper, and lead concentrations for sites that exceeded the NEPM HIL E is presented in Table 50. As can be seen from Table 50, LR10 (mean  $\pm$  se) exceeded the NEPM HIL E for arsenic (520 $\pm$ 4 mg/kg); cadmium (43  $\pm$  1 mg/kg); copper (2560  $\pm$  5 mg/kg); and lead (2270  $\pm$  8 mg/kg). These results, provided by

Australian Laboratory Services, are recorded in Table A15, Appendix 6. Two additional sites (LR5 and LR9) exceeded the NEPM HIL E for lead (Table 50).



Figure 45. Total concentration of arsenic compared with NEPM HIL Level E for arsenic in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)







Figure 47. Total concentration of copper compared with NEPM HIL Level E for copper in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 48. Total concentration of zinc compared with NEPM HIL Level E for zinc in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 49. Total concentration of lead compared with NEPM Level E for lead in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)



Figure 50. Total concentration of zinc compared with NEPM HIL Level E for zinc in sediment (<2 mm fraction) samples collected by Xstrata for the Leichhardt River Verification Program (13–14 November 2009)

Metal or metalloid	NEPM Level E (mg/kg)	Sites exceeding NEPM Level E	Total concentration (mg/kg) Mean or Mean ± se
Arsenic	200	LR10	520±4
Cadmium	40	LR10	43 ± 1
Copper	2,000	LR10	2,560 ± 5
Lead	600	LR5	1,030
		LR9	3,690
		LR10	2,270 ± 8

## Table 50. Summary of sites (<2 mm fraction) exceeding NEPM Level E from the Leichhardt River</th> Verification Program

The three samples LR5, LR9, and LR10 were analysed for bioaccessibility (BAc%) (Section 2.6.1) using a different laboratory (ENTOX, Section 2.6.1) than the laboratory that analysed the data given in Table 49. The results for total concentration and bioaccessibility of samples LR5, LR9, and LR10 are presented in Table 51. Comparing the results in Table 50 and Table 51 for the total concentration of arsenic and metals shows significant difference between the results for the same site. To resolve the discrepancy in total concentration results, portions of samples LR5, LR9, and LR10 were re-sieved to give a < 2 mm fraction and a <250 µm fraction. The two fractions were mixed and split into two portions of each fraction. These samples were analysed by the two laboratories (ALS and ENTOX) for total concentrations of metals and metalloids by aqua regia digestion. The results from the two laboratories (Table A20, Appendix 6) showed no significant differences between the respective samples. Therefore, it is considered that the bulk samples collected for the Leichhardt River Verification Program, particularly at LR5, LR 9, and LR 10 (shown as LR (V)10 in Table 51), may indicate contamination and may not have been homogeneous. The analyses for both total concentration and bioaccessibility presented in Table 51 were performed on split portions of the same sample and this concentration data was considered to be reliable for health risk assessments (Section 4.2).

The comparison of total and bioaccessibility adjusted concentrations of arsenic, copper, cadmium, lead, and zinc in the  $< 250 \mu$ m fraction of sediment (Table 51) showed that sample LR10 exceeded NEPM HIL E for the bioaccessibility-adjusted cadmium and lead concentrations were considered to be a health risk and indicate that remedial attention is required at this site. Sites LR5 and LR9 did not exceed soil contamination criteria when the BAc (mg/kg) was compared against the NEPM HIL – E (Table 50). However, more detailed sampling of this part of Leichhardt River should be undertaken to confirm the findings.

The results from the comparison of the two laboratories (Table A20, Appendix 6) also points to the problem of homogeneity of river sediment and the relative significance of results. It is important that samples are representative of the concentrations present.

#### 3.2.7.3 Sediment X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurement of lead in sediment samples

Table 52 shows the results for fitting the Leichhardt River and Rifle Creek Dam sediment samples against the model lead compounds described in Section 2.6.3.1. These results were measured by using the X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurement of lead compound and mineral forms using the XANES technique (see Phase II report for further details). Most of the Leichhardt River and Rifle Creek Dam sediment samples showed large proportions of lead–goethite, which appears to be the typical form of lead in river sediment. However, samples LR2, LR3, LR7, LR10, and LR21 showed the presence of other mineral and mineral-processed lead compounds, which are potentially derived from mine wastes. In particular, LR10 from the Leichhardt River Verification Program was exclusively a mixture of anglesite and magnetoplumbite, accompanied by high cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc concentrations. The presence of anglesite (lead sulfate) was also confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (see Phase II report for further details) scan but magnetoplumbite could not be detected by XRD because of the limited sensitivity of this technique. Therefore, the XANES technique was able to show differences in lead compound composition in river sediment that could be compared with properties that relate to bioaccessibility and bioavailability.

Samples	Fraction	Arsenic	Copper	Cadmium	Lead	Zinc
LR5	Total (mg/kg)	2.8	38.7	0.8	84	35
	BAc%	7.7	13.3	40.6	24.9	20.3
	Resultant total adjusted for BAc (mg/kg)	0.22	5.2	0.32	21	7
LR9	Total (mg/kg)	15.4	104	12	286	606
	BAc%	8.7	38.7	44.2	31.8	49.2
	Resultant total adjusted for BAc (mg/kg)	1.3	40.3	5.3	91	298
LR10	Total (mg/kg)	251	4,490	163	20,930	9,920
	BAc%	3.0	28.0	44.0	17.3	19.9
	Resultant total adjusted for BAc (mg/kg)	7.6	1,260	45.6	3,620	1,970
NEPM HIL Level E		200	2,000	40	600	14,000

## Table 51. Results for total concentration and percentage of bioaccessibility (BAc%) of sediments in the $< 250 \ \mu m$ fraction

## 3.2.8 Health risk assessment of metal and metalloid uptake in aquatic biota from Leichhardt River

This data, described in Section 2.7.1, is related to the previous section because there is a link between sediment and uptake by aquatic biota in the Leichhardt River in and around Mount Isa (FRC Environmental, 2010 and summarised in Appendix 9).

On average, cadmium concentrations in fish tissue were low, except at Lake Moondarra between Clear Water Lagoon and Moondarra Junction (Figure 14). A maximum cadmium concentration of 45 mg/kg was found in the muscle tissue of a spangled perch, which are omnivorous, at this site (Appendix C, FRC, Environmental 2010). This result did not correspond with the water and sediment results for cadmium and suggests the cadmium may have been accumulated from one or more food sources.

Mean lead concentration in fish tissue exceeded the ANZFSC maximum guideline level (Table 5) at 23rd Avenue, Isa Street, Moondarra Crossing, and Moondarra Junction (Figure 14). The maximum lead concentration in fish also exceeded the ANZFSC maximum guideline level at Davis Road, Clear Water Lagoon, and Lake Moondarra (Appendix 9, FRC Environmental, 2010). The highest average lead concentration in fish tissue was at Isa Street and 23rd Avenue, which was reflected in the water quality and sediment results.

Mean lead concentrations in 2010 were lower in fish than those measured between 1978 and 1992, but higher than measured in 2005 (Appendix 9, FRC Environmental, 2010). More specifically, the lead concentration exceeded the recommended ANZFSC guideline (Appendix 9, FRC Environmental, 2010) in:

- spangled perch (omnivorous) at Isa Street, 23rd Avenue, and Lake Moondarra
- sleepy cod (carnivorous) at Davis Road, Moondarra Crossing, and Moondarra Junction
- fork tail catfish (omnivorous) in Lake Moondarra;
- bony bream (detritivores) in Lake Moondarra
- barred grunter (omnivorous) in Lake Moondarra and at Moondarra Crossing
- eastern rainbow fish (omnivorous) at Davis Road (not a target species but analysed low fish diversity).

Lead concentrations in the barramundi (piscivorous) caught from Lake Moondarra were below the laboratory limit of reporting (LOR) (FRC Environmental, 2010). The high lead concentration in omnivorous fish suggests that lead accumulation is occurring at lower trophic levels. High lead levels in carnivorous sleepy cod may also indicate accumulation through the food chain.

#### 3.2.9 Overall summary of sediment quality

The summary of sites that have sediment 1M HCl metal concentrations exceeding the ISQG-Low for arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc are presented in Figure 39 to Figure 44 and summarised in Table 42:

- two sites exceed ISQG-Low for arsenic
- 79 sites exceed ISQG-Low for cadmium
- 78 sites exceed ISQG-Low for copper
- 79 sites exceed ISQG-Low for lead
- 50 sites exceed ISQG-Low for zinc.

The summary of results in Table 44 and Table 45 indicate that further assessment is required according to the decision-tree process in Figure 12. Some of this assessment is given in Section 3.4.

The summary of locations from the Leichhardt River Verification Program (Table 50) shows one site (LR10) exceeding the NEPM HIL Level E criteria for human health risk (Table 4) for cadmium and lead, when the total concentration is adjusted for bioaccessibility. Site LR 10 requires further analysis.

The relatively high concentrations of metals and metalloids in sediment from Leichhardt River may also be linked to the uptake by fish and other aquatic biota. The study by FRC Environmental (2010) collected a range of species from twelve sites and observed that fish diversity and the abundance of juvenile fish were lowest in sites in the Leichhardt River in Mount Isa. This may have been a result of restricted breeding or high juvenile mortality at these sites due to poor water and sediment quality. It may also be related to the low flow in the Leichhardt River at the time of sampling, and a result of sampling in the dry season. In general, fish were in good condition with few skin lesions or parasites. The Leichhardt River between 23rd Avenue and Moondarra Crossing had the highest concentrations of metal in water and sediment, poor physico-chemical water quality and low fauna diversity.

#### 3.3 Aquatic toxicity studies of water and sediment

Direct aquatic toxicity assessment (DTA) was applied as an assessment step on the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision tree for water (Figure 11) and sediment (Figure 12). Four sets of water sampling programs (24 July 2008; 7 October 2009; 27–28 July 2010; and 13–16 October 2010) collected water for aquatic toxicity testing. Details of the sampling sites and test species are presented in Appendix 7, Table A21. Four sets of sediment samples were collected from the Leichhardt River (4 September 2007; 7 October 2009, 27–28 July 2010; and 13–16 October 2010 for aquatic toxicity testing. Details of this testing are in Appendix 7. Water and sediment quality of these samples were analysed. The following sections outline the aquatic toxicity assessment results and water and sediment quality data.

#### 3.3.1 Aquatic toxicity assessment and metal concentrations in water

Table 53 gives the preliminary assessment of acute toxicity from the Leichhardt River using 48-hour survival of *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* (48-h EC50). This sampling was undertaken after the Leichhardt River remediation undertaken in 2007 and was an outcome of the Phase I study (Noller et al., 2009). The results show that acute toxicity was observed at Davis Crossing (61.6% EC50 and 0% survival) but not upstream at 23rd Avenue or Isa Street Crossing. Copper concentration at Davis Crossing exceeds trigger value at both 95% and 90% protection of aquatic species protection. Ammonia was also analysed in these water samples (Table 53). Whilst the TV 95% for ammonia-N ( $\mu$ g/L) was exceeded at 23rd Avenue there was no observed toxicity and Davis Crossing showed toxicity. The ammonia concentration in water was higher at 23rd Avenue on 24 July 2008 compared with 27–28 July 2010.

Further sampling was undertaken on 7 October 2009 for acute toxicity measurement using 48-h survival of *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia*, which reconfirmed that toxicity was observed at Davis Crossing and to a lesser extent at the junction of Breakaway Creek and Leichhardt River (Table 54). The water metal and metalloid concentrations results show that copper concentrations (total only without 0.45 µm filtration or the DGT technique) at four sites and cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing exceeded the trigger values for 95% species protection (Table 54).

Table 52.	Results of XANES fitting for Leichhardt River se	ediment samples
-----------	--	-----------------

Sample ID	Samples description	Total Pb concentra-tion (mg/kg)	Lead- adsorbed to goethite	Red lead $Pb_3O_4$	Anglesite PbSO₄	Cerussite (PbCO <sub>3</sub> )	Galena (PbS)	Plumbojarosite PbFe <sup>3+</sup> 6(SO <sub>4</sub> )4 (OH) <sub>12</sub>	Magneto Plumbite (Pb,Mn)₂Fe <sub>6</sub> 0 <sub>11</sub>
LR2	Death Adder Gully (West) L2	2,172	76%					24%	
LR3	Death Adder Gully (East) L3	2,463	71%		29%				
LR7	LR — Historical tailings (between Grace Street Bridge and velodrome) L8 (removed in 2008; Noller et al., 2009)	25,010	43%			57%			
LR19	LR — Fluvial downstream (Moondarra) L15	467	100%						
LR20	LR — Downstream of Lake Moondarra (East Leichhardt) L16								
	23rd Avenue (<63	50							
LR21	μm)	50.8		16%			60%	24%	
LR22	Isa St (<63 µm)	82.1	100%						
LR23	Aima St (<63 µm)	144	100%						
LR24	Davis Crossing (<63 µm)	225	100%						
LR25	Moondarra Crossing (<63 µm)	282	100%						
LM 1	Clear Water Lagoon (<63 µm)	389	100%						
LM 2	Lake Moondarra (<63 µm)	308	100%						
LM 3	Lower channel and above junction (<63 µm)	375	100%						
LM 4	Between junction and pump station (<63 µm)	328	100%						
LM 5	Before junction (<63 µm)	276	100%						
LR(V) 10	Below Davis Crossing (<250 µm)	20930			56%				44%
RCD1	Rifle Creek Dam (<63 µm)	97	100%						

Note : \*Result from Table 50

Site description	48-hr Cerio d	aphnia ct dubia	공	EC (uS/cm)	Hardness	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Manganese	Nickel	Seleniu m	Zinc	Chloride	Alkalinity- Total as CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia-N	Bicarbonate as (mo/L)	Chromium	Fluorid e	TV 95% Ammon ia-N (µg/L)
	EC 50 (%)	Survival (%)			CaCO3				0.45 µm fra	ction (µg/L)				(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(hôl)	(HCO <sub>3</sub> )	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
23rd Avenue Crossing	>100	100	8.1	1,102	253	Ą	$\overline{\nabla}$	11	~	890	Ŕ	$\overline{\nabla}$	6:2	120	250	330	280	<0.005	0.9	400
Isa Street Crossing	>100	100	8.6	4,020	435	Ą	$\overline{\nabla}$	18	~	120	Ŕ	₽	12	710	370	Ŕ	370	<0.005	3.6	180
Davis Crossing	61.6	0	8.5	6,020	753	2	2.6	22	4	25	Ą	₽	17	1300	460	15	450	<0.005	1.5	180
Moondarra Crossing	>100	100	8.5	4,070	492	8.1	⊽	17	2.3	490	Ş	₽	1	880	440	12	450	<0.005	1.4	180
Moondarra Overflow	>100	100	8.6	535	N/A	Ν/Α	N/A	N/A	NIA	NA	N/A	NA	N/A	NA	N/A	N/A	ΥN	NA	N/A	180
TV 90% at water hardn	ss (180–240)					94	2.2	9.4	99	2,500	68	18	78							
TV 90% at water hardn	ss (400)						4	16.2	150	2,500	117	18	135							
TV 95% at water hardn	ss (180–240)					24	1.1	7.2	40	1,900	57	11	42							
TV 95% at water hardn	ss (400)						2	12.6	91	1,900	66	11	72							
<ul> <li>Trigger values have IV 95% trigger value Bold figures: Excent</li> </ul>	been adjusted s for total ammc trigger values	to site-specific w mia-N at differen	vater hardn it pH for 95	iess 5 % species pr	otection for fr	eshwater spe	cies Table 8.5	1.7 ANZECI	C/ARMCAN	(Z (2000)		1	1							

Aquatic toxicity results and water quality of samples collected by Xstrata on 7 October 2009 Table 54.

Sites	Hď	EC (µS/cm)	48-h acute Cerior	cladoceran taphnia	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Lead	Manganese	Nickel	Zinc	Mercury
			EC50 (%)	Survival (%)				Total m	netals and metalloic	ds in water (µg/L)				
Breakaway Creek-Leichhardt River junction	ω	3,720	100	70	4	0.2	5	2	ω	Q	776	2	11	0.1
Alma Crossing	8.5	2,600	100	100	4	2.1	2	2	28	34	249	3	46	0.1
Davis Crossing	7.8	3,380	100	30	2	3.5	-	-	3	-	416	۲	20	0.1
TV 90% at water hardness (180–240)					94	2.2	9	N/A	9.4	66	2,500	67.6	78	1.9
TV 90% at water hardness (400)						4	9	N/A	16.2	150	2,500	117	135	1.9
TV 95% at water hardness (180-240)					24	1.14	1		7.2	40	1,900	57	42	0.6
TV 95% at water hardness (400)						2	1	•	12.6	206	1,900	66	72	0.6
* Trigger values have been adjusted Bold figures: Exceed trigger values	to site-spec	cific conditions 1	or water hard	less										

Aquatic toxicity results and water quality of samples collected 13–16 October 2009 Table 55.

µg/L)	0.45 µm	13.6	2	18	2	18.1	2	10.6		7.9		
Zinc (	Total	16.8	4	34.5		36.2		15.6	72	10.2	72	
(hg/L)	0.45 µm	0.1	0	0.4	1	1.6	1	0.3		1.4		
Lead	Total	3.3	4	2.3	6	19.4	6	2.7	91	5.6	91	
·r (μg/L)	0.45 µm	5.1	7.3	6.5	2.6	13.9	2.6	2.7		15.4		
Coppe	Total	8.6		9.2	1	27.5	1	4.2	12.6	16.3	12.6	
m (µg/L)	0.45 µm	0.1	i.	0.2	2	0.4	2	1.1	2	0.3	2	
Cadmiu	Total	0.1	1	0.4		1		2.8		0.4		
96-hrfish imbalance Melanotaen ia splendida	96-h EC50	>100%		>100%		>100%		>100%		>100%		er hardness
owth n <i>Lemna</i> 1C50	Dry weight	>100%		>100%		>100%		>100%		59.3%		ons for wate
7-d gr inhibitio <i>mino</i> i	Growth rate	45.3%		>100%		>100%		95.3%		>100%		ecific condit
7-d cladocer an Cerioda phia cf	EC50 survival	>100%		>100%		>100%		>100%		>100%		ed to site-sp s
48-h surviv al <i>Cerio</i> daphn	dubia EC50	>100 %		>100 %		>100 %		>100 %		>100 %		een adjust gger value
72-h inhibitio n Selenest rum	nutum IC50	91.80%		>100 %		>100 %		>100 %		a 41. 8%		es have bi Exceed trig
Site		23rd Avenue	TV 95%*	lsa Crossing	TV 95%	Alma Crossing	TV 95%	Davis Crossing	TV 95%	Moondarra Crossing	TV 95% *	* Trigger valu Bold figures: I

Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

The results of further toxicity testing (7 October 2009) (Table 54) showed acute effects on *Ceriodaphia* (30% survival) that were accompanied by cadmium. The results of further toxicity testing (7 October 2009) (Table 54) showed acute effects on *Ceriodaphia* (30% survival) that were accompanied by cadmium concentrations at Davis Crossing exceeding the trigger value for 95% levels of freshwater species protection for cadmium at 3.5  $\mu$ g/L compared the trigger value of 2  $\mu$ g/L (Table 54). The water cadmium and copper concentrations (total only) for Alma Crossing and Davis Crossing that exceed the hardness adjusted trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection on 7 October 2009 (Table 54) can be compared with subsequent sampling on 13 – 16 October 2009 for both total and 0.45  $\mu$ m filtered concentrations. This comparison showed that the 0.45  $\mu$ m filtered concentration of copper exceeded the hardness adjusted trigger value for 95% freshwater species protection 13 – 16 October 2009 at Alma Crossing only but not for cadmium at both sites. Thus the acute toxicity at Davis Crossing observed with *Ceriodaphnia* on 7 October 2009 (Table 54) could not be explained by heavy metals alone.

To fully evaluate the effectiveness of the Leichhardt River Remediation Program following the Phase I study (Noller et al., 2009), using the decision tree steps (Figure 12) a comprehensive sampling of Leichhardt River water (Table 55) and sediment (Table 62) was undertaken on 13–16 October 2009. The toxicity studies showed various effects at 23 Avenue (growth inhibition to *Lemna*), Davis Crossing (chronic toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia*), and Moondarra Crossing (effects to three different species). *Selenastrum capricornutum* shows toxicity at Moondarra Crossing, but not *Ceriodaphnia* for both acute and chronic toxicity, indicating that *Selenastrum* may be affected by turbidity. Copper exceeds TVF 95% at Alma Crossing and Moondarra Crossing, but exhibits no toxicity (Table 54). The lack of toxicity probably arises from the relatively high concentrations of DOC in water at both locations (Table 55) and confirmed by BLM (Table 56).

These findings indicate that, overall, only limited toxicity to a range of aquatic species covering different trophic levels was observed in the Leichhardt River water. The metal concentrations in the water indicate that the cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing and the copper concentration at Alma Crossing and Moondarra Crossing exceeded the trigger value for the 95% level of freshwater species protection. The water quality data of these sites (Table 56) shows similarity to the data in Table 8 and Table 9.

Site	рН	EC (µm/ cm)	Hardness (mg/L)	Alkalinity CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Nitrate (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)	DOC (mg/L)
23rd Avenue	8.6	1,500	318	277	152	<0.5	281	6.8
Isa Crossing	9.0	3,700	569	292	710	<0.5	793	7.3
Alma Crossing	9.0	4,230	845	541	429	<0.5	1,130	58
Davis Crossing	8.1	5,900	741	451	726	<0.5	1,220	4.4
Moondarra Crossing	8.2	333	748	258	824	<0.5	1,340	11

#### Table 56. Water quality of samples collected 13–16 October 2009

Water quality data from five sites collected 13–16 October 2009 were used in the BLM (Section 2.3.1.2) to predict the final acute values for copper and for site-specific assessments for copper. Table 57 presents site-specific water quality criteria for copper concentrations predicted to be toxic by the BLM and direct toxicity assessments on water samples collected 13–16 October 2009. The final acute test and acute toxic unit results show that copper could not explain the observed toxicity at Davis Crossing and Moondarra Crossing. This indicates that further assessment needs to be conducted at the Davis Crossing site.

Rifle Creek, located upstream of Leichhardt River (Figure 14) was chosen as background site for toxicity assessments of both water and sediment. The toxicity results and predictions of copper by the BLM show that no toxicity was observed at this site in water (Table 58). For the BLM, the CCC is greater than the measured copper concentration indicating no predicted effect on aquatic biota. The filtered copper concentration exceeds TVF 95% (Table 58) and when compared with the visual MINTEQ predicted copper speciation in Table 58 and shows that most copper is present as organic species with DOC of 9 mg/L.

This result is despite the prediction that metal concentrations in water measured in filtered fractions and calculated by visual MINTEQ exceeded the trigger values for the 95% level of freshwater species protection (Table 59). Therefore, Rifle Creek Dam is a suitable background site of the Leichhardt River for aquatic toxicity assessment.

Summary of site-specific water quality criteria for copper predicted by the Biotic Ligand Model and direct toxicity assessment on water samples collected 13–16 October 2009 Table 57.

	Measuredcop per concentr- ation 0.45 um	Site-spe	ecific water qu predi	ality criteria for cop icted by BLM	per (WQC)			Direct to	oxicity assessment			
	fraction (µg/L)					72-h inhibition Selenestrum	48-h Survival Cerio daphnia cf	7-d partial life-c toxicity cladocer	ycle (chronic) an <i>Ceriodaphia cf</i>	7-d growth inhib minor	ition <i>Lemna</i>	96-h fish imbalanc
Sites		ΕΔV	U W U			capricornutum	eanp	dubia				e Melanota enia splendida
		(hg/L)	(hg/L)	CCC (µg/L)	Acute TUs	72-hr IC50	48-hr EC50	8-day IC50 (survival)	8-day IC50 (reproduction)	7-day IC50 (growth rate)	7-day IC50 (dry weight)	96-hr EC50
23rd Avenue	5.1	311	156	97	0.03	91.8%	>100%	>100%	> 100%	45.3	>100%	>100%
Isa St Crossing	6.5	398	199	123	0.03	>100%	>100%	>100%	> 100%	>100%	>100%	>100%
Alma St Crossing	14	526	264	164	0.05	>100%	>100%	>100%	>100%	>100%	>100%	>100%
Davis Crossing	2.7	227	114	71	0.02	>100%	>100%	>100%	66.1%	95.3%	>100%	>100%
Moondarra Crossing	15.4	520	260	162	0.06	41.8%	>100%	>100%	74.2%	>100%	59.3%	>100%
* Trigger value Bold figures: Ex	have been adjus <ceed td="" trigger="" val<=""><td>sted to site-s ues</td><td>specific cond</td><td>itions for water h</td><td>ardness</td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></ceed>	sted to site-s ues	specific cond	itions for water h	ardness		_					

FAV: Final Acute Value.

CMC: Criterion Maximum Concentration. An estimate of the highest concentration of a material in ambient water that an aquatic community can be exposed to briefly without an unacceptable adverse effect (CMC=FAV/2)

CCC: Criterion Continuous Concentration. An estimate of the highest concentration of a material in ambient water that an aquatic community can be exposed to indefinitely without resulting in an unacceptable adverse effect. This is the chronic criterion. (CCC=FAV/ACR).

ACR: Acute-Chronic Ratios. Acute = exposure to a 1-hour average concentration of the chemical does not exceed the criterion more than once every three years on average. Chronic = exposure to a 4-day average concentration of the chemical does not exceed the criterion more than once every three years on average.

Acute Toxic Units (TU): Acute TU is the ratio of the copper in the water to the instantaneous water quality criteria for that water. If is TU > 1 it indicates a

violation of the instantaneous copper WQC.
Table 58.	Summary of site-specific water quality criteria for copper predicted by the Biotic Ligand Model,
	direct toxicity assessment, and metal concentrations in water samples collected at Rifle Creek
	Dam 27–28 July 2010

DOC (mg/L)		6	
(hg/L)	0.45 µm	10	2
Zinc	Total	26	4
(hg/L)	0.45 µm	<0.1	01
Lead	Total	<0.1	4
r (µg/L)	0.45 µm	15	.3
Copper	Total	16	7.
m (µg/L)	0.45 µm	<0.1	+
Cadmiu	Total	<0.1	-
ality (QC)	Acut e TUs	0.06	
water qu opper (W d by BLM	CCC (µg/L)	168	
e-specific eria for C predicte	CM C (J) L)	270	
Site	FAV (µg/ L)	540	
96-h fish imbalance <i>Melanotae</i> <i>nia</i> splendida	96-hr EC50	>100%	
7-d growth inhibition <i>Lemna</i> <i>minor</i>	IC50 (growth rate)	>96.8	
ceran ct dubia	IC50 (repro ductio n)	>100 %	
7-d clado Ceriodaphia	EC50 (survival)	>100%	
48-h survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia	48-hr EC50	>100%	
72-h inhibition Selenestru m capricornu tum	72-hr IC50	78.2%	
Site		Rifle Creek	TV 95%\$

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger vales (TV) for 95% and 90% freshwater species protection. The TV has been adjusted for site-specific conditions of water hardness. FAV: Final Acute Value. .

- CMC: Criterion Maximum Concentration. An estimate of the highest concentration of a material in ambient water that an aquatic community can be exposed
- CCC: Criterion Continuous Concentration. An estimate of the highest concentration of a material in ambient water that an aguatic community can be exposed to briefly without an unacceptable adverse effect (CMC=FAV/2)
  - to indefinitely without resulting in an unacceptable adverse effect. This is the chronic criterion. (CCC=FAV/ACR)
    - ACR: Acute-Chronic Ratios.
- Acute = exposure to a 1-hour average concentration of the chemical does not exceed the criterion more than once every three vears on average.
- Chronic = exposure to a 4-day average concentration of the chemical does not exceed the criterion more than once every three years on average.
- Acute Toxic Units (TU): Acute TU is the ratio of the copper in the water to the instantaneous water quality criteria for that water. If is TU > 1 it indicates a violation
  - of the instantaneous copper WQC.

# Table 59.Metal and metalloid concentrations in water samples measured in filtered fractions and<br/>calculated by visual MINTEQ from Rifle Creek Dam that exceed the trigger values for 95%<br/>species protection for copper

		Measured	Visual I	MINTEQ cal	culation		
Elements	Species	results in filtered fractions 0.45 μm	Free metals	Inorganic species	Organic species	Hardness as CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	TV* for 95% species protection (μg/L)
Araonia	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	0	100	0	896	24
Arsenic	Concentration (µg/L)	1.97	0	1.97	0		
Coppor	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	0	0.02	99.8	896	12.6
Copper	Concentration (µg/L)	15	0	0.02	15		
Niekol	% 0.45 µm fraction	100	32	93	7	896	99
	Concentration (µg/L)	0.42	0.13	0.39	0.03		

Note: Bold figures exceed trigger values.

\* ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) trigger values (TV) for lead for 95% and 90% freshwater species protection. The TV has been adjusted for sitespecific conditions of water hardness.

#### 3.3.2 Aquatic toxicity assessment and metal concentrations in sediment

The Phase I Study assessed sediment toxicity of the Leichhardt River (Noller et al., 2009). Table 60 summarises the results of this assessment that indicated toxic sediment at two sites downstream from Isa Crossing and nearby at the velodrome and pipe exit. The sediment quality data show that 1MHCI extraction of arsenic, cadmium, copper, zinc, and lead at these sites exceeds the ISQG-Low. The water elutriate also showed the presence of relatively high concentrations of cadmium, copper and lead. Subsequently, the sediments identified as being toxic to aquatic species were removed in 2008 as part of the Leichhardt River Remediation Program.

The water sampling toxicity assessment (Table 54) followed the Leichhardt River Remediation Program undertaken in 2007. Sediment was collected on 7 October 2009 by Xstrata and evaluated by testing acute toxicity of the water elutriate of sediment using *Ceriodaphnia* as the test species. The toxicity results in water at these sites show that acute toxicity was observed at Breakaway 'Stinky' Creek–Leichhardt River Mouth (70% survival) and Leichhardt River–East Bank–Davis Crossing (30% survival) (Table 54). However, the results on the water elutriate of sediment showed no toxicity at all three sites (Table 61). It was observed that the 1M HCl concentrations of metal and metalloid in the sediment sample collected at Davis Crossing exceeded the ISQG-Low (Table 41). These results confirmed the observation from the 2007 study (Noller et al., 2009) that sediment toxicity is a more precise measure of the significance of metals and metalloid contamination in sediment than a comparison with total and 1M HCl concentrations, according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision tree process (Figure 12).

Further sampling at five sites from Leichhardt River were collected on 13–16 October 2009 (Table 62) and Rifle Creek Dam on 27–28 July 2010 (Table 64) for acute toxicity testing. These sites showed no toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia*, but there was an apparent artifact with *Selenestrum capricornutum*, which is attributable to turbidity in the test water affecting this test organism. The 1M HCl extraction concentrations of cadmium and copper at Isa Crossing, Alma Crossing, and Davis Crossing exceeded the ISQG-Low but toxicity was not observed (Table 61). These sediment samples were analysed for metal and metalloid concentrations in pore water ( $C_{SOL}$ ) and by the DGT technique ( $C_E$ ) (Section 2.5.6; Table 63). Comparison of pore water ( $C_{SOL}$ ) and sediment ( $C_E$ ) metal concentrations shows that the  $C_{SOL}$  concentrations in sediment water elutriates for the samples in Tables 61 and 62 as a complete sampling using the DGT technique was not undertaken. Comparisons of the metal and metalloid concentrations in pore water ( $C_{SOL}$ ) do not exceed the hardness-adjusted trigger values for 95% freshwater species protection (Table 63).

September 2007	
ampled 4	
f sediment s	
ty results of	
nent qualit	
and sedin	
Aquatic toxicity	
Table 60.	

			Arc		č		+0400	Ċ	road	Nickel		Zinc	-	7
Site description	48-h <i>Cerio</i> daphnia cf dubia 48h EC50 (survival)*	10-d Corophium sp (survival) (%)	1 M HCl mg/kg	Water elutriate * (mg/L)	1 M HCI mg/kg	Water elutriate * (mg/L)	1 M HCI (mg/kg)	1 M HCI (mg/kg)	Water elutriate * (mg/L)	1 M HCl (mg/kg)	1 M HCl (mg/kg)	Water elutriate * (mg/L)	1 M HCl (mg/kg)	Water elutriate * (mg/L)
Control		92.5 ± 5.0												
LR — Upstream (background) L1	>100%	75 ± 12.9	<0.1	<0.02	<0.1	<0.001	5.2	30	0.002	m	22.6	<0.003	32	<0.006
LR — Between Isa Street Crossing and Grace Street Bridge L7	>100%	90 ± 8.2	10	<0.02	1.5	<0.001	7.2	266	0.007	22.2	118	0.012	257	900.0>
LR — Downstream, east of velodrome L9	<6.25%	%0.0	1.1	<0.02	2.7	0.11	10.3	228	0.12	4	309	0.78	188	0.39
LR — pipe exit L12	<6.25%	0.0%	174	<0.02	111	0.22	36.1	6,400	1.6	15.7	4,290	<0.003	20,000	2.1
LR — Fluvial downstream (Moondarra) L15	>100%	82.5 ± 15	<0.1	<0.02	2.6	<0.001	0. 8	128	0.003	2.6	271	0.003	406	900.0>
LR — Downstream of Lake Moondarra (Leichhardt River) L16	>100%	<b>90 ± 0.0</b>	<0.1	<0.02	0.1	<0.001	<u>6.5</u>	26.3	0.002	2.5	47	<0.003	42	<0.006
ISQG-Low			20		1.5		NA	65		21	200		50	
ISQG-High			20		10		NA	270		52	410		220	
* <i>water elutriat</i> Bold figures: E	e: sediment mixe Exceed trigger va	ed with dilution w. alues	ater 1:4; fil	tered <0.45	шń									

Sites	Hd	48-h Acute C€	Toxicity cladoceran eriodaphnia	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Nickel	Zinc
		EC50 (%)	Survival (%)		Total meta	Is and metalloids i	n sediment (mg/	kg)	
Breakaway Creek – Leichhardt River Mouth	8.3	100	100	10	9	294	161	24	353
Leichhardt River-East Bank-Davis Crossing	8.1	100	100	23	86	364	205	22	924
Leichhardt River-East Bank-Alma Crossing	8.2	100	100	36	31	405	621	23	970
ISQG-Low				20	1.5	65	50	21	200
ISQG-High				70	10	270	220	52	410
Note: Bold figures indicate	e total con	centration ex	ceeds ISQGs.						
Table 62 Tovicity v	sulte and	lmotol and	matalloid concent	trations in sod	iment complee of	lloctod on 13_1(	s October 2000		

	_
5	
N	2
e B	~
Ō.	ă
2	t
0	٥
2	-
٥	č
<b>T</b>	U
5	0
-	2
	F
0	α
0	÷
ല	
<u>s</u>	ž
e	.늘
0	C
Ú	ŭ
ŝ	f
e	L L
<u>e</u>	D
E	π
g	3
Ű	Q
	č
ຍ	Ĉ
⋸	٩
0	4
ů Ö	Ċ
_	
	2
S	ά
	٩
2	Ξ
a	.9
-	
	2
SULL	- L
centr	techr
ncentr	T techr
concentr	GT techr
	DGT techr
la concentr	PGT techr
Iold concentr	the DGT techr
alloid concentr	v the DGT techr
etalloid concentr	hv the DGT techr
netalioid concentr	d hv the DGT techr
I metalloid concentr	ied hv the DGT techr
nd metalloid concentr	ined hv the DGT techr
and metalloid concentr	mined hv the DGT techr
il and metalloid concentr	ermined hv the DGT techr
ital and metalloid concentr	etermined hv the DGT techr
netal and metalloid concentr	determined hv the DGT techr
metal and metallold concentr	is determined by the DGT techr
d metal and metalloid concentr	ons determined by the DGT techr
and metal and metalloid concentr	tions determined hv the DGT techr
s and metal and metallold concentr	rations determined by the DGT techr
its and metal and metalloid concentr	ntrations determined by the DGT techr
uits and metal and metalioid concentr	entrations determined by the DGT techr
isults and metal and metallold concentr	ncentrations determined by the DGT techr
results and metal and metallold concentr	oncentrations determined by the DGT techr
y results and metal and metallold concentr	concentrations determined by the DGT techr
sity results and metal and metallold concentr	d concentrations determined by the DGT techr
licity results and metal and metaliold concentr	hid concentrations determined by the DGT techr
oxicity results and metal and metallold concentr	alloid concentrations determined by the DGT techr
loxicity results and metal and metalloid concentr	stallnid concentrations determined by the DGT techr
loxicity results and metal and metalloid concentr	metallinid concentrations determined by the DGT techr
loxicity results and metal and metallold concentr	I metalloid concentrations determined by the DGT techr
<ol><li>I oxicity results and metal and metalloid concentr</li></ol>	nd metalloid concentrations determined hv the DGT techn
oz. Ioxicity results and metal and metallold concentr	and metalloid concentrations determined by the DGT techn
le 62. I oxicity results and metal and metalloid concentr	al and metalloid concentrations determined by the DGT techn
DIE 62. I OXICITY RESUITS AND METAI AND METAIIOID CONCENT	stal and metalloid concentrations determined by the DGT techn

Metal and r	netalloid cor	ncentrations c	determii	ned by th	e DGT	techniqu	e and ir	n the port	e water	of sedim	ent sam	iples coll	ected c	n 13–16	Octob∈	er 2 <b>Tab</b> '	Table
Site	48-h survival Cerio	72-h inhibition Selenestrum	Antimor	ıy (mg/kg)	Arsenic	(mg/kg)	Cadmiur	n (mg/kg)	Copper	(mg/kg)	Lead (	mg/kg)	Nickel	(mg/kg)	Zinc (n	ng/kg)	Total organic carbon (C %)
	dubia EC50	capricornutum	Total	1M HCI	Total	1M HCI	Total	1M HCI	Total	1M HCI	Total	1M HCI	Total	1M HCI	Total	1M HCI	
23rd Avenue	>100%	>100%		<1		З		0.5		194		50		1.9		42	1
Isa Crossing	>100%	22%	<5	1>	10	4.3	4	4.7	390	218	89	82	19	3.6	947	932	1.2
Alma Crossing	>100%	22.9%		4		4.2		7		190		144		2.7		274	1.8
Davis Crossing	>100%	>100%	<2	Ŷ	16	7.4	59	58	264	148	281	225	19	2.9	669	578	1.2
Moondarra Crossing	>100%	77.1%	<11	Ŷ	19	4.7	8	7	252	155	348	282	23	3.2	500	294	1.5
ISQG-Low				2		20	-	1.5	•	35	Ω.	00		1	20	0	
ISQG-High				25	2	20	, ·	10	7	70	5	20	4,	12	41	0	

Note: Bold figures indicate total concentration exceeds ISQGs.

Table 61. Toxicity results and metal and metalloid concentrations in sediment samples collected by Xstrata on 7 October 2009

0	ctober 20(	60													
		Cadmium			Copper			Lead			Nickel			Zinc	
Site	1M HCI (mg/kg)	C <sub>E</sub> (±SE) (µg/L)	C <sub>soL</sub> (µg/L)	1M HCI (mg/kg)	C <sub>E</sub> (±SE) (µg/L)	C <sub>sol</sub> (µg/L)	1M HCI (mg/kg)	C <sub>E</sub> (±SE) (µg/L)	C <sub>soL</sub> (µg/L)	1M HCI (mg/kg)	C <sub>E</sub> (±SE) (µg/L)	C <sub>soL</sub> (µg/L)	1M HCI (mg/kg)	C <sub>E</sub> (±SE) (µg/L)	C <sub>soL</sub> (µg/L)
23rd Avenue	0.5	0.17 ± 0.03	0.4	194	2.5 ± 1.5	3.3	50	0.5 ± 0.2	0.01	1.9	3.0 ± 0.7	1.6	42	47 ± 14	19.8
Isa Crossing	4.7	0.07 ± 0.03	0.7	218	4.2 ± 3.1	11.3	82	0.2 ± 0.02	0.44	3.6	4.5 ± 0.3	7.4	932	67 ± 7	50.9
Alma Crossing	7.0	0.33 ± 0.02	0.7	190	4.5 ± 3.8	8.6	144	3.1 ± 0.02	0.61	2.7	3.3 ± 0.2	5	274	20 ± 3	31.6
Davis Crossing	58.0	0.17 ± 0.09	1.1	148	4.0	9	225	1.5 ± 0.8	0.6	2.9	2.0 ± 0.2	2.7	578	5±3	18.9
Moondarra Crossing	7.0	0.83 ± 0.08	0.9	155	18.8	11.6	282	3.1 ± 0.8	2.27	3.2	3.8 ± 0.2	4.8	294	14 ± 3	25.8
ISQG- Low	1.5			65			50			21			200		
ISQG- High	10			270			220			52			410		
TV 95% protection (µg/L)			2			13			91			66			72
-C <sub>SoL</sub> : The col -C <sub>E</sub> : Effective -C <sub>DGT</sub> : Concer - SE: Standard * Trigger valu	ncentrations of concentration itration of meti d Error es have been	f metals in 0.4 <sup>t</sup> of metals. C <sub>E</sub> = als measured t adjusted to the	5µm filtered = C <sub>DGT</sub> /R <sub>diff</sub> v by DGT tech ≥ site-specifi	pore water. Se where Rdiff is ( inique c conditions fo	ediment samplical calculated usir or water hardne	es were cen og the 2D DI ess	trifuged at 15( FS model (Se	09 g for five n ction 2.5.6)	nin and the	oore water wa	is filtered through the second s	ough 0.45µn	n filter.		

Metal and metalloid concentrations determined by the DGT technique and in the pore water of sediment samples collected on 13–16

Table 63.

Aquatic toxicity and metal and metallooid concentrations in sediment at a Rifle Creek upstream site samples 27 and 28 July 2010 Table 64.

(mg/kg)	1M HCI	36.3	200	410
Zinc	Total	149		
el (mg/kg)	1M HCI	<1.0	21	52
Nicke	Total	20		
l (mg/kg)	1M HCI	13.8	50	220
Leac	Total	97		
er (mg/kg)	1M HCI	6.8	65	270
Сорре	Total	122		
m (mg/kg)	1M HCI	0.1	1.5	10
Cadmiu	Total	-		
(mg/kg)	1M HCI	₹	20	70
Arsenic	Total	6	2	
72-h inhibition Selenestrum capricornutum	72-hr IC50	>100%		
48-h acute toxicity Ceriodaphnia	EC50 (%)	>100%		
Sites		Rifle Creek	ISQG-Low	ISQG-High

### 3.3.3 Overall summary of aquatic toxicity on water and sediment

Table 65 summarises the sites that show an aquatic toxicity effect of water on different test species. The effects of 72-h inhibition *Selenestrum capricornutum* and 7-d partial lifecycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran *Ceriodaphia* cf *dubia* tests were observed at both Moondarra Crossing and Davis Crossing. The effect on 48-h survival of *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* was observed at Davis Crossing and Breakaway Creek. The effects of 7-d growth inhibition on *Lemna* minor was observed at 23rd Avenue (effect on growth rate) and Moondarra Crossing (effect on dry weight).

Table 66 summaries the sites showing an aquatic toxicity effect of sediment. The effects on 48-h survival of *Ceriodaphnia* and 10-d whole sediment *Corophium spp* were observed downstream, east of the velodrome (L9) and pipe exit (L12). The effects on the 72-h inhibition of *Selenestrum capricornutum* test were observed at three sites: Isa Crossing, Alma Crossing, and Moondarra Crossing.

The results for toxicity studies on both water and sediment are able to demonstrate when acute and chronic effects may occur with a range of test species. From 2007–2010, the kind and number of test species were limited and limitations were identified with using species including *Corophium* for sediment and *Selenestrum* for water and sediment elutriates. Currently, a wider range of test species is available.

It is also clear from the toxicity studies and comparisons with metal and metalloid concentrations and other constituents, such as ammonia, that responses to organisms cannot always be explained. This applies particularly to the David Crossing on Leichhardt River. Therefore, an approach that considers contributions of difference toxicants is needed. The Toxicity Identification Method (TIE) method developed by the USEPA (2007b) may be used to identify of constituents causing observed toxicity. The TIE approach uses physical and chemical manipulation of a sample to isolate or change the potency of different groups of toxicants potentially present in a sample. In developing the TIE procedures for aquatic toxicity in waters, further detailed study of water and sediment toxicity will require the use of such approaches.

Aquatic-toxic	ty test species	Sites showing toxicity effects
72-h inhibition Selenestrum capricornutum	72-h IC50 <100%	Moondarra Crossing and 23rd Avenue (98%)
48-h survival <i>Cerio daphnia cf dubia</i>	48-h EC50 <100%	Davis Crossing and Breakaway Creek
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i> (survival)	8-d EC 50 <100%	Nil
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i>	8-d IC 50 <100% (reproduction)	Moondarra Crossing and Davis Crossing
7-d growth inhibition <i>Lemna minor</i>	7-d IC50 < 100% (growth rate)	23rd Avenue and Davis Crossing
7-d growth inhibition <i>Lemna minor</i>	7-d IC50 <100% (dry weight)	Moondarra Crossing
96-h fish imbalance <i>Melanotaenia splendida</i>	96-h EC 50 <100%	Nil

#### Table 65. A summary of the aquatic-toxicity test results of water at sites showing toxicity effects.

Sodimont T	ovicity Tooto	Sites showing	toxicity effects
Sediment n	DXICILY TESIS	2007	2009
48-h survival of <i>Ceriodaphnia</i>	48-h EC50 <100%	Downstream, east of the velodrome (L9), pipe exit (L12)	
72-h inhibition of <i>Selenestrum</i> capricornutum	72-h IC50 <100%		Isa Crossing Alma Crossing Moondarra Crossing
10-d whole sediment <i>Corophium spp.</i>		Downstream East of Velodrome (L9), Pipe exit (L12)	

### Table 66. A summary on sediment toxicity test results at sites show toxicity effects

# 4. Discussion

## 4.1 Natural mineralisation and its significance.

The Leichhardt River Basin commonly has occurrences of natural mineralisation and, as a consequence, mining and mineral processing from both historical and current activities that may have impacts on the aquatic ecosystem. There are two key issues for assessing the significance of metals and metalloids for total and 1M HCI extractable concentrations in the sediment from the upper Leichhardt River Basin, based on the ANZECC/ ARMCANZ (2000) decision tree for assessing contamination of aquatic ecosystems. These key issues are:

- 1. Sites that may be affected by historical or current mining activities with upstream, background sediment with natural mineralisation make it problematic to identify background sites to compare metal and metalloid sediment concentrations against downstream sites, when sites exceed ISQGs.
- 2. The significance of metal and metalloid concentrations in sediment that exceed ISQG-High and ISQG-Low for the 1M HCl extraction need to be further assessed by measuring toxicity to aquatic biota to demonstrate if there is a real effect, according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision tree process.

Comparing the background and mining-affected sites with unaffected sites shows the differences for metals and metalloid concentrations in sediment from the Leichhardt River Basin (Table 46). Some sites exceeded ISQGs for total concentrations of metals and metalloids, but no sites exceeded the totals for 1M HCl extractable concentrations. This result implies that all background sites, rather than just the unaffected sites alone, could be used as the basis to describe background sediment metal and metalloid concentrations. According to the QWQG (2009), the 80th percentile values for metal and metalloid concentrations are the applicable data set to create a site-specific guideline.

Comparison with <3 X natural background and for toxicants <3 X ISQG–Low for metals and metalloid concentrations in 1M HCI extracts of sediment for highly disturbed systems, as advised by the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000), shows that regional sites do not exceed both 3 X criteria. This result supports using all sediment data from background sites for developing site-specific guidelines.

It is also observed that the <2 mm fraction gives lower metal concentrations than the <63  $\mu$ m fraction for the Rifle Creek Dam site (Table 48) on the Leichhardt River, indicating that the finer <63  $\mu$ m fraction gives a better indication of predicted effects on aquatic biota via ingestion. However, the <63  $\mu$ m fraction is relatively small compared with the bed load of fluvial material in the Leichhardt River and will only be of significance when associated with processed materials. Natural mineralisation will also be present in coarse sediment.

Comparison of aquatic toxicity testing results show, generally, that upstream background sediments were not toxic to the tested species and showed the sites that exceeded ISQG–Low for 1M HCI extract did not imply that the sediment was toxic to the aquatic test biota. In particular, sediment from Rifle Creek Dam exhibited little or no toxicity, even though there was historical mining in its catchment (Table 58 through to Table 64).

Exceeding the ISQG-High is indicative of a high probability of biological effects. The Phase I Study showed sediment toxicity of the Leichhardt River (Noller et al., 2009), summarised in Table 60 at two sites downstream from Isa Crossing and nearby at the velodrome (L9) and pipe exit (L12). This was the only toxicity observed with the burrowing amphipod *Corophium spp.* at concentrations above the ISQG-High in most cases. The sediment quality data shows that 1M HCl extraction of arsenic, cadmium, copper, zinc, and lead at these sites exceeds the ISQG-Low and, in most cases, exceeds the ISQG-High. Subsequently, the sediments identified as being toxic to aquatic species were removed in 2008 as part of the Leichhardt River Remediation Program. No other sediment was found to be as toxic as the pre-existing sediment from sites L9 and L12.

Thus, an approach that takes into account both the presence of natural mineralisation and some effects of historical mining is applicable for using background sediment quality data for the Leichhardt River to derive site-specific guidelines for sediment. This approach is in line with the advice given in the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines to take natural mineralisation into account. It is therefore considered appropriate to use all upstream sediment metal and metalloid concentration data for developing site-specific guidelines for the Leichhardt River Basin because aquatic toxicity is not generally demonstrated with the presence of natural mineralisation or sediment associated with historical mining.

## 4.2 Health risk assessment associated with drinking water

The results for water and sediment (Table 31 and Figure 23) show that some sites in the Leichhardt River exceeded Australian Water Quality Guidelines (ADWG, 2004) for water and some sites exceeded the NEPM HIL— Level E for recreational use of land (NEPC,1999) for sediment (<2 mm fraction). In addition, a detailed health risk assessment of exposure to lead and other heavy metals and metalloids in Leichhardt River sediment at Mount Isa and other recreational areas has been provided in Phase I (Noller et al. 2009). This section considers the health-risk implications of occasional consumption of water from the Leichhardt River and contact with or ingestion of river sediment dispersed in the water.

For this project, a default body weight of 70 kg has been assumed for an adult and 14 kg for a child (enHealth, 2004). Water metal and metalloid concentrations were compared with the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG, 2004). River sediment is treated as soil when the riverbed is dry. Metal and metalloid concentrations were compared with NEPM HIL—Level E (NEPC, 1999). The conservative approach was to assume that residents could be exposed to this contamination source from the river bed in the dry season as they would in a park or recreation facility.

Bioaccessibility (BAc) data, which predict bioavailability, were obtained where possible (Table 51)). Generally, BAc is a more conservative value than bioavailability (Section 3.2.7.1). In the absence of BA or BAc data, the USEPA (2007c) uses 0.3 (30% bioavailability) as a default for lead exposure to soil or dust. Food lead bioavailability is 50% for children and 10% for adults (USEPA, 2007c).

To ensure that all exposure pathways were taken into considerations, lead exposure was combined with realistic estimates of food (IPCS, 1995) and water exposure for an adult (70 kg) and a child (14 kg) (enHealth, 2004). Estimates of lead (see IPCS, 1995) absorbed from food were 10 µg/day (0.01 mg/day) for adults and 25 µg/day (0.025 mg/day) for children, based on 10% bioavailability in adults and 50% bioavailability (conservative estimate) in children (Table 67). The corresponding estimates of lead absorbed by from drinking water from the Leichhardt River were 2 µg/day (0.002 mg/day) for adults and 5 µg/day (0.005 mg/day) for children. The estimates of lead intake from water aligned with the calculation based on the current Australian Drinking Water Guideline value (0.01 mg/L) (ADWG, 2004). For water, a daily consumption of 2 L for an adult and 0.5 L for a child was used to calculating lead exposure, assuming 10% bioavailability as suggested by the IPCS expert task group (IPCS, 1995).

From a survey of PM10 annual moving average of lead concentration in air quality monitoring between January 2005 and January 2009 in Mount Isa City, the average ambient concentration of lead was less than 0.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (EPP, 2008) and should not exceed annual average; QLD DERM 2010). This value was used for the calculation of the inhalation exposure dose coupled to the default of 30% bioavailability as adopted by USEPA (2007c). A further assumption was made that the total suspended PM10 particulates in air was at the NEPM maximum daily average of 50  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (NEPC, 2004).

Variable	Symbol	Value	Unit	Reference
Tolerable Daily Intake (or Reference Dose, Acceptable Daily Intake)	TDI / ADI	0.00357	mg/kg bw-day	ADWG, 2004; WHO, 1989
Soil ingestion — adult	IR	0.000025	kg/day	Kimbrough et al., 1984; enHealth, 2004
Soil ingestion — child	IR	0.0001	kg/day	
Inhalation volume	IR	20 (adult) 12 (child)	m³/day	enHealth, 2004
Food lead intake	EDFood	10 25	µg/day	IPCS, 1995
Water lead intake	EDWater	2 5	µg/day	ADWG, 2004; IPCS, 1995
Body weight — adult	BW	70	kg	enHealth, 2004
Body weight — child	BW	14	kg	enHealth, 2004
Bioavailability food ingestion	ВА	0.5 (child) 0.1 (adult)	No unit	USEPA, 2007c
Bioavailability soil ingestion	ВА	0.3 (default) or as determined	No unit	USEPA, 2007c
Bioavailability dust inhalation	ВА	0.3 (default) or as determined	No unit	USEPA, 2007c

Table 67.	Standards and	assumptions	used for the	calculations	of exposure	data of lead
-----------	---------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------

Note: Bioavailability can be expressed as a fraction, as shown in the table, or as a percentage (e.g. BA fraction of 0.5 is 50%); TDI =Tolerable Daily Intake; ADI = Allowable (average) Daily Intake; IR = Intake Rate, ED = Exposure Dose; BW = Body Weight; BA = Bioavailability.

#### 4.2.1 Tolerable daily intake of lead

The tolerable dose for lead is set at 25 µg Pb/kg bw-week (WHO, 1989). This is equivalent to a tolerable daily intake (TDI) of 3.57 µg Pb/kg bw-day (0.00357 mg/kg bw-day). This equates to an allowable daily intake (ADI) of 0.25 mg Pb/day or a TDI of 0.05 mg Pb/day for an adult of 70 kg body weight and a child of 14 kg body weight (Table 67).

A Hazard Index (HI) was derived by comparing actual exposure to the TDI. For a health risk assessment, when the HI is equal to or less than 1, it is considered to be no risk; when the HI is greater than 1, it is considered to be a potential risk. Generally, the TDI is set with precautionary safety factors and, therefore, it is a conservative value. According to Equation (4)

$$HI = ED/TDI \qquad (4)$$

Where:

 $HI \leq 1$  there is no health risk

HI > 1 but < 10 there is a potential health risk (low to moderate probability of risk)

HI > 10 there is a real health risk (high probability of risk).

Table 68 is a look-up table showing daily intakes derived from all ingestible sources and bioavailability data. The red cells indicate where the daily intake for a particular concentration of soil lead for a given bioavailability is exceeded.

# Table 68.Look-up table showing daily intakes derived from all ingestible sources and bioavailability<br/>data. Red cells indicate where the daily intake for a particular concentration of soil lead for a<br/>given bioavailability is exceeded

Pb (mg/kg)		C	hronic lead – (۹	soil+food+water	·)	
	HIL A 300	HIL E 600	HIL D 1200	> HIL F 2400	> HIL F 6000	> HIL F 35000
BA	Adult					
1	0.020	0.027	0.042	0.072	0.162	0.887
0.9	0.019	0.026	0.039	0.066	0.147	0.800
0.8	0.018	0.024	0.036	0.060	0.132	0.712
0.7	0.017	0.023	0.033	0.054	0.117	0.625
0.6	0.017	0.021	0.030	0.048	0.102	0.537
0.5	0.016	0.020	0.027	0.042	0.087	0.450
0.4	0.015	0.018	0.024	0.036	0.072	0.362
0.3	0.014	0.017	0.021	0.030	0.057	0.275
0.2	0.014	0.015	0.018	0.024	0.042	0.187
0.1	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.018	0.027	0.100
	Child					
1	0.060	0.090	0.150	0.270	0.630	3.530
0.9	0.057	0.084	0.138	0.246	0.570	3.180
0.8	0.054	0.078	0.126	0.222	0.510	2.830
0.7	0.051	0.072	0.114	0.198	0.450	2.480
0.6	0.048	0.066	0.102	0.174	0.390	2.130
0.5	0.045	0.060	0.090	0.150	0.330	1.780
0.4	0.042	0.054	0.078	0.126	0.270	1.430
0.3	0.039	0.048	0.066	0.102	0.210	1.080
0.2	0.036	0.042	0.054	0.078	0.150	0.730
0.1	0.033	0.036	0.042	0.054	0.090	0.380

LEGEND:

Lead value = NEPC HIL 'A' (Standard residential with garden) Lead value = NEPC HIL 'E' (Parks, recreational, playing fields) Lead value = NEPC HIL 'D' (Residential with minimal soil access) Lead value > NEPC HIL 'F' (Commercial/Industrial: 1500 mg/kg) Estimated ingestion value for lead exceeds ADI (mg)

Available fraction of soil lead (e.g. 0.1 = 10 % Absolute Bioavailability) Adult (70 kg) subject has ADI of 0.25 mg of lead (IPCS, 1995) Child (14 kg) subject has ADI of 0.05 mg of lead (IPCS, 1995) Food intake by adult=10 µg/d; child=25 µg/d

Potable Water 10% bioavailable: Water Pb intake by adult=2  $\mu$ g/d; child=5  $\mu$ g/d

From the sediment metal and metalloid concentration data (Table 50), total concentrations exceeded the NEPM HIL—Level E at site LR10. The BAc of this sample is given in Table 51 and showed a similar mean %BAc (24.7) for lead in sediments previously surveyed on 15–16 October 2009 (Table 49)). The 75% percentile of the previously surveyed sediment for BAc was found to be 29%, compared with 28.7% for sites LR9 and LR10. The calculated intake of lead from the sediment, in addition to normal food and water lead intake, gave the total lead exposure as shown in Table 69.

The red cells (Table 69) indicate that the potential risk for children at LR10 exceeded the NEPM HIL— Level E with a hazard index of 7.8 of measured BAc, 12.7 for the 75th percentile of BAc, and 8.8 for median BAc. The HI indicate there is potential health risk, but the probability of the risk is low to moderate. This conclusion is based on local residents being exposed to the dry sediment regularly over a lifetime, which is a far more realistic scenario of occasional exposure. The risk is lower when the river is flowing.

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

Based on this observation, sediment with lead higher than 1000 mg/kg should be removed or access to these sites should be restricted. This risk assessment is conservative because the BAc is taken as bioavailability with frequent exposure. The actual bioavailability of lead in sediment may well be less than 10% (see Phase II Report). The X-ray absorption spectroscopy model compound fitting results for Leichhardt River sediment (Table 52)) show that lead is predominantly found as lead-goethite, including upstream at Rifle Creek Dam. The results only show variable composition when the lead concentration is high and clearly associated with historical tailings, as is the case for site LR10. Lead-goethite is well known as having low bioavailability, and this is confirmed by data for Leichhardt River sediments (Table 52).

# Table 69.Total intake of lead from exposure to sediment (LR 10, <250 $\mu$ m fraction) when the Leichhardt<br/>River bed is dry

	Chronic	Chronic lead – (LR10 sediment+food+water)							
Pb mg/kg	LR 209	Hazard Index							
BAc	Adult								
0.173	Measured	0.102	0.41						
0.29	75% Percentile	0.164	0.66						
0.195	Median	0.114	0.46						
BAc	Child								
0.173	Measured	0.392	7.8						
0.29	75% Percentile	0.636	12.7						
0.195	Median	0.438	8.8						

Estimated ingestion value for lead exceeds ADI (mg): Adult 0.25, Child 0.05 Adult (70 kg) subject has ADI of 0.25 mg of lead (IPCS, 1995) Child (14 kg) subject has ADI of 0.05 mg of lead (IPCS, 1995) Food intake by adult=10 µg/d; child=25 µg/d

## 4.2.2 Cadmium in Leichhardt River sediment

Cadmium in the sediment samples was marginally higher than the NEPM HIL—Level E of 40 mg/kg (Table 50) for the <2 mm fraction with a total concentration of 163 mg/kg and a bioaccessibility-adjusted concentration of 45.6 mg/kg at site LR10 based on the <250  $\mu$ m fraction (Table 51). Given the samples are from river sediment, the opportunity for exposure will only occur during the dry season when the river bed is dry. The bioaccessibility and bioavailability of cadmium is a fraction of 100% (mean 43.2% for LR samples (Table 51)). Therefore, the potential health risk due to cadmium from LR sediment is considered low.

## 4.2.3 Copper in Leichhardt River sediment

The highest copper level found in Leichhardt River sediment samples was at site LR10, with a total concentration of 4490 mg/kg, which was 1260 mg/kg for the bioaccessibility-adjusted concentration and below the NEPM HIL—Level E of 2000 mg/kg (Table 51). Given that copper bioavailability is less than 100% (mean 27.9% for LR samples) and that the opportunity for exposure will only occur during the dry season when the river bed is dry, the potential health risk due to copper from LR sediment is considered low.

## 4.2.4 Lead in Leichhardt River water

A daily consumption of 2 L of water for adults and 0.5 L of water for a child (14 kg) was used to calculate lead exposure. It was assumed that the bioavailability of lead from water is 10%, as recommended by the IPCS Expert Task Group (IPCS, 1995).

Exposure to lead from direct consumption of Leichhardt River water and sediment is mutually exclusive. Therefore, the total lead exposure, as shown in Table 23 and Table 24, can be recalculated by replacing the drinking water intake with the Leichhardt River water as a conservative approach, assuming that Leichhardt River water was the only source of drinking water. However, to counteract for being overly conservative, the soil/ sediment intake was removed. Table 70 shows the potential associated with drinking Leichhardt River water, adjusted for 10% bioavailability and normal food lead intake. Only Leichhardt River water samples with lead higher than the ADWG (2004) were calculated.

The total intakes of lead (LR water + food) were all below TDI 0.25 mg/d for adults and TDI of 0.05 mg/d for children. However, a number of Leichhardt River water samples could pose a risk if soil lead is included in this calculation.

#### 4.2.5 Arsenic in Leichhardt River sediment and water

The highest total concentration of arsenic in sediment collected at site LR10 was 251 mg/kg, which marginally exceeded HIL—Level E of 200 mg/kg. The BAc of Leichhardt River sediments ranged from 2% to 20% (Table 49) with a 75th percentile of 13.8%. The bioaccessibility-adjusted arsenic concentration found at site LR10 was 7.6 mg/kg with BAc of 3.0% (Table 51). Therefore, arsenic in Leichhardt River sediment represents a low health risk.

Forty Leichhardt River water samples exceeded the drinking water guidelines (ADWG,2004) for arsenic (7 µg/L) (Table 23). Arsenic concentrations ranged from 0.2 to 46.6 µg/L with a median of 4.6 µg/L and a 75th percentile of 11.8 µg/L. If people were exposed to the highest arsenic concentration, then the HI =6.7 represents a potential health risk. However, residents are unlikely to use water as their only source of drinking water. Exposure is more likely to be via accidental ingestion or recreational exposure. Therefore, the health risk for Leichhardt River water for arsenic exposure is considered low. This conclusion is further supported by the median arsenic concentration of 4.6 µg/L, which is below the ADWG (2004) and a 75th percentile of 11.8 µg/L, although higher than the ADWG (2004).

### 4.2.6 Zinc in Leichhardt River sediment and water

Zinc (Zn) is an essential element for normal bodily functioning. The daily requirement of zinc is 12–15 mg/day; however, zinc can be toxic if exposure is excessive. Ingestion is the main source of excessive exposure that could result in toxicity. Dermal absorption of zinc is very low; therefore, dermal toxicity is extremely unlikely. No haematological (blood) effect has been observed after dermal exposure to zinc. There was no dermal irritation from a skin patch of 25% zinc oxide (2.9 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for 48 hours, which is a very high concentration. The highest zinc sediment concentrations found were 9,920 mg/kg at sites LR9 and LR10 (Table 51). These concentrations are well below the current NEPM HIL—Level E of 14,000 mg/kg (NEPC, 1999). The highest zinc concentration found in Leichhardt River water was 407 µg/L, which is well below the ADWG (2004) of 3,000 µg/L (ADWG, 2004).

#### Potential associated lead with drinking Leichhardt River water adjusted for 10% bioavailability Table 70. and normal food lead intake

	Chronic lead – (LR water+food)												
Ρb µg/L	11	18	21	33	39	108	158	158	191	417	444		
BAc	Adult (mg/day)												
0.1	0.012	0.014	0.014	0.017	0.018	0.032	0.042	0.042	0.048	0.093	0.099		
BAc	BAc Child (mg/day)												
0.1	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.027	0.030	0.033	0.033	0.035	0.046	0.047		

Pb = Estimated amount of lead (mg/day) intake via soil ingestion

BAc = Available fraction of soil lead (e.g. 0.1 = 10 % absolute bioavailability)

Adult (70 kg) subject has ADI of 0.25 mg of lead (IPCS, 1995) — no soil ingestion allowed for this exposure table Child (14 kg) subject has ADI of 0.05 mg of lead (IPCS, 1995) — no soil ingestion allowed for this table

Food intake by adult=10 µg/d; child=25 µg/d

Potable water 10% bioavailable

#### 4.3 Health risk assessment of metal and metalloid uptake in aquatic biota from Leichhardt River

The results for metals and metalloids in aquatic biota taken from the Leichhardt River exceeded the guidelines levels for cadmium and lead at some sites (Section 3.2.6). No maximum permissible level (MPC) or maximum level (ML) has been set for cadmium in fish (ANZFA, 1994; FSANZ, 2010, FSANZ, 2004). However, the ML for cadmium in vegetables, including leafy, root, and tuber vegetables is set at 0.1 mg/kg (FSANZ, 2004). For the health risk assessment, the TDI for cadmium is 0.07 mg/day for an 70 kg adult or 0.014 mg/day for a 14kg child, regardless of the dietary source. The ML for lead in fish is 0.5 mg/kg (FSANZ, 2010; 2004) and the TDI is set at 0.25 mg/day for an adult or 0.05 mg/day for a child. Table 71 lists some of the most elevated levels of cadmium

in fish and lead concentrations exceeding the ML found in the fish, for the sample sites. More detailed results are given in Appendix 9.

Species	Location	Cadmium (mg/kg)
Spangled perch (whole fish)	Lake Moondarra	45
Spangled perch (liver)	Rifle Creek Dam	4.7
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	24
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	4.8
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	22
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.3
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.2
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	12
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	7.6
Forked-tail catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	3.2
Forked-tail catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.7
Forked-tail catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	0.88
Barramundi (liver)	Lake Moondarra	0.88
Sleepy cod (liver)	Isa Street	2.8
Sleepy cod (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.1
Tandan (liver)	Moondarra Junction	1.8
Species	Location	Lead (mg/kg)
Barred grunter (muscle)	Moondarra Crossing	1.2
Barred grunter (muscle)	Lake Moondarra	0.61
Bony bream (muscle)	Lake Moondarra	0.67
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	2.8
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	2.4
Sleepy cod (muscle)	Lake Moondarra	0.86
Sleepy cod (muscle)	Davis Road	0.69
Spangled perch (muscle)	23rd Avenue	1.70
Spangled perch (muscle)	Isa Street	4.7
Spangled perch (whole fish)	Lake Moondarra	0.78
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	3.2
Forked-tail catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	2.7
Rendahl's catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.1
Rainbow (whole fish)	Davis Road	0.73
Tandan (whole fish)	Moondarra Junction	1.1

# Table 71. Most elevated levels of cadmium in fish and lead concentrations exceeding maximum level (ML) (0.5 mg/kg) in fish

## 4.3.1 Risk assessment

The risk of acute toxicity due to consumption of contaminated fish is unlikely. The ADI is set on chronic exposure. Occasional consumption may be acceptable, but frequent or regular consumption of fish that exceed MLs is not recommended. Table 72 is based on the highest concentrations of cadmium and lead found and corresponds to the worst-case scenario of people who would consume fish everyday of their life. Table 73 is based on the median and 75th percentile of lead and cadmium found and illustrates how much of a particular contaminated fish could be consumed without affecting health. This amount is calculated from the total dietary intake of cadmium or lead derived from fish caught from Leichhardt River alone (see Equation 5).

Other sources of cadmium and lead intake will reduce the amount of fish a person could consume:

$$A \times C = TDI$$
  
 $A = TDI/C$ 

Where A = amount consumed (g)/day

TDI = mg/day C = cadmium or lead concentration in fish (mg/kg) e.g. mg/1,000g

Table 73 is an alternative way of examining the cadmium and lead data based on the median and 75th percentile concentrations for all fish from all sites based on the worst-case scenario for residents who will consume their catch everyday of their life with similar data to Table 72 based on highest concentrations only.

(5)

Table 74 compares both cadmium and lead in all fish having the highest median and 75th percentile concentrations all Leichhardt River sites excluding background sites, and Lake Moondarra sites with the upper and lower background sites (Appendix 9). Therefore, fish taken from the part of the Leichhardt River that is adjacent to Mount Isa City and Lake Moondarra are likely to have the higher cadmium and lead concentrations, than fish taken from the river near the background sites. As sediment is a likely source of the uptake of cadmium and lead for transfer through the food chain (Sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.4) by fish it may be appropriate to examine the cycle of these metals in more detail.

# Table 72. Amount (g) of fish for safe consumption assuming fish/biota is the only exposure pathway based on highest cadmium and lead found

		Cadmium	Adı	ults	Chile	dren	
Species	Location	(mg/kg) (wet wt)	Daily (g)	Yearly (g)	Daily (g)	Yearly (g)	
Spangled perch (whole fish)	Lake Moondarra	45	1.6	568	0.3	114	
Spangled perch (liver)	Rifle Creek Dam	4.7	14.9	5,440	3.0	1,090	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	24	2.9	1,070	0.6	213	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	4.8	14.6	5,320	2.9	1,070	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	22	3.2	1,160	0.6	232	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.3	53.8	19,700	10.8	3,930	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.2	58.3	21,300	11.7	4,260	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	12	5.8	2,130	1.2	426	
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	7.6	9.2	3,360	1.8	672	
Forked-tail catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	3.2	21.9	7,980	4.4	1,600	
Forked-tail catfish	Lake Moondarra	1.7	41.2	15,000	8.2	3,010	
Forked-tail catfish	Lake Moondarra	0.88	79.5	29,000	15.9	5,810	
Barramundi (liver)	Lake Moondarra	0.88	79.5	29,000	15.9	5,810	
Sleepy cod (liver)	Isa Street	2.8	25.0	9,130	5.0	1,830	

		Cadmium	Adı	ılts	Child	dren
Species	Location	(mg/kg) (wet wt)	Daily (g)	Yearly (g)	Daily (g)	Yearly (g)
Sleepy cod (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.1	63.6	23,227	12.7	4,645
Tandan (liver)	Moondarra Junction	1.8	38.9	14,194	7.8	2,839
		Lead	Adı	ılts	Chile	dren
Species	Location	(mg/kg) (wet wt)	Daily (g) Yearly (g)		Daily (g)	Yearly (g)
Barred grunter	Moondarra Crossing	1.2	208	76,000	41.7	15,200
(muscle)	Lake Moondarra	0.61	410	150,000	82.0	29,990
Bony bream (muscle)	Lake Moondarra	0.67	373	136,000	74.6	27,200
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	3.2	78.1	28,500	15.6	5,700
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	2.8	89.3	32,600	17.9	6,520
Bony bream (liver)	Lake Moondarra	2.4	104	38,000	20.8	7,600
Sleepy cod (muscle)	Lake Moondarra	0.86	291	106,000	58.1	21,220
Sleepy cod (muscle)	Davis Road	0.69	362	132,000	72.5	26,440
Spangled	23rd Ave	1.70	147	53,700	29.4	10,700
perch (muscle	Isa Street	4.7	53.2	19,400	10.6	3,880
or whole fish)	Lake Moondarra	0.78	321	117,000	64.1	23,400
Forked-tail catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	2.7	92.6	33,800	18.5	6,760
Rendahl's catfish (liver)	Lake Moondarra	1.1	227	83,000	45.5	16,600
Rainbow (whole fish)	Davis Road	0.73	343	125,000	68.5	25,000
Tandan (liver)	Moondarra Junction	1.1	227	83,000	45.5	16,600

Note: The daily or yearly consumption (g) derived should not to be exceeded considering other exposure pathways (soil, water, and other food) will be added to the intake.

The likelihood of consuming the highest concentrations of lead and cadmium in fish or biota is limited. A more realistic scenario is based on the median and 75th percentile of cadmium and lead concentrations in the biota. The calculated daily rates for safe consumption are shown in Table 73.

# Table 73. Amount (g) of fish from all sites for safe consumption, assuming fish or biota is the only exposure pathway based on the median and 75th percentile of lead and cadmium found

			Cadmium Concentration (mg/kg)		Adult	s (g/day)	Childr	Children (g/day)	
Species	Tissue	n	м	75P	R	М	75P	М	75P
Spangled perch	Muscle	26	0.125	0.388	0.01–45*	560	180	112	36
	Liver	5	0.18	0.18	0.11–4.7	389	389	78	78
Catfish	Muscle	4	0.076	0.096	0.041– 0.1	921	729	184	146
Sleepy cod	Muscle	41	0.034	0.320	0.01– 0.72	2059	219	412	44
	Liver	18	0.185	0.358	0.01–2.8	378	196	76	39
Barramundi	Muscle	1	0.01	0.010	0.01– 0.01	7,000	7,000	1,400	1,400
	Liver	1	0.88	0.880	0.88– 0.88	80	80	16	16
Barred grunter	Muscle	39	0.01	0.128	0.01– 0.71	7,000	547	1,400	109
	Liver	5	0.35	0.450	0.22–2.3	200	156	40	31
Rainbow	Muscle	8	0.36	0.478	0.18– 0.63	194	146	39	29
Tandan	Muscle	2	0.017	0.020	0.01– 0.023	4,118	3,500	824	700
	Liver	2	0.939	1.370	0.077– 1.8	75	51	15	10
Bony bream	Muscle	16	0.01	0.010	0.01– 0.029	7,000	7,000	1,400	1,400
	Liver	16	0.545	2.850	0.01–24*	128	25	26	5
Forked-tail catfish	Muscle	3	0.01	0.010	0.01– 0.01	7,000	7,000	1,400	1,400
	Liver	3	1.7	2.450	0.88–3.2	41	29	8	6
Eel-tailed Catfish	Muscle	3	0.16	0.175	0.01– 0.19	438	400	88	80
	Liver	1	0.64	0.640	0.64– 0.64	109	109	22	22
Red claw	Muscle	10	0.026	0.094	0.01– 0.35	2,692	745	538	149
Shrimp	Muscle	5	0.01	0.010	0.01– 0.056	7,000	7,000	1,400	1,400
Mussel	Muscle	1	0.051	0.051	0.051– 0.051	1,373	1,373	275	275
	Lead Concentration (mg/kg)		Adult	s (g/day)	Childr	en (g/day)			
Species	Tissue	n	М	75P	R	М	75P	М	75P
Spangled perch	Muscle	23	0.05	1.4	0.05-4.7	5,000	179	1,000	36
	Liver	5	0.05	0.05	0.05-0.11	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
Catfish	Muscle	4	0.195	0.21	0.18-0.24	1,282	1,190	256	238

Sources and Pathways	of Contaminants to	o the Leichhardt River
----------------------	--------------------	------------------------

			Lead Concentration (mg/kg)		ation	Adults (g/day)		Children (g/day)	
Species	Tissue	n	М	75P	R	М	75P	М	75P
Sleepy cod	Muscle	41	0.13	0.38	0.05-0.86	1,923	658	385	132
	Liver	18	0.05	0.05	0.05-0.29	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
Barramundi	Muscle	1	0.05	0.05	0.05-0,05	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
	Liver	1	0.1	0.1	0.1-0.1	2,500	2,500	500	500
Barred grunter	Muscle	40	0.05	0.14	0.05-1.2	5,000	1,786	1,000	357
	Liver	5	0.05	0.14	0.05-0.49	5,000	1,786	1,000	357
Rainbow	Muscle	8	0.315	0.748	0.05-0.85	794	334	159	67
Tandan	Muscle	2	0.05	0.05	0.05-0.05	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
	Liver	2	0.575	0.838	0.05-1.1	435	298	87	60
Bony bream	Muscle	16	0.05	0.155	0.05-0.67	5,000	1,613	1,000	323
	Liver	16	0.18	0.428	0.05-3.2	1,389	584	278	117
Forked-tail	Muscle	3	0.05	0.05	0.05-0.05	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
catfish	Liver	2	1.83	2.265	0.96-2.7	137	110	27	22
Eel-tailed catfish	Muscle	3	0.21	0.26	0.05-0.31	1190	962	238	192
	Liver	1	0.17	0.17	0.17-0.17	1,471	1,471	294	294
Red claw	Muscle	10	0.075	0.143	0.05-0.22	3,333	1,748	667	350
Shrimp	Muscle	5	0.05 0.05 0.05-0.05 5,000		5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000	
Mussel	Muscle	1	0.43	0.43	0.43-0.43	581	581	116	116

Where M=median; 75P=75th percentile; R=range; and \* indicates probable outlier (refer to Appendix 9 and full data set (FRC Environmental, 2010)): the outlier concentration seemed unusually high compared to biota tested for this species within the region of the Leichhardt River.

# Table 74.Amount (g) of fish according to location for safe consumption assuming fish/biota is the only<br/>exposure pathway based on median and 75th percentile of lead and cadmium found

			C	admium c	oncentratio	n ( <b>mg/kg)</b>		Adı (g/d	ults lay)	Chil (g/c	dren lay)
Location	Tissue	n	Minimum	Median	75th percentile	95th percentile	Maximum	М	75P	М	75P
All sites without background	Muscle or whole fish	127	0.01	0.056	0.325	0.627	45	1,250	215	250	43
sites (sites H, B, A, C, E, J, F, I, G, LM)	Liver	29	0.01	0.88	2.2	10.24	24	79.55	32	16	6
Lake Moondarra (Sites I, G,	Muscle or whole fish	57	0.01	0.01	0.023	0.152	45	7,000	3,044	1,400	609
LM)	Liver	26	0.01	0.77	2.075	10.9	24	91	34	18	7
Upper Background Rifle Creek	Muscle or whole fish	16	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.345	0.36	7,000	7,000	1,400	1,400
Dam (Site D)	Liver	7	0.045	0.11	0.145	3.344	4.7	636	483	127	97
Lower Background Lake Julius	Muscle or whole fish	17	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.0182	0.051	7,000	7,000	1,400	1,400
(Site K)	Liver	15	0.077	0.21	0.295	0.38	0.45	333	237	67	48
				Lead con	centration (	mg/kg)		Adults Children (g/day) (g/day)			dren lay)
Location	Tissue	n	Minimum	Median	75th percentile	95th percentile	Maximum	Μ	75P	Μ	75P
All sites without controls (Sites H, B, A, C, E, J, F, I, G, LM)	Muscle or whole fish	124	0.05	0.175	0.49	1.185	4.7	1,429	510	286	102
	Liver	28	0.05	0.16	0.4275	2.765	3.2	1,563	585	313	117
Lake Moondarra (Sites I, G, LM)	Muscle or whole fish	57	0.05	0.05	0.18	0.562	0.78	5,000	1,389	1,000	278
	Liver	26	0.05	0.12	0.325	2.775	3.2	2,083	769	417	154
Upper Background Rifle Creek Dam (Site D)	Muscle or whole fish	16	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.0625	0.1	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
	Liver	7	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.092	0.11	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
Lower Background Lake Julius (Site K)	Muscle or whole fish	17	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.166	0.43	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000
	Liver	15	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.182	0.49	5,000	5,000	1,000	1,000

Where M=median; 75P=75th percentile; R=range; and \* indicates probable outliner (refer to Appendix 9 and full data set (FRC Environment, 2010)): the outlier concentration seemed unusually high compared to biota tested for this species within the region of the Leichhardt River.

Table 73 indicates that, in most instances, residents of Mount Isa will be able to consume fish from the Leichhardt River, including from the test sites, on a regular basis without appreciable risk. In general, the liver of the fish have higher cadmium and lead concentrations compared to the muscle. Daily consumption of fish liver exceeding the calculated allowable rates (g/day) is not recommended, although the scenario of eating liver of the biota on a daily basis is unlikely to occur.

#### Sources and Pathways of Contaminants to the Leichhardt River

The frequency and consumption rates of fish among Mount Isa residents are not known. For a healthy diet, FSANZ recommends the consumption of fish once or twice a week. Among the Australian population, it is estimated that approximately 25% consume fish once a week, with an average serving size of 80–120 g (FSANZ, 2004).

Cook et al., (2001) compared data on food and nutrient intake data from national nutrition surveys undertaken in 1983, 1985, and 1995. Fish and fish product consumption data were collated from several regions including Australia, New Zealand, UK/Ireland, Europe, Asia, and other areas. The survey targetted the 25–64 year age group. The survey results showed that Australians increased their consumption of fish and fish products between 1983 to 1995 by 19 g per day for males and 6 g per day for females. In 1995, the daily average fish consumption by Australian males was 31 g and 25 g for females. If these consumption rates are used and are coupled with a consumption frequency of once or twice a week, then the risk from cadmium and lead in the muscle of locally caught fish is limited. Moderate risk could be associated with the consumption of fish liver is limited to no more than once a month, then the risk associated with cadmium and lead from the biota is expected to be minimal. It is not known how often and how much of the liver is consumed, if at all.

This risk assessment for fish and biota consumption is semi-quantitative. For a more refined risk assessment of cadmium and lead consumption via the aquatic biota pathway for the local population, regional survey data would have been useful, including statistics on:

- how often fish are caught
- how many fish are caught
- the size of the fish
- the number of fish consumed
- the weight of the fish consumed
- the parts of the fish that are consumed (muscle, liver etc.).

## 4.4 Ecological risk assessment of aquatic ecosystems

#### 4.4.1 Water

The general lack of toxicity at upstream Leichhardt River sites indicates with test acquatic species that historical mines sites and non-mined background river sites with natural mineralisation do not significantly show the effects of metals and metalloids on aquatic biota. This indicates that an upper city Leichhardt River site such as 23rd Avenue (Figure 23), which showed no effects to aquatic biota from tests undertaken and may be used for comparative purposes with sites further downstream in the Leichhardt River within the zone that may be affected by mining and urban activities. While toxicity is indicated, there is no clear delineation of the source of the aquatic toxicity. A lack of a toxicity response could still mean that bioaccumulation occurs as some organisms regulate metals. This may be an issue for aquatic biota, such as fish in the Leichhardt River from 23rd Avenue down to Moondarra Crossing, as fish are demonstrated to accumulate heavy metals in this section of the river.

The results for DGT measured concentrations ( $C_{DGT}$ ) in water and comparisons with hardness corrected guidelines indicate the need to proceed to the next step in the decision process and to measure toxicity. This step is best achieved by applying sensitive toxicity tests as there is a range of responses. The results in Chapter 3 show this is indeed the case and follows what the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) recommends. In particular, *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* is identified as a sensitive cladoceran that is easily applied to measure both acute and chronic toxicity of water. While chronic tests are required for the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines to give no observable effect values, acute tests are required in the first instance to indicate a direct impact of toxcity.

Exceedence of the DGT-measured bioavailable fraction can therefore trigger toxicity testing. Because *Ceriodaphnia* is demonstrated to be a sensitive aquatic test species and likely to give a response, it appears to be a good test species to use for screening purposes. Following aquatic toxicity testing and if there is no chronic toxicity, then there is no further problem according to the ANZECC/AMCANZ (2000) decision tree process. The issue of multiple contaminants is one that may cause problems because of variation in response from different toxicants and require toxicity testing. There may be a need to use a TIE procedure (USEPA, 2007b) to identify the significant toxicants at the worst sites and whether ammonia is indeed a contributor.

### 4.4.2 Sediment

Section 3.2.3 identified that several sites from the Leichhardt River sediment Verification Study have exceeded the ISQG-Low for cadmium (79 sites), copper (78 sites), lead (79 sites), and a lesser number of sites for zinc (50 sites) for 1M hydrochloric acid extraction concentrations. Comparison of these sediment results with ISQG-High shows exceedance for cadmium (22 sites), copper (10 sites), lead (46 sites), and a lesser number of sites for zinc (18 sites) for 1M hydrochloric acid extraction concentrations. Exceedence of the ISQG-High is indicative of a high probability of biological effects. As the lower ISQG-Low guidelines are very conservative and may be driven in their derivation by the presence of co-occurring contaminants, laboratory toxicity testing for the ISQG-High exceedances assumes importance. The sites indicating contamination of sediment will require further assessment, including toxicity assessment according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision tree process (Figure 12) and may require remediation based on ecological effects.

Section 4.1 identifies that the sediment toxicity assessment according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision tree process (Figure 12) gives an accurate measure of effect to the test species used in this study. There is a limitation to sediment toxicity assessment in this study identified in Section 3.3.3, due to the availability of relevant test species. While five species from four taxonomic groups were available for aquatic testing of water, only five taxa were available based on testing of water elutriates of sediment samples but no test species for direct sediment testing apart from *Corophium spp*. The testing of Leichhardt River sediment using burrowing species found in the river is a key issue for sediment toxicity assessment because of the ephemeral conditions. Using *Corophium spp* (Noller et al., 2009) was not continued due to the effects on the test organism from sharp edges on particles found in the sediment.

A potential solution to the problem of availability of the test species is to identify potential species and try to isolate, identify, and culture local burrowing species. In July 2010, a search was made in the Leichhardt River sediment for Chironomid species, which are identified as a suitable burrowing test species because they spend up to 20 days of their lifecycle in sediment and are food for fish. *Chironomids* were found to be present during a survey undertaken (Somparn, 2010) in July 2010 in relatively low numbers (e.g. <50/m<sup>2</sup>) at upstream sites, including 23rd Avenue, but at much higher numbers (up to 298/m<sup>2</sup>) downstream at Moondarra Crossing, particularly below the points of urban water discharge containing higher nutrient levels (Table 10). An existing protocol for sediment toxicity testing using *Chironomid sp.* as a test species is available and can be applied using local Leichhardt River species. Other burrowing species can also be identified for suitability as test species from earlier surveys (Ecowise, 2005, 2006).

#### 4.4.3 Future needs for ecotoxicity testing

The results for toxicity studies on both water and sediment demonstrate when acute and chronic effects may occur with a range of test species. From 2007–2010 the number of test species was limited. Limitations were identified with using certain species including *Corophium* (injury from sharp sediment edges) for sediment and *Selenestrum* (turbidity effect) for water and sediment elutriates. Currently, a wider range of test species is available.

It is also clear that the cause and effect relationship between observed toxicity and measured metal and metalloid contaminants cannot always be explained and may be due to other stressors or constraints, e.g. ammonia. This particularly applies to the Davis Crossing on the Leichhardt River. An approach that considers contributions from different toxicants is needed. The TIE method developed by the USEPA (2007b) may be used to identify constituents causing observed toxicity. The TIE approach uses physical and chemical manipulation of a sample to isolate or change the potency of different groups of toxicants that are potentially present in a sample. In developing the TIE procedures for aquatic toxicity in waters, further detailed study of water and sediment toxicity will be required.

## 4.5 Development of site-specific guidelines

The process used in this report followed the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision trees for water and sediment. To determine the effects of Mount Isa City mining and urban activities on the Leichhardt River catchment, the first point of the assessment in Queensland is to follow the QWQG (2009) process, which is derived directly from ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000).

QWQG (2009) uses a step-wise process described in Section 1.4.3; however, no site-specific guidelines exist for the Gulf region in which the Leichhardt River catchment is found. Appendix A in QWQG (2009) gives the decision process to follow when site-specific guidelines have to be derived.

The Leichhardt River sediment study data may provide a basis for assigning site-specific guidelines. Using the 80th percentile values for toxicants, including metals and metalloids, the background sediment concentrations may be used as default TVs only if the 80th percentile exceeds the TVs.

The development of site-specific guidelines for water may require additional monitoring to fill in gaps after following the procedure given in Appendix A of QWQG (2009). This step has not been a part of the Phase III study because the review of monitoring data for water quality was not included in ther study objectives.

The water studies in this report indicate the following issues:

#### Drinking water

• Six sites at the Leichhardt River (19th Avenue, 23rd Avenue, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, Moondarra Junction); four sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) and two seepage ponds (Tailing Dams 5 and 8) exceeded the drinking water guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead.

#### Livestock, recreational use, and irrigation water

• No site exceeds the ANZECC/ARMCANZ livestock drinking water guidelines or the recreational use and irrigation water guidelines.

#### Protection of the aquatic ecosystem

The results show that concentrations of cadmium in the 0.45 µm fraction, measured by DGT technique and inorganic species calculated by MINTEQ modelling of water samples collected at Davis and Alma Crossings exceeded the trigger values for cadmium for both the 90% or 95% species protection. Two upstream sites (Mica Creek and upstream Leichhardt River); three sites within Mount Isa City (Alma Crossing and Isa Crossing); one downstream site at Moondarra Junction; five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5); two seepage ponds (Tailing Dams 5 and 8) and one urban discharge site (Breakaway Creek) exceeded the trigger values of copper for 95% species protection. Only the seepage pond at Tailing Dam 5 exceeds the trigger values for arsenic and the downstream north Tailing Dams 3 and 5 exceed the trigger values for assenic and the downstream that further investigation needs to be conducted at these sites.

The decision process for assessing the effects of metals and metalloids on the aquatic ecosystem of Leichhardt River has been extended by using the combination of DGT technique plus MINTEQ equilibrium modelling of soluble metal species and the BLM for copper. The major features identified are that the comparison of aquatic toxicity testing with the DGT technique and predicted metal and metalloid species concentrations and BLM is a useful alternative to aquatic toxicity testing where the predicted data were obtained for comparative purposes.

The sediment studies in this report indicated the following issues:

• Leichhardt River: The specific issue of contamination of Leichhardt River sediment has been identified, particularly in the lower part of Mount Isa City (Figure 40 to Figure 45). These sites may require further delineation and clean-up of river sediment based on both health and ecological guidelines and risk assessments. There is an apparent link with high levels of cadmium and lead in fish in Leichhardt River and safe quantities of fish for human consumption.

 Tributaries to Leichhardt River from mine lease: The five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) that showed exceedance for arsenic, cadmium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc compared with drinking water guidelines (ADWG, 2004) and trigger values for 95% species protection and requires further assessment of the sediment metal and metalloid concentrations according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision process (Figure 12).

# 5. Conclusions and recommendations

The Lead Pathways Study — Phase III: Water Sources and pathways of contaminants to the Leichhardt River investigated:

- 1. the potential sources and pathways of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids in water from the study area comprising the Leichhardt River catchment, particularly at, and below, Mount Isa City and the Mount Isa Mines lease area down to and including Lake Moondarra
- 2. the risk to human, agricultural pastoral, and ecological health from the contributions of lead and other heavy metals and metalloids.

The human health risk assessment was undertaken according to guidelines set by the National Health and Medical Research Council, the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, and the National Environmental Protection Council.

No site exceeded the ANZECC/ARMCANZ livestock watering guidelines for the metals and metalloids that were measured.

The overall results of the water quality assessments show that the Leichhardt River water, at the time of testing, was alkaline and the water pH varied from 7.0 to 8.5 over five sampling periods. The electrical conductivity (EC) of samples at upstream sites (Leichhardt River upstream and Mica Creek upstream) and downstream sites (Moondarra Junction, Lake Moondarra and Clear Water Lagoon were within the limits for drinking water (<1000  $\mu$ S/cm), which applies to palatability associated with total dissolved salts. However, the EC of water sampled at the Leichhardt River sites within Mount Isa City were >1000  $\mu$ S/cm. The EC values of water collected at all sites in the wet season were significant lower than pre-wet and post-wet season samples indicating a reduction in total dissolved salts with renewed river flow.

Total concentrations of metals and metalloids in water were compared with Australian Drinking Water Guidelines. The results show that six sites from the Leichhardt River (19th Avenue, 23rd Avenue, Davis Crossing, Moondarra Crossing, Moondarra Junction) and four sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) exceeded the guideline values. Two seepage ponds (Tailing Dams 5 and 8) exceeded the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead; however, these ponds are not accessible by the general public or livestock.

Total concentrations of metals and metalloids in the water were compared with the ANZECC/ARMCANZ Water Quality Guidelines trigger values for fresh water species at two levels: to protect 90% of all freshwater specifies and to protect 95% of all freshwater species. The trigger values were also adjusted for site-specific water hardness, as stipulated by the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process. The filtered concentrations (0.45 µm fraction) and dissolved species, measured by DGT technique, at sites with a total concentration of a heavy metal or arsenic exceeding the trigger values, were compared with the site-specific trigger values. The results show that concentrations of heavy metals in the 0.45 µm fraction, measured by the DGT technique, and inorganic species, calculated by the MINTEQ multi-equilibrium program that correspond to the decision step of the 'bioavailable' heavy metal or arsenic toxicants in water:

- exceeded the trigger values of cadmium for 95% species protection at:
  - » Davis Crossing in the post-wet season in 2009
  - » Alma Crossing in the wet season in 2010
- exceeded the site-specific trigger values for copper for fresh water species at 95% protection level at:
  - » two upstream sites (Mica Creek and Leichhardt River upstream)
  - » three sites within Mount Isa City (Alma Crossing and Isa Crossing)
  - » one downstream site (Moondarra Junction)
  - » five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5)
  - » two seepage ponds (Tailing Dams 5 and 8)
  - » one urban discharge site (Breakaway creek)
- exceeded the site-specific trigger values for arsenic for 95% species protection at:
  - » seepage Tailing Dam 5 exceeded the site-specific trigger values of arsenic
- exceeded the site-specific trigger values of lead for fresh water species at 95% protection level at:
  - » Downstream North Tailing Dam 3 and Downstream North Tailing Dam 5.

These results indicate that further investigation needs to be conducted at these sites for biological effects following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process.

During the 2010 wet season, five sites at Leichhardt River (upstream and within Mount Isa City) showed that no site exceeded the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, copper, nickel, lead and zinc. However, water samples collected from five sites at tributaries from the mine lease at the same exceeded the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, and lead. The public should be advised not to drink water at these tributary creeks.

The overall results of the sediment quality assessment show there are several sites from the Leichhardt River that exceeded the Interim Sediment Quality Guidelines (ISQG)-Low for arsenic (2 sites); cadmium (79 sites); copper (78 sites); lead (79 sites); and at a lesser number of sites for zinc (50 sites) for 1M HCl extraction concentrations. These sites will require further assessment of contamination, including a toxicity assessment according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process for sediment. Comparison of these sediment results with ISQG-High shows exceedance for cadmium (22 sites); copper (10 sites); lead (46 sites); and a lesser number of sites for zinc (18 sites) for 1M HCl extractable concentrations. Exceedence of the ISQG-High is indicative of a high probability of biological effects. These sites may collectively require remediation if they show toxicity to aquatic test species following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision tree process for sediment. The five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) also requires further assessment of the sediment metal and metalloid concentrations according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision process. Site LR10 (Leichhardt River at exit from Star Gully) is the only site from 79 sites assessed by the Mount Isa Mines Verification Program that exceeded the NEPM HIL-Level E criteria for human health risk for cadmium and lead, when the total concentration was adjusted for bioaccessibility. Therefore, site LR10 requires further evaluation to define the extent of potential human contact with contaminated sediment and to enable a remediation plan to be implemented.

Direct consumption of Leichhardt River water or sediment may result in exposure to lead. The total lead exposure was recalculated by replacing drinking water with Leichhardt River water. As a conservative approach, it was assumed that Leichhardt River water was the only source of water consumed. The potential total intake of lead was below the tolerable daily intake of 0.25 mg/day for adults as well as TDI of 0.05 mg/day for children, adjusted for 10% bioavailability and normal food lead intake. However, a number of Leichhardt River water samples could pose a risk if soil lead was included in this calculation.

An additional risk from particulate matter in river sediment is that acute toxicity from the consumption of fish contaminated with metals and metalloids is unlikely. The potential risk was assessed by comparing with the allowable daily intake (ADI). In general, the liver of the fish have higher heavy metal and metalloid concentrations compared to the muscle. Frequent or regular consumption of fish from the Leichhardt River that exceed maximum levels (MLs) of heavy metals and metalloids is not recommended.

The aquatic toxicity in Leichhardt River water collected in 2008 was assessed using the acute 48-h survival of *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* test for acute toxicity (short-term effects). The 48-h LC50 showed that acute toxicity was observed at Davis Crossing (61.6 % LC50 and 0% survival). This sample was taken after the Leichhardt River Remediation Program, which was completed in 2007. Further sampling in 2009 for acute toxicity reconfirmed that toxicity was observed at Davis Crossing and, to a lesser extent, at the junction of Breakaway Creek and Leichhardt River. The water metal and metalloid concentration results showed that copper concentrations (0.45  $\mu$ m fraction) at four sites and cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing exceeded the trigger values for 95% species protection. Ammonia could also not be ruled out as a toxicant. The results of further toxicity testing and water quality measurements also reconfirmed that cadmium concentrations of 3.5  $\mu$ g/L at Davis Crossing exceeded the trigger value of 2  $\mu$ g/L for 95% species protection.

To fully evaluate the effectiveness of the Leichhardt River Remediation Program, a second sampling was undertaken for both water and sediment in October 2009. The decision-tree process recommends that five species in water and five in sediment are tested. The aquatic toxicity studies show various effects at 23rd Avenue (growth inhibition to *Lemna*); Davis Crossing (chronic toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia*); and Moondarra Crossing (effects with three different species). These findings indicate that, overall, only limited toxicity was observed in the Leichhardt River water for a range of aquatic species covering the five taxa. The water metal concentrations confirmed that the cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing and copper concentration at Alma Crossing and Moondarra Crossing exceeded the trigger value for 95% freshwater species protection.

Rifle Creek Dam, located furthest upstream Leichhardt River, was chosen as a background site relative to Leichhardt River downstream from above Mount Isa City to below Lake Moondarra for aquatic toxicity assessment in both water and sediment. The toxicity results showed that no toxicity was observed at Rifle Creek Dam in both

water and sediment making it a suitable for comparing with any effects of metals and metalloids on aquatic biota downstream.

Comparison of aquatic toxicity testing results showed, in general, that upstream background sediments were not toxic to aquatic test species and confirmed that exceedances of ISQG–Low for 1M HCI extract do not always indicate that the sediment will be toxic to aquatic test biota. In particular, Rifle Creek Dam sediment concentration data for 1M HCI extract demonstrated little or nil toxicity, even though there was historical mining in its sub-catchment.

An approach that takes into account both the presence of natural mineralisation and some effects of historical mining is suggested for deriving background water quality data and site-specific guidelines for the Leichhardt River. It is considered appropriate to use all upstream sediment metal and metalloid concentration data for developing site-specific guidelines because aquatic toxicity was not generally demonstrated with the presence of natural mineralisation or historical mining in upstream Leichhardt River sediment.

Specific recommendations for further work are:

- Identify the specific source(s) of toxicity observed in the lower part of the Leichhardt River, adjacent to Mount Isa City, particularly at Davis Crossing and the mine lease. The aquatic toxicity studies and comparisons with metal and metalloid concentrations and other constituents, such as ammonia, indicate that responses to organisms cannot always be explained. The metal concentrations in the water indicate that the cadmium concentration at Davis Crossing and the copper concentration at Alma Crossing and Moondarra Crossing exceeded the trigger value for the 95% level of freshwater species protection. To better understand the sources of arsenic, copper, cadmium, lead, and other toxicants including ammonia the Toxicity Identification Evaluations (TIE) procedure from the USEPA may be used to identify constituents causing observed toxicity by using physical/chemical manipulation of a sample to isolate or change the potency of different groups of toxicants potentially present in a sample. In developing the TIE procedures for aquatic toxicity in waters, further detailed study of water and sediment toxicity is required.
- Investigate the ecological effects of the sites from the Leichhardt River that exceeded the (ISQG)-Low for arsenic (2 sites); cadmium (79 sites); copper (78 sites); lead (79 sites); and zinc (50 sites) for 1M HCl extraction concentrations. These sites require further assessment of contamination, including a toxicity assessment according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision-tree process for sediment. Comparison of these sediment results with ISQG-High showing exceedance for arsenic (2); cadmium (22 sites); copper (10 sites); lead (46 sites); and a lesser number of sites for zinc (18 sites) for 1M hydrochloric acid extractable concentrations should also be made. These sites may collectively require remediation if they show toxicity to aquatic test species following the ANZECC/ARMCANZ decision tree process for sediment. The five sites at tributaries from the mine lease (King Gully Creek, Lena Creek, George Fisher Creek, Downstream North Tailing Dams 3 and 5) also requires further assessment of the sediment metal and metalloid concentrations according to the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) decision-tree process.
- Investigate the section of the Leichhardt River where contaminated sediment, which could impact on human health, has been identified for possible remedial attention. Site LR10 (Leichhardt River at exit from Star Gully) is one site from 79 sites assessed by the Mount Isa Mines Verification Program that exceeded the NEPM HIL—Level E criteria for human health risk for cadmium and lead, when the total concentration was adjusted for bioaccessibility. Site LR10 requires further evaluation to define the extent of potential human contact with contaminated sediment and to enable a remediation plan to be implemented. This site may require further delineation and clean-up of river sediment based on both health and ecological guidelines and risk assessments. There is an apparent link with high levels of cadmium and lead in fish in Leichhardt River and safe quantities of fish for human consumption which should also be investigated.
- Evaluate the frequency of water and sediment monitoring programs to enable collection of sufficient data including aquatic toxicity testing for developing adequate site-specific guidelines undertaken according to the *Queensland Water Quality Guideline* procedure. The results for DGT measured concentrations (C<sub>DGT</sub>) in water and comparisons with hardness corrected guidelines indicate the need to proceed to the next step in the decision process and to measure toxicity. *Ceriodaphnia* cf *dubia* is identified as a sensitive cladoceran to use for testing purposes that is easily applied to measure both acute and chronic toxicity of water. Chronic tests are required for the ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) guidelines to give no observable effect values.

• Continue work on aquatic toxicity species for assessing effects from whole sediment testing. The kind and number of test species was limited due to lack of availability of test species that are suitable for whole sediment testing. Certain species including *Corophium* (injury from sharp sediment edges) for sediment and *Selenestrum* (turbidity effect) for water and sediment elutriates. A potential solution to the limited availability of local burrowing test species is to identify potential species and isolate, identify, and culture them. The Chironomid species, which are found to be present in Leichhardt River sediment may now be isolated and used with an existing protocol for sediment toxicity testing. Other burrowing species can also be identified for suitability as test species from earlier surveys.

# 6. Professional background

# 6.1 Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation

Established in 1993, the Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation (CMLR) within the Sustainable Minerals Institute (SMI) at The University of Queensland is a collaborative and multi-disciplinary group of research, teaching and support staff and postgraduate students dedicated to delivering excellence in environmental research and education to the Queensland, national, and international minerals industry and associated government sectors.

The Centre is widely recognised as a source of quality research into cutting edge environmental management and sustainability in mining issues. It translates research outcomes into practices that lead to continual improvement of rehabilitation and environmental practices. CMLR focuses on preventing, minimising and remediating mining impacts by providing education and professional development in the sustainability area; engaging industry, government and community; and delivering research solutions developed through science.

CMLR is one of seven research centres at SMI (www.smi.uq.edu.au), which provides knowledge-based solutions to meet sustainability challenges in the global mining industry. The Institute was established in 2001 as a joint initiative of the Queensland Government, University and the minerals industry to provide an overarching framework for progressing minerals industry research and education.

# 6.2 Experience of consultants

## Associate Professor Barry Noller

Associate Professor Noller has a PhD (1978) in Environmental Chemistry from the University of Tasmania. He worked as a Research Fellow at the Australian National University (1978–1980); Senior Research Scientist at the Alligator Rivers Region Research Institute, Jabiru, Northern Territory (1980–1990); and as Principal Environmental Chemist for the Department of Mines and Energy, Darwin Northern Territory (1990–1998). During this period with the Department of Mines and Energy, Associate Professor Noller was involved with the environmental management and regulation of all mines in the Northern Territory and was technical manager of the Northern Territory study, *Bird Usage Patterns on Mining Tailings and their Management to Reduce Mortalities* (1998). He was also a co-author and reviewer of the *Best Practice Environmental Management in Mining — Handbook on Cyanide Management*. From 1998–2006, Associate Professor Noller was Deputy Director of the National Research Centre for Environmental Toxicology (EnTox) at The University of Queensland, Coopers Plains, Queensland. EnTox has a strong involvement with using the risk assessment process to deal with toxicological hazards, including in environmental systems. In November 2006, Associate Professor Noller was appointed as an Honorary Research Consultant and Principal Research Fellow at the Centre of Mined Land Rehabilitation.

Associate Professor Noller has been working and publishing in the field of environmental chemistry and industrial toxicology for the past 32 years and has presented more than 200 conference papers and published more than 130 papers. His professional activities undertaken at four different centres have covered processes and fates of trace substances in the environment, particularly in tropical environmental systems with special reference to risk management associated with their application and studies of the bioavailability of toxic elements in mine wastes, including waters. He has undertaken a number of consulting activities in Queensland, Tasmania, New South Wales, and the Northern Territory. In 2007 Associate Professor Noller was appointed as lead author of the *Australian Government Leading Practice Sustainable Development Program for the Mining Industry — Handbook on Cyanide Management*.

## Dr Trang Huynh

Dr Trang Huynh has a PhD (2008) in Environmental Science from the University of Melbourne. Her PhD research project was on *Bioavailability of heavy metals in soil and biosolids during phytoextraction*. She completed her Master of Science Degree majoring in Soil Science at The University of Sydney (2001) with her thesis on *Crystallographic and chemical properties of copper and cadmium substituted goethites using X-ray Synchrotron technique*. She worked as a researcher and lecturer on soil and environmental chemistry in Vietnam for seven years. During this period, she was involved in several internationally funded projects as a project coordinator, researcher, and project evaluator. Dr Trang Huynh is currently a Postdoctoral Research Fellow with the CMLR at The University of Queensland, working on the Lead Pathways Project at Mount Isa.

Dr Trang Huynh's research interests are principally in biogeochemistry, environmental, and water/soil chemistry, plant-soil interaction, and the behaviour of heavy metals and metalloids in the environment. She is also interested in understanding and applying advanced techniques such as Diffusion Gradients in Thin Films (DGT) and the synchrotron technique to measure heavy metal and metalloid speciation in the environment, especially at mining sites. One of her current research focuses is on the impacts of contaminants from mining activities on human and ecological health.

#### **Professor Jack Ng**

Professor Ng is a certified toxicologist (Diplomate of the American Board of Toxicology) and is the Program Manager for Metals and Metalloids (M&M) Research at EnTox. His major research themes include chemical speciation of arsenic species in environmental and biological media, bioavailability in relationship to toxicities using various animal models, carcinogenicity and mechanistic studies of chronic arsenic toxicity in both humans and animals. Professor Ng and his team have recently demonstrated that a methylated metabolite (MMAIII) of arsenic is the proximal carcinogen in an *in-vivo* model. This is a landmark study in arsenic research in addition to his initial proof of the carcinogenic effect of inorganic arsenic *in-vivo*. One of his current interests is to identify early biomarkers for the diagnosis of arsenicosis in humans and animals using both chemical and molecular biological tools. Other research interests include toxicity of mixed metals, the transfer of heavy metals via the food chain from mine tailings, and other mining wastes in addition to study on natural toxins in plants relevant to human health. Professor Ng's projects are a combination of independent effort, as well as linkages through national and international collaboration.

Professor Ng is also the Program Leader for Risk Assessment in the Co-operative Research Centre — Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment (CRC-CARE). Professor Ng has over 270 publications including journal papers, book chapters, and technical reports.

#### **Dr Hugh Harris**

Dr Hugh Harris is an Australian Research Council Queen Elizabeth II Fellow in the School of Chemistry and Physics at the University of Adelaide. He has a PhD in Chemistry (2000) from the University of New South Wales, and has worked as a postdoctoral fellow at Stanford University and the University of Sydney. His main research focus is on using synchrotron-based techniques, such as x-ray absorption spectroscopy and x-ray fluorescence imaging, to understand the roles that metals play in biological systems. This focus spans work on fundamental biochemical and structural studies of metalloproteins, deciphering modes of action of metal-based pharmaceuticals, and the relationship between intake of essential or toxic heavy elements and the development and progression of a range of diseases. He has demonstrated the advantages of x-ray techniques in the area by determining the chemical form of mercury in fish for human consumption, showing that mercury from dental amalgams can migrate through teeth to the bloodstream and by mapping intracellular targets for elements, such as selenium and arsenic.

Dr Harris is the author of nearly forty journal publications, including papers in highly regarded journals such as *Science, Environmental Science and Technology and Chemical Research in Toxicology*. He serves on a number of committees for the Australian Synchrotron including the X-ray Fluorescence Microscopy Proposal Advisory Committee (chair), the User Advisory Committee and the National Science Consultative Group.

#### Ms Jiajia Zheng

Ms Jiajia Zheng is currently doing a PhD with the CMLR at The University Of Queensland, and is working on the Lead Pathway Project at Mount Isa. Ms Zheng has a Masters Degree in Environmental Geochemistry (2010) from The University of Queensland. Her Masters research project was on *Peat Deposits of Moreton Bay: Natural Archives of Environmental Pollution*. Before studying in Australia, Ms Zheng studied at the China University of Geosciences (Wuhan), majoring in Economic Geology.

Ms Zheng's research interests are principally in environmental risk assessment and the mining and minerals industry, using various techniques such as synchrotron technique and isotope measurement, air/soil pollutions, to determine the impacts of contaminants from mining activities on humans.

# 7. Limitations

CMLR has prepared this report for the use of Xstrata Mount Isa Mines Limited. It was prepared in accordance with the scope of work.

This report should be read in full. No responsibility is accepted for use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose or by third parties. This report does not purport to give legal advice. Legal advice can only be given by qualified legal practitioners.

The methodology adopted and sources of information used by CMLR are outlined in this report. Our conclusions are based on the analytical data presented in this report and on our experience. Opinions and recommendations presented in this report apply to the information available at the time of our investigation and cannot necessarily apply to matters of which CMLR is not aware and has not had the opportunity to evaluate.

# 8. References

Adams MS, Stauber JL (2008). Marine whole sediment toxicity tests for use in temperate and tropical Australian environments. Australian Journal of Ecotoxicology 14: 155–167

ADWG (2004). Australian Drinking Water Guidelines – National Water Quality Management Strategy. The National Health and Medical Research Council Canberra

Allison JD, Brown DS (1995). MINTEQA2/PRODEFA2 – A geochemical speciation model and interactive preprocessor, In 'Chemical equilibrium and reaction models'. (Eds RH Loeppert, AP Schwab, S Goldberg) pp. 253–270. (Soil Science Society of America Special Publication Number 42)

ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000). Australian Water Quality Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality. Australian and New Zealand Environment and conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand

ANZFA (1994). Food Standard Code: Standard A12, Metals and Contaminants in Food. Retrieved in January 2001. Australia New Zealand Food Authority. http://www.anzfa.gov.au

ASTM (1994). Standard Guide for Designing Biological Tests with Sediments. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol. 11.04 Method E 1525–94, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, USA. pp 911-928

Bailey HC, Krassoi R, Elphick JR, Mulhall A, Hunt P, Tedmanson L, Lovell A (2000). Application of Ceriodaphnia cf. dubia for whole effluent toxicity tests in the Hawkesbury-Nepean watershed, New South Wales, Australia: method development and validation. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 19: 88–93

Bakker E, Pretsch E (2002). Trace level potentiometry. Analytical Chemistry 74, 420A-426A

Batley GE (1989). Trace element speciation: analytical methods and problems. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL

Batley GE, Apte SC, Stauber JL (2004). Speciation and Biovailability of trace metals in water: Progress since 1982. Australian Journal of Chemistry 57: 903-919

Batley GE, Humphrey CL, Apte SC. Stauber JI (2003), A guide to the application of the ANZECC/ARMCANZ/ AR MCANZ water quality guidelines in the minerals industry. Australian Centre for Mining Environment Research (ACMER), Queensland

Benedetti MF, Milne CJ, Kinniburgh DG, Van Riemsdijk WH, Koopal LK (1995). Metal Ion Binding to Humic Substances: Application of the Non-Ideal Competitive Adsorption Model. Environmental Science and Technology 29: 446–457

BOM (2011). Bureau of Meteorology, Australia Climate Data Online

(http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/cdio/cvg/av?p\_stn\_num=029126&p\_prim\_element\_index=0&p\_comp\_element\_ index=0&redraw=null&p\_display\_type=statistics\_summary&normals\_years=1981-2010&tablesizebutt=normal), accessed on 11th April 2011

Brown EG (1999). Mineral surfaces and bioavailability of heavy metals: A molecular-scale perspective. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences colloquium "Geology, mineralogy, and Human Welfare". 96: 338–3395

Burton, G.A. Jr. (1991). Assessing the toxicity of freshwater sediments. Environment Toxicity Chemistry 10: 1585– 1627

Buffle J, Perret J, Newman J (1992). The use of filtration and ultrafiltration for size fractionation of aquatic particles, colloids and macromolecules. In 'Environmental particles'. (Eds J Buffle, HP van Leeuwen), Lewis: Chelsea pp 171–230

Campbell PGC (1995). Interactions between trace metals and aquatic organisms: a critique of the free-ion activity model. In 'Metal speciation and biovailablity in aquatic systems'. (Eds A Tessier, DR Turner), IUPAC, John Wiley & Son, Chichester pp 45–102

Camusso M, Gasparella A (2006). Measuring bioavailable trace metals from freshwater sediments by Diffusive Gradients in Thin Films (DGT in monitoring procedures for quality assessment. Societa Chimica Italiana. 96: 205–213

Christiansen KS, Holm PE, Borggaard, Hauschilid MZ.(2011). Addressing speciation in the effect factor for characterisation of freshwater ecotoxicity - the case of copper. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment. 16: 761–773

Cook T, Rutishauser I, Seelig M (2001) Comparable data on food and nutrient intake and physical measurements from the 1983, 1985 and 1995 national nutrition surveys. National Food and Nutrition Monitoring and Surveillance Project, funded by the Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care. ISBN 0 642 82052 X. Commonwealth of Australia.

Conaghan EL, Hannan KW, Tolman J (2003). Mount Isa Cu and Pb-Zn-Ag deposits, NW Queensland Australia. CRC LEME 1–3

Cox JA, Slonawska K, Gatchell DK (1984). Metal speciation by Donnan dialysis. Analytical Chemistry 56, 650–653

Cranston PS Cooper PD, Hardwick RA, Humphrey CL, Dostine PL (1997). Tropical acid streams – the chironomid (Diptera) response Northern Australia. Freshwater Biology 37: 473–483

Di Toro DM, Allen H E, Bergman HL, Meyer JS, Paquin PR Santore RC (2001). Biotic ligand model of the acute toxicity of metals-Technical Basis. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 10: 2383–2396

Divis P, Docekalova H, Brulik L (2007). Use of the diffusive gradients in thin films technique to evaluate (bio) available trace metal concentrations in river water. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 387, 2239–2244

Ecowise (2005). Aquatic ecosystem monitoring program-2005 for Mount Isa Mines. Progress Report. Ecowise Environmental. Brisbane

Ecowise (2006). Aquatic ecosystem monitoring program-2005 for Mount Isa Mines. Final Report. Report No. 2005/199. Ecowise Environmental. Brisbane

enHealth (2004). Environmental Health Risk Assessment. Guidelines for assessing human health risks from environmental hazards. Department of Health and Ageing and enHealth Council: Canberra, Australia

EPP (2008). Environmental Protection (Air) Policy 2008. Office of Queensland Parliamentary Council, Queenland

ESA (2008). ESA SOP 101 – Acute toxicity test using Ceriodaphnia dubia. Issue No. 8. Ecotox Services Australasia, Sydney, NSW

ESA (2009). ESA SOP 117 – Freshwater and Marine Fish Imbalance Test. Issue No 6. Ecotox Services

Australasia, Sydney, NSW

ESA (2010a). ESA SOP 103 – Green Alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, Growth Test. Issue No 4. Ecotox Services Australasia, Sydney, NSW

ESA (2010b). SOP 112 – Duckweed Growth Inhibition Test. Issue No. 2. Ecotox Services Australasia, Sydney NSW

Figura P, McDuffie B (1980). Determination of labilities of soluble trace metal species in aqueous environmental samples by anodic stripping voltammetry and chelex column and batch methods. Analytical Chemistry 52, 1433–1439

Fitch A, Helmke P (1989). Donnan equilibrium/graphite furnace atomic absorption estimates of soil extract complexation capacities. Analytical Chemistry 61, 1295–1298

Florence TM (1982). The speciation of trace elements in waters. Talanta 29, 345-364

Fountain C (1994). Tailings and water management at Mount Isa Mines. Mining Environmental Management. September 1994,pp 8–9

FSANZ (2010). Australian New Zealand Food Standards Code – a guide for consumers. Food Standards Australia New Zealand. Canberra.

FSANZ (2004). Mercury in Fish Fact Sheet. Food Standards Australia and New Zealand. (http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/scienceandeducation/factsheets/factsheets2004/mercuryinfishfurther2394.cfm), accessed on 11th April 2011

FRC Environmental (2010). Bioaccumulation of Heavy metals in Fish and Aquatic Macroinverbrates. Prepared for: Mount Isa Mines Limited. Wellington Point QLD 4160

George GN, Pickering IJ (2000). A suite of computer programs for Analysis of X-ray absorption Spectra: EXAFSPAK program http://www-srl.slac.stanford.edu/~george/exafspak/exafs.htm

Gustafsson JP (2010). http://www.lwr.kth.se/english/oursoftware/vminteq. Accessed on 5th November 2010

Harper MP, Davison W, Zhang H, Tych W (1998). Kinetics of metal exchange between solids and solutions in sediments and soils interpreted from DGT measured fluxes Geochimica et Cosmochimica 62, 2757–2770

Hamilton-Taylor J., Ahmed I. A. M., Davison W. and Zhang H. (2011). How well can we predict and measure metal speciation in freshwaters? Environmental Chemistry 8, 461–465

Hooda P, Zhang H, Davison W, Edwards AC (1999). Measuring bioavailable trace metals by diffusive gradient in thin films (DGT): soil moisture effect on its performance in soils. European Journal of Soil Science 50: 285–294

IPCS (1995). Environmental Health Criteria: Inorganic Lead. World Health Organisation, International Programme on Chemical Safety

Koryta J (1990). Theory and applications of ion-selective electrodes. Analytica Chimica Acta 233, 1–30

Kimbrough RD, Falk H, Stehr P (1984). Health Implication of 2,3,7,8 - tetrachlorodibenzioxin (TCDD) contamination of residential soil. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health 14: 47–93

Lage OM, Soares HMVM, Vasconcelos AM, Parente AM, Salma R (1996). Toxicity effects of copper (II) on the marine dinoflagellate Amphidinium carterae: influence of metal speciation. European Journal of Phycology 31: 341–348

Luider CD, Crusius J, Playle RC, Curtis PJ (2004). Influence of natural organic matter source on copper speciation as demonstrated by cu binding to fish gills, by ion selective electrode, and by DGT gel sampler. Environmental Science and Technology 38: 2865–2872

MacRae RK., Smith DE, Swoboda-Colberg N, Meyer JS, Bergman HL (1999). Copper binding affinity of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) gills. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18, 1180–1189

Martin-Mousset B, Croue P, Lefebvre E, Legube B (1997). Distribution and characterization of dissolved organic carbon of surface waters. Water Research, 31, 541–553

Meyer JS, Clearwater JS, Doser AT, Rogaczewski JM, Hansen AJ (2007). Theoretical basis for bioavailability of metals: Geochemical speciation and biotic ligand models. In: Effects of water chemistry on bioavailability and toxicity of waterborne cadmium, copper, nickel lead and zinc to freshwater organisms. SETAC publication, USA, pp 23–40

Meyer JS (2002). The utility of the terms "bioavailability" and "bioavailable fraction" for metals, Marine Environmental Research 53, 417–423

Minnich MM, McBride MB (1987). Copper activity in soil solution: I. Measurement by ion-selectrode and donnan dialysis. Soil Science Society of America Journal 51, 568–572

Mount Isa Mines (2003). Leichhardt River Stream Sediment Survey

Mount Isa Mines (2008). Sustainability Report 2008. Xstrata Mount Isa Mines

MIWB (2010). Mount Isa Water Board Water sampling locations and monitoring data for water quality

Mota AM, Correia dos Santos MM (1995). Trace metal speciation of labile chemical species in natural waters: Electrochemical methods, IUPAC Series on Analytical and Physical Chemistry of Environmental Systems. In: 'Metal Speciation and Bioavailability in Aquatic Systems' (Eds A Tessier, DR Turner), Wiley, Chichester, pp. 205–257 NEPC (1999). National Environmental Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measures, National Environment Protection Council, Adelaide

NEPC (2004). National Environment Protection (Air Toxics) Measure, National Environment Protection Council, Adelaide.

Ng, J.C., Juhasz, A.L., Smith, E., Naidu, R. (2010a). Contaminant bioavailability and bioaccessibility: Part 1. Scientific and Technical Review. CRC CARE Technical Report 14. CRC for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, Adelaide, Australia. pp1–74

Ng, J.C., Juhasz, A.L., Smith, E., Naidu, R. (2010b). Contaminant bioavailability and bioaccessibility: Part 2. Guide for Industry. CRC CARE Technical Report 14. CRC for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, Adelaide, Australia. pp1–11

Noller B, Matanitobua V, Ng J (2009). Study of Heavy Metals and Metalloids in the Leichhardt River and Surrounding Locations. Lead Pathways Study – Phase 1 (Emissions to Land)

NH&MRC (2008). Guideline for Managing Risks in Recreational Water. National Health and Medical Research Council

NRM (2001). Queensland AusRivAS sampling and processing manual, August 2001. Queensland Department of Natural Resources & Mines NR&M Technical Centre, Rocklea, Queensland

NWQMS (2008). Implementation of the National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS). Department of the Environment, Water, heritage and the Arts, Commonwealth of Australia. Canberra

Ohmsen SG (2001). Characterization of Fugitive Material within a primary lead smelter. Air & Waste Management Association. 51; 1443–1451

OECD (2004). Guidelines for the testing of chemicals, Sediment-water chironomid toxicity test using spiked water. OECD 219

OECD (2006). Lemna sp. Growth Inhibition Test. Method 221. OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals

Parker DR, Chaney RLA, Norvell WA (1995). Chemical equilibrium models: applications to plant nutrition research. In 'SSSA Spec. Pub. No. 38'. Eds. RH Loeppert, AP Schwab, S Goldberg, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America: Madison, WI. pp. 163-200

Paul P, Santore R, Mathew R (2007). The biotic ligand model Windows interface, Version 2.2.3: User's guide and reference manual, HydroQual, Inc, Mahwah, NJ

Peck MR, Klessa DA, Baird DJ (2002). A tropical sediment toxicity test using the Dipteran hironomus Crassififorceps to test metal bioavailability with sediment pH change in tropical acid-sulfate sediments. Environmental Toxicity and Chemistry 21, 720–728

Playle RC, Gensemer RW, Dixon DG (1992). Copper accumulation on gills of fathead minnows: Influence of water hardness, complexation and pH of the gill micro-environment. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 11, 381–391

Playle RC, Dixon DG, Burnison K (1993). Copper and cadmium binding to fish gills: estimates of metal-gill stability constants and modelling of metal accumulation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50, 2678–268

Puntener M, Vigassy T, Baier E, Ceresa A, Presch E (2004). Improving the lower detection limit of potentiometric sensors by covalently binding the ionophore to a plolymer backbone. Analytica Chimica Acta 503: 187–194

QLD EPA (2009). A guide for direct toxicity assessment of wastewater discharges

QLD DERM (2010). Mount Isa Air lead particulate monitoring. http://www.derm.qld.gov.au/environmental\_management/air/mt\_isa/xstrata\_lead\_monitoring\_in\_mount\_isa.html

QWQG (2009). Queensland Water Quality Guidelines, Version 3 Department of Environment and Resource Management. ISBN 978-0-9806986-0-2

Roig N, Nadal M, Sierra J, Ginebreda A, Schuhmacher, Domingo J (2011). Novel approach for assessing heavy metal pollution and ecotoxicolical status of rivers by means of passive sampling methods. Environment International 37: 671–677

Romero R, Jonsson JA (2005). Determination of free copper concentrations in natural waters by using supported liquid membrane extraction under equilibrium conditions. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 381, 1452–1459

Ruby MV, Davis A, Schoof R, Eberle S, Sellstone CM (1996). Estimation of lead and arsenic bioavailability using a physiologically based extraction test. Environmental Science and Technology 30: 422–430

Røyset O, Rosseland, BO, Kristensen T, Kroglund F, Garmo ØA, Steinnes E (2005). Diffusive gradients in thin films sampler predicts stress in brown trout (Salmo trutta L.) exposed to aluminum in acid fresh waters. Environment Science and Technology 39 1167–1174

Simpson SL, Bayley GE, Chariton AA, Stauber JL, King CK, Chapman JC, Hyne RV, Gale SA, Roach AC, Maher WA, (2005). Handbook of sediment quality assessment. CSIRO Bangor, NSW

Smith B, Kokkinn M, Bidwell J (1999). A toxicity test for contaminated Australian freshwater sediments using the larvae of Chironomus Maddeni (Diptera: Chironomidae), a species native to South Australia. Australia Journal of Ecotoxicology 5: 11–20

Somparn A (2010). Unpublished work. Khon Kaen, Thailand

Standards Australia (2005). Australian Standard AS 4482.1: Guide to the sampling and investigation of potentially contaminated soil – Non-volatile and semi-volatile compounds. Standards Australia: 1 The Crescent, Homebush NSW 2140 Australia

Surtikanti HK, Hyne RV (2000). Sediment toxicity testing using the amphipod Corophium sp: Standardisation of the test conditions for an acute survival test and a sub-chronic growth test in freshwater. Australasian Journal of Ecotoxicology 6, 11–20

Temminghoff EJM, Alexandra CCP, Van Eck R, Van Riemsdijk WH (2000). Determination of the chemical speciation of trace metals in aqueous systems by the Wageningen Donnan membrane technique. Analytica Chimica Acta 417: 149–157

Tills AR, Alloway BJ (1983). The use of liquid chromatography in the study of cadmium speciation in soil solutions from polluted soils. European Journal of Soil Science 34: 769–781

Tipping E. (1994). WHAM – A chemical equilibrium model and computer code for waters, sediment, and soils incorporating a discrete site/electrostatic model of ion-binding by humic substances. Computer & Geosciences 20: 974-1023

Tubbing DMJ, Admiraal W, Cleven, R F M J, Iqbal, M, van de Meent D, and Verweij, W (1994). The contribution of complexed copper to the metabolic inhibition of algae and bacteria in synthetic media and river water. Water Resources 28, 37–44

Tusseau-Vuillemin MH, Gilbin R, Bakkaus E, Garric J (2004). Performance of diffusive gradient in thin films in evaluating the toxic fraction of copper to Daphnia magna. Environmental Toxicology Chemistry 23: 2154–61

Unsworth E, Warnken K, Zhang H, Davison W, Black F, Buffle J (2006). Model predictions of metal speciation in freshwaters compared to measurements by in situ techniques, Environment Science and Technology 40, 1942–1949

USEPA (1991). Evaluation of dredged material proposed for ocean disposal. Testing manual. United States Environmental Protection Agency and Department of the Army U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, EPA-503/8-91/001

USEPA (1993). Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms. Fourth Edition. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Washington DC. EPA/600/4-90/027F

USEPA (1994). Methods for measuring the toxicity and bioaccumulation of sediment-associated contaminants with freshwater invertebrates. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Washington DC. EPA/600/R-94/024

USEPA (1996). 10-d acute (survival) toxicity test using marine amphipod Corophium spp

USEPA (1998). Guidelines for ecological risk assessment; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-95/002F pp 188

USEPA (1999). Integrated Approach to Assessing the Bioavailability and Toxicity of Metals in Surface Waters and Sediments, A Report to the EPA Science Advisory Board. Office of Water, Office of Research and Development: Washington, DC, EPA-822-E-99-001

USEPA (2002a). Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms. Fifth edition EPA-821-R-02-012. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Washington FC, USA

USEPA (2002b). Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms.4th Ed. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington DC.

USEPA (2007a). Aquatic life Copper Criteria

http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/waterquality/standards/criteria/aqlife/pollutants/copper/2007\_index.cfm

USEPA (2007b). Sediment Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE): Phases I, II, and III Guidance Document. Eds Edited by KT Ho, RM Burgess, D R Mount, TJ Norberg-King, J R Hockett. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington. EPA/600/R-07/080

USEPA (2007c). Estimation of relative bioavailability of lead in soil and soil-like materials using in vivi and in vitro methods. Report No. OSWER 9285.7-77 May 2007. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460

Warnken KW, Zhang H, Davison W (2007). In situ monitoring and dynamic speciation measurements in solution using DGT. In 'Comprehensive analytical chemistry'. (Eds R Greenwood, G Mills, Vrana B) Elsevier pp 251–277

Webb JA, Keough MJ (2002). Measurement of environmental trace-metal levels with transplanted mussels and diffusive gradients in thin films (DGT): a comparison of techniques. Marine Pollution Bulletin 44, 222–229

WHO (1989) Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. Thirty-third report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additive. World Health Organisation Technical Report Series 776.

William SD, Drew M (1992). MINEQL. A software environment for chemical equilibrium modeling. Computer, Environment and Urban Systems 16: 65–76.

Workman SM, Lindsay WL (1990). Estimating divalent cadmium activities measured in Arid-Zone soils using competitive chelation. Soil Science Society American Journal 54: 987–993.

Wu Y, Zhou S, Chen D, Zhao Rong, Li H, Lin Y (2011). Transformation of metals speciation in a combined landfill leachate treatment. Science of the Total Environment 409: 1613–1620

Xstrata (2009) Project Plan for Regional Stream Sediment Sampling Program 2009/2010. Sustainability Report 2009. Xstrata Mount Isa Mines

Zamuda CD, Wright DA, Smucker R A (1985). The importance of dissolved organic compounds in the accumulation of copper by the American oyster. Crassostrea t'irginica. Marine Environmental Research 16: 1–12

Zhang H, Davison W (1995). Performance Characteristics of Diffusion Gradients in Thin Films for the in Situ Measurement of Trace Metals in Aqueous Solution. Analytical Chemistry 67, 3391-3400

Zhang H, Davison W, Knight B, McGrath S (1998). In situ measurements of solution concentrations and fluxes of trace metals in soils using DGT. Environmental Science and Technology 32: 704–710

Zhang H, Lombi E, Smolders E, McGrath SP (2004). Kinetics of Zn release in soils and prediction of Zn concentration in plants using diffusive gradient in thin films. Environmental Science and Technology 38: 3608–3613

Zhang H, Zhao FJ, Sun B, Davison W, McGrath SP (2001). A new method to measure effective soil solution concentration predicts copper availability to plants. Environmental Science and Technology 35: 2602–2607.
## **Appendices**

Appendix 1.Water and sediment sampling maps (from Figure 17 and Table 3)



Figure A1: DGT-T map: sites In situ DGT deployment in water and water sampling and aquatic toxicity test in October 2009.



Figure A2: DGT-W map: In situ DGT deployment in water and water sampling in 5 seasons (November 2008–June 2010)



Figure A3: T-W and DGT-S map: At Rifle creek and Rifle creek dam only Aquatic toxicity test for water sediment.



Figure A4: Sediment sampling site 15-16 October 2009



Figure A5: L-map and T-S map



Figure A6: LR-map Leichhardt River Verification Samples – taken along a great length of the Leichhardt River (13-14 November 2009) (Site details are presented in Table A12 – Appendix 6)





Figure A8: SS map

### Appendix 2.Hardness correction procedure for water

Hardness category <sup>b</sup> (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Water hardness <sup>c</sup> (mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Cd	Cr(III)	Cu	Pb	Ni	Zn
Soft (0-59)	30	τv	тν	тν	τv	τv	TV
Moderate (60–119)	90	X 2.7	X 2.5	X 2.5	X 4.0	X 2.5	X 2.5
Hard (120–179)	150	X 4.2	X 3.7	X 3.9	X 7.6	X 3.9	X 3.9
Very hard (180–240)	210	X 5.7	X 4.9	X 5.2	X 11.8	X 5.2	X 5.2
Extremely hard (400)	400	X 10.0	X 8.4	X 9.0	X 26.7	X 9.0	X 9.0

Table A1 Approximate factors to apply to soft water trigger values for selected metals in freshwaters of varying water hardness<sup>a</sup> (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)

<sup>a</sup> Trigger values from table 3.4.1 (ANZECC/ARMCANZ, 2000)v

<sup>b</sup> Range of water hardness (mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>) for each category as defined by CCREM (1987);

<sup>c</sup> Mid-range value of each water hardness category. For example, a copper trigger value of 1.4  $\mu$ g/L (from table 3.4.1) with 95% protection level chosen (e.g. slightly–moderately disturbed system) is applied to a site with very hard water (e.g. 210 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>) by multiplying the trigger value by 5.2 to give a site-specific trigger value of 7.3  $\mu$ g/L. If the hardness is away from the mid-range, it may be preferable to use the algorithm.

#### Appendix 3.ICP-MS procedure

Std soln. Final Concentrati on.	0.0 ng/mL	0.5 ng/mL	1.0 ng/mL	3.0 ng/mL	5.0 ng/mL	10 ng/mL	20 ng/mL	50 ng/mL	100 ng/mL
Concentrati on of Stock Standard. required	-	10	) ng/mL Ste	ock Standa	rd	100 ng/mL Stock Standard			1000 ng/mL Stock Standard
Amount required		0.25 mL	0.5 mL	1.5 mL	2.5 mL	0.5 mL	1.0 mL	2.5 mL	0.5 mL
Amount of 2% HNO <sub>3</sub> (mL)	5.0 mL	4.75 mL	4.5 mL	3.5 mL	2.5 mL	4.5 mL	4.0 mL	2.5 mL	4.5 mL
500 ng/mL Int. Std	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL	0.1mL

Table A2 Standard Preparation for Multi-Element ICP-MS Analysis

## Trace Water Sample Preparation for Multi-Element ICP-MS Analysis

## (2% HNO<sub>3</sub>, 10 ng/mL multi-element internal standard)

- Defrost water samples. Water samples should be preserved with HNO3, approximate 1 2 % HNO<sub>3</sub> final concentration.
- 2. If required, filter water samples with 0.2 µm filter unit or centrifuge the sample.
- 3. Transfer 4.0 mL of water sample to a labelled 5mL tube
- Add 1.0 mL 2% HNO<sub>3</sub> to each 5mL sample tube
- 5. Add 0.1 mL of 500 ng/mL Internal Standard mix (prepared in 10% HNO<sub>3</sub> in Milli-Q water)
- 6. Cap and mix all tubes
- 7. Centrifuge the samples using the bench-top centrifuge at 2500 rpm for 15 minutes (if required)
- 8. Load into auto-sampler tray as detailed in sequence log for ICP-MS analysis.

## Spike Water Sample Preparation for Multi-Element ICP-MS Analysis

(10x dilution, 2% HNO<sub>3</sub>, 10 ng/mL mixed internal standard, 10 ng/mL spike)

- 1. Transfer 4.0 mL water sample to a labelled 5mL tube
- 2. Add 0.5 mL 100 ng/mL Stock Standard Solution (in 2% HNO<sub>3</sub>)
- 3. Add 0.5 mL 2% HNO3
- 4. Add 0.1 mL of 500ng/mL Internal Standard mix
- 5. Cap and mix all tubes
- 6. Centrifuge the samples using the bench centrifuge at 2500 rpm for 15 minutes if required

Prepare spike samples for every 10 - 15 samples in the run sequence and run them in a matching pair, using same water sample. One sample will be prepared with a 10ng/L spike and one in the normal way without a spike. Samples were spiked where both high and low levels are expected.

Appendix 4. Quality assurance reports for water and sediment analysis

Certificated Reference TM 28.3		Arsenic	Cadmiu m	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Zinc
Measured	mean	6.67	2	6.66	9.35	3.36	30
concentrations	± SD	0.004	0.004	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.4
Certificated	Mean	6.22	1.91	6.15	9.8	3.97	27.5
concentrations	± SD	0.85	0.23	0.86	1.16	0.57	3.37
Recovery (%)		107	105	108	95	85	109

Table A3 Quality assurance report for water analysis: Recovery % of certificated reference water TM 28.3

TM 28.3 is certified reference material provided by Environment Canada (lot 1107)

Table A4 Quality assurance report for water analysis: Recovery % of certificated reference water TM 24.3

Certificated Reference TM 24.3		Arsenic	Cadmium	Cobalt	Copper	Nickel	Lead	Manga nese
Measured	Mean	5.07	3.6	6.48	6.6	5.19	4.4	8.09
concentrations	± SD	0.005	0.01	0.01	0.7	0.05	0.75	0.02
Certificated	mean	5.21	3.97	6.29	6.79	5.12	5.82	8.12
concentrations	± SD	0.53	0.37	0.5	0.64	0.61	0.45	0.72
Recovery (%)		97	91	103	97	101	75	100

TM 24.3 is certified reference material provided by Environment Canada (lot 0510)

# Table A5 Quality assurance report for water analysis: Recovery % of certificated reference water spike sample

Spike samples	Arsenic	Cadmium	Copper	Nickel	Thallium	Lead	Zinc
Spike (µg/L)	20.3	20.4	20.3	20.5	19.8	19.2	20
Spike recovery (%)	102	102	101.5	102.7	99	96	100
Blank*	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>8.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>8.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>8.8</td><td><dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0</td></dl<></td></dl<></td></dl<>	8.8	<dl< td=""><td><dl< td=""><td>0</td></dl<></td></dl<>	<dl< td=""><td>0</td></dl<>	0

SD: Standard deviations are those obtained from the 3 measurement replicates

Blank\*: Blank is Milli-Q water filtered through the same system - Blank result is an average of 3 replicates. DL: detection limit

Page	3 of 5
Munk Order	2609-00-0
Charles I and I	THE UNIVERSITY OF QUBENSLAND
Press	PATHIAAN PHOJECT



.....

#### Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The study served were laborated byteness that is underere baselist consistence of all Liberary durings priority register which proved present and servers foreigned. The provided register for the foreigned of the study of the study foreigned of the study of the stud

14.4 Alars 6/8						LANDRAY	Section (Seat Super-	E	
Calculately propin ()	Compress D	Balkel Consumt	Cat lineter	100	(int)	Osianal Basalt	Durline Real	IP\$(1)	Beenry Lines /12
LORS SOL MILE	Extractable Metalli by	KPARS INCLOSE STRATED							
E80919010-001	UN1	COOD-COM Cadmin	740-01	40	right .	0	148	0.0	29-225
		\$0000 AD+ Cature	7442-494	41	1040	2.5	-58	0.0	25-525
		50000-SOH: Antoning	1440-08-8	1.0	mghg.	:03	45.0	00	ISO LUMP
		60000-30% Alterni	(443-5)-2	0.0	Highly -	42	4.0	34	Notime
		00000-5D+r Case++	7440-00-6	10	mpšų	222	/型(	0.0	05.226
		EGOD-DOH Lead	1-128-305	18	7978	224	318	41	29-225
		B0000-00H: Nikkei	140.047	1.0	19976	44	14.4	2.0	Tex Salaria
		E0008-SDec ZHo	2463-85-8	4.0	ingAg	320	128	0.4	28.226

True	246	A
WebS limber	dimension -	
(Chard	THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENCLAND	
Report .	PATHWAY MILLIOT	(ALS)

#### Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

Notice provide networks for teaching of advances of a series way of the series for a series of the providence of the series of t

Parts STR					Contraction in a Denity Council Instance on the spectrum of a Durity Council Instance on the country				
Careford and a second second	i mari	-	Cost		Aut 19	Austra	Seely Complete Section		
Laborated Databases (DUT)	and the second second	-	A Contractor	4000	Contraction of the local data				
10 HGI Bill anterfili Malafa	Foedb-50H	45	/样	184	19.8	×	16599 1069 Between B(2) and ALB QCD3 reactions 8		
Laboratory Control Discourse (CCD)									
TANK SHORE BY SLIT ALL	£100054.3	- A	1	- 645	5.8	~	NOTIO 1000 SAME AND DUI ANY ALS GOLD INSUMMENT		
Muthai Barka Sitti							THE WAY AND		
TM HC( Criterophia Materia	E3055-52H		(IR)	30.0		×	HICTM 1999 Schenule D(1) and ALS QCO3 Heppement		
Toma Mahan by 2014 Auto.	highlight .	- A.	11 A.	12.5		2	TIGHT 1000 Schapus BIST ANS ALS SOCIA IN-BURNARY		

## Appendix 5.Water quality study results

Site names	Site ID	GPS coordinates			
		Easting	Northing		
Leichhardt River upstream	LR UP	343821	7696311		
Mica Creek upstream	MC UP	339709	7696698		
19 Avenue crossing	19 Ave	342994	7705462		
23 Avenue crossing	23 Ave	343134	7704929		
Isa Crossing	ISA	342583	7706983		
Alma Crossing	ALS	342653	7707956		
Davis Crossing	DC	343027	7710315		
Moondarra Crossing	MC	343260	7712131		
Moondarra Junction	MJ	345160	7716810		
Lake Moondarra	LM	348004	7721168		
Clear Water lagoon	CWL	348163	7720660		
Breakaway Creek upstream	BACS	343751	7709089		
Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	BAC1	343468	7709533		
Breakaway junction	BAC2	343114	7709721		
George Fisher Creek	GF	342154	7724313		
King Gully Creek	KGC	342820	7710642		
Lena Creek	LC	342828	7704760		
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	DSNTD3	340255	7710284		
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	DSNTD5	339250	7709397		
Seepage pond Tailing Dam 5	TD5	338610	7707353		
Seepage pond Tailing Dam 7	TD7	338622	7705787		
Seepage pond Tailing Dam 8	TD8	340120	7702422		

Table A7 GPS coordinates of water sampling sites over five sampling period
--

Site names	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Leichhardt River upstream	-	7.4	7.9	7.3	-
Mica Creek upstream	-	7.5	8.1	7.5	-
19 Avenue Crossing	8.5	8.2	8	7.1	8.1
23 Avenue Crossing	7.9	-	8	7.6	8.6
Isa Crossing	8.3	8.2	8.3	7.3	8
Alma Crossing	-	7.3	8.3	7.4	9.3
Davis Crossing	-	-	8.1	8	8.6
Moondarra Crossing	8	8.1	8.2	7.7	8
Moondarra Junction	7.9	8	8.3	7.7	9.3
Lake Moondarra	8.3	7.3	8.1	7.7	8.4
Clear Water lagoon	8.4	7.3	8	8	7.9
Breakaway Upstream	-	-	8.2	7.5	8.6
Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	-	-	8.2	7.3	8
Breakaway junction	-	-	8.4	7.4	8.6
Mining discharge					
George Fisher Creek	-	-	-	7.8	9.1
King gully Creek	-	-	-	8.2	7.4
Lena Creek	-	-	-	7.62	-
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	-	-	-	8.04	-
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	-	-	-	7.74	8.1
Seepage pond Tailing Dam 5	8.4	8.3	8.1	7.2	8.3
Seepage pond Tailing Dam 7	8	7.8	8.1	7.1	7.4
Seepage pond Tailing Dam 8	7.8	7.7	8.1	7.1	10.4

Table A8 p	oH of water	samples	collected	over	five sampling	g periods
------------	-------------	---------	-----------	------	---------------	-----------

'-' data is not available

Sampling site names	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Leichhardt River upstream	-	114	420	200	-
Mica Creek upstream	-	230	530	277	-
19 Avenue Crossing	11,040	718	3,920	690	4,960
23 Avenue Crossing	434	560	1,037	192	401
Isa Crossing	3,530	189	1,943	565	1,505
Alma Crossing	-	203	958	555	867
Davis Crossing	5,720	-	5,900	2,952	2,830
Moondarra Crossing	6,900	1,224	350	364	4,010
Moondarra Junction	7,500	649	410	455	360
Lake Moondarra	499	409	285	253	303
Clear Water lagoon	160	245	280	194	322
Breakaway Creek upstream	-	-	7,480	4,165	2,610
Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	-	-	7,110	1,724	1,697
Breakaway junction	-	-	6,220	1,983	4,990
George Fisher Creek	-	703	-	334	153
King gully Creek	-	-	-	346	1,860
Lena Creek	-	-	-	161	-
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	-	-	-	345	-
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	14,750	-	-	451	10,950
Seepage Tailing Dam 5	10,080	4,260	9,840	11,620	8,710
Seepage Tailing Dam 7	6,900	11,440	12,650	13,280	11,710
Seepage Tailing Dam 8	-	7,430	8,210	8,127	4,120

## Table A9 EC ( $\mu$ S/cm) of water samples collected over five sampling periods

'-' data is not available

Sampling site names	Pre-wet season 2008	Wet season 2009	Post-wet season 2009	Wet season 2010	Post-wet season 2010
Leichhardt River upstream	-	32	84	128	-
Mica Creek upstream	-	21	58	94	-
19 Ave crossing	263	-	411	679	842
23 Ave crossing	281	161	128	202	123
Isa Crossing	485	187	221	339	194
Alma Crossing	-	51	90	230	208
Davis Crossing	801	-	519	873	392
Moondarra Crossing	1,096	265	338	526	611
Moondarra Junction	294		61	94	86
Lake Moondarra	122	84	43	69	78
Clear Water lagoon	55	64	44	71	73
Breakaway Creek upstream	-	-	622	580	501
Breakaway Creek outlet pipes	-	-	623	282	785
Breakaway junction	-	-	524	257	375
George Fisher Creek	-	264	-	144	54
King gully Creek	-	-	-	94	436
Lena Creek	-	-	-	65	-
Downstream north Tailing Dam 3	-	-	-	1,635	-
Downstream north Tailing Dam 5	-	-	-	132	8,468
Seepage Tailing Dam 5	9183	1,794	2,713	5,404	4,537
Seepage Tailing Dam 7	6165	6,780	3,307	7,317	6,096
Seepage Tailing Dam 8	3287	3,083	1,819	3,178	935

## Table A10 Water hardness (as mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>) of water samples collected over five sampling periods

'-' data is not available

## Appendix 6.Sediment quality study results

Table A11 GPS coordinates o	f Leichhardt Riv	ver sediment sample	s collected in	2007 on	the phase 1	l
study		_			_	

Sampling Site Details	Site ID	Easting	Northing
LR - Upstream (background)	L1	343416	7700904
Death Adder Gully (West)	L2	342156	7706812
Death Adder Gully (East)	L3	342468	7706754
Skate Park (grassed area at depth) Swimming Pool Area	L4	342336	7707040
Skate Park (ungrassed parking area) Swimming Pool Area	L5	342275	7706970
Kruttschnitt Oval adjacent to Swimming Pool Area	L6	342416	7706878
LR - Between Isa Street Crossing and Grace Street Bridge	L7	342523	7707217
LR - Historical Tailings (between Grace street bridge and Velodrome)	L8	342452	7707513
LR - Downstream/East of Velodrome	L9	342676	7708051
LR - Velodrome East (Acid Gen Material)	L10	342539	7707664
LR - Velodrome West (Acid Gen Material)	L11	342417	7707666
LR - Pipe exit	L12	342357	7707643
LR - Historical Tailings West embankment	L13	342386	7707891
LR - Historical Tailings deposition (mid channel)	L14	342555	7708232
LR - Fluvial downstream (Moondarra)	L15	343454	7713760
LR - Downstream of Lake Moondarra (Leichhardt River)	L16	353578	7723640

2009	by Astrat	a
Sites ID	Easting	Northing
LR_01	342716	7708911
LR_02	342871	7708377
LR_03	342787	7708498
LR_04	342849	7708627
LR_05	342802	7708652
LR_06	342855	7708774
LR_07	342919	7708899
LR_08	342691	7708937
LR_09	342816	7708918
LR_10	342742	7709072
LR_10-DUP	342742	7709072
 LR_11	342888	7709054
LR_12	342833	7709154
 LR_13	342836	7709283
LR_14	342914	7709278
LR_15	342889	7709397
LR 16	342886	7709540
 LR_17	342985	7709583
LR_18	342936	7709678
LR_19	342922	7709812
LR_20	342949	7709919
LR_20-DUP	342949	7709919
LR_21	343003	7709956
LR_22	343002	7710049
LR_23	343005	7710172
LR_24	343051	7710976
LR_25	343021	7710276
LR_26	342966	7710431
LR_27	343169	7710441
LR_28	343167	7710682
LR_29	343037	7710683
LR_30	342899	7710684
LR_30-DUP	342899	7710684
LR_31	343060	7710573
LR_32	342973	7710855
LR_33	343145	7710587
LR_34	343074	7711016
LR_35	343048	7711225
LR_36	343193	7711228
LR_37	343173	7711424
LR_38	343300	7711571
LR_39	343163	7711575
LR_40	343281	7711742

Table	A12 (	GPS	coordinates	of	Leichhardt	River	Verification	Samples	collected	13-14	November	r
	20	)09 b	ov Xstrata									

Site ID	Easting	Northing
LR_40-DUP	343281	7711742
LR_41	343328	7712032
LR_42	343258	7712022
LR_43	343281	7711894
LR_44	343330	7712162
LR_45	343254	7712152
LR_46	343313	7712375
LR_47	343383	7712596
LR_48	343225	7712591
LR_49	343290	7712728
LR_50	343199	7712943
LR_50-DUP	343199	7712943
LR_51	343308	7712937
LR_52	343424	7712937
LR_53	343326	7713131
LR_54	343244	7713297
LR_55	343452	7713290
LR_56	343377	7713510
LR_57	343366	7713751
LR_58	343476	7713747
LR_59	343417	7713989
LR_60	343539	7713989
LR_60-DUP	343539	7713989
LR_61	343580	7714143
LR_62	343692	7714349
LR_63	343794	7714288
LR_64	343845	7714447
LR_65	343875	7714648
LR_66	343997	7714603
LR_67	344034	7714753
LR_68	344087	7714920
LR_69	344162	7714863
LR_70	344226	7714809
LR_70-DUP	344226	7714809
LR_71	344348	7715002
LR_72	344373	7715231
LR_73	344534	7715217
LR_74	344529	7715423
LR_75	344622	7715886
LR_76	344798	7715897
LR_77	345051	7716238
LR_78	344920	7716297
LR_79	344823	7716339

by	Zinc		1090	282	991	240	1140	687	167	606	4200	2660	2220	184	181	83.5	218	366	130	321	478	322	6.69	233	320	127	236
nber 2009	Vanadium		7	10	6	16.7	12.2	7	5.5	3.4	5.5	6	8.1	6	10.5	7	11.6	12.5	13.4	10.1	8	7.1	7.5	7.1	5.8	6	8.1
-14 Noven	Silver		3.6	<1.0	1.8	<1.0	8.5	1.8	<1.0	2	11.5	4.3	3.5	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.5	1.2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
ected 13-	Nickel		1.7	2.1	3.2	4.4	3.1	1.3	1.1	1.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.3	1.2	2	2.1	2	2	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	<1.0	1.3	1.3
Samples coll	Manganese		371	853	646	561	364	268	191	204	211	192	223	660	405	184	847	1020	398	635	302	220	222	410	236	542	510
ification	Lead	raction)	1150	130	894	130	1580	840	241	627	2650	1230	1060	230	188	94.8	136	308	143	319	552	454	67.5	174	423	92.6	246
čiver Ver	lron	i <63µm fr	1580	2010	1850	4570	4260	1470	840	580	2160	2610	2190	1480	1850	1070	2490	2520	2840	1820	1430	1200	940	1410	1040	1180	1480
sichhardt F	Copper	extraction in	369	142	258	151	310	182	124	393	691	1160	948	253	224	92.3	146	184	142	238	204	135	78.1	112	138	89.8	122
ction of Le	Cobalt	g (1M HCI e	8.2	5.7	7.5	8.2	9.1	6.5	4	5.2	7.5	7.8	7.7	6.2	5.4	4	6	7.2	7.1	6.9	5.4	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.9	4	4.7
M HCl extra	Chromium	mg/k	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.2	2.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2.3	1.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.6	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲1.0
talloid in 1N	Cadmium		14.2	6.9	14.6	14	12.5	9.2	3	5.2	24.3	25.1	20.5	7.1	12.1	2.5	14.1	21.3	5.8	15	10.8	6.9	1.9	17	7.4	7.7	10.8
tal and me	Arsenic		7.8	4.1	10.1	3.7	7.4	4.4	3.4	8	12.1	242	175	11.4	9	2.3	3.5	5.2	3.3	5.4	5.1	3	1.6	5.1	4	2.6	4
tions of met	Antimony		3.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.9	1.8	1.4	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	4.0
Concentrat Xstrata	Aluminium		660	720	810	1740	1660	520	520	840	650	720	710	730	670	680	760	870	1070	930	700	760	680	700	540	680	580
Table A13	Site		LR_01	LR_02	LR_03	LR_04	LR_05	LR_06	LR_07	LR_08	LR_09	LR_10	LR_11	LR_12	LR_13	LR_14	LR_15	LR_16	LR_17	LR_18	LR_19	LR_20	LR_21	LR_22	LR_23	LR_24	LR_25

	Zinc		264	74.3	606	112	476	82.3	822	131	177	395	198	108	113	118	78.9	145	456	128	160	333	422	296	339	189	287	251	218
	Vanadium		7	4.9	7.5	5.2	16.6	7.3	20.6	16.2	6.8	16.9	9.4	11.2	4.7	3.7	5.3	10.5	25.1	6.8	10.6	12.8	14	12.7	6	6.5	11.7	12.4	11
	Silver		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	1.4	1.2	<1.0	1.8	<1.0	<1.0	1.2	<1.0
	Nickel		1.6	<1.0	1.5	<1.0	3.1	1.3	3.1	2	1.2	2.6	1.5	1.6	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.8	4.5	1.3	1.8	2.3	2.5	2.6	1.7	1.2	2.3	1.8	1.7
	Manganese		256	167	260	217	3610	207	991	410	204	1150	453	295	188	123	167	304	1520	243	294	366	584	587	259	251	1570	349	280
	Lead	raction)	331	69.8	74.8	146	331	98.6	454	120	239	329	245	110	120	123	79.1	106	404	104	140	392	345	210	444	191	211	319	236
	lron	<63µm fi	1270	580	1200	720	4730	1020	3830	2950	1050	3140	1970	2010	630	540	660	1680	3980	1050	1920	2800	2730	2630	1720	980	2500	2150	1870
	Copper	extraction in	171	73.9	74.6	133	203	122	365	163	92.7	221	113	115	125	60.2	106	86.3	246	116	102	306	172	145	158	122	145	175	144
	Cobalit	(1M HCI)	5.1	3.2	4.7	4.6	8.9	4.1	9.7	6.2	4	8.3	5	5.5	3.9	2.7	3.4	6.5	11.8	5	6.2	7.6	8.8	8.5	6.2	5.4	7.9	5.9	5.7
	Chromium	mg/ke	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.2	1	<1.0	1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.9	<1.0	<1.0	1.2	1.6	1.6	<1.0	<1.0	1.1	<1.0	<1.0
	Cadmium		6.2	1.9	2.1	1.6	17.8	2.2	38.6	3.9	3.4	14.6	4.8	3.6	2.6	2	2.2	2.6	10.5	3	2.8	5.8	7	7.2	5	3.1	10.3	5	3.9
	Arsenic		4.9	1	1.6	3	7.4	2	10.5	3.3	2.2	6.5	3.3	2.6	1.6	1.1	1.6	1.8	7.5	1.6	2.3	5.4	4.3	4.1	2.6	1.9	5.6	3.7	2.9
	Antimony		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.7	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
3 (continue)	Aluminium		560	430	660	560	1050	870	960	890	530	1090	610	660	580	320	520	720	1430	760	750	790	1130	1200	700	500	880	069	720
Table A1:	Site		LR_26	LR_27	LR_28	LR_29	LR_30	LR_31	LR_32	LR_33	LR_34	LR_35	LR_36	LR_37	LR_38	LR_39	LR_40	LR_41	LR_42	LR_43	LR_44	LR_45	LR_46	LR_47	LR_48	LR_49	LR_50	LR_51	LR_52

by	Zinc		1090	282	991	240	1140	687	167	606	4200	2660	2220	184	181	83.5	218	366	130	321	478	322	6.69	233	320	127	236
nber 2009	Vanadium		7	10	6	16.7	12.2	7	5.5	3.4	5.5	6	8.1	6	10.5	7	11.6	12.5	13.4	10.1	8	7.1	7.5	7.1	5.8	6	8.1
-14 Noven	Silver		3.6	<1.0	1.8	<1.0	8.5	1.8	<1.0	2	11.5	4.3	3.5	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.5	1.2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
lected 13-	Nickel		1.7	2.1	3.2	4.4	3.1	1.3	1.1	1.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.3	1.2	2	2.1	2	2	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.2	<1.0	1.3	1.3
Samples coll	Manganese		371	853	646	561	364	268	191	204	211	192	223	660	405	184	847	1020	398	635	302	220	222	410	236	542	510
ification	Lead	raction)	1150	130	894	130	1580	840	241	627	2650	1230	1060	230	188	94.8	136	308	143	319	552	454	67.5	174	423	92.6	246
čiver Ver	lron	i <63µm fr	1580	2010	1850	4570	4260	1470	840	580	2160	2610	2190	1480	1850	1070	2490	2520	2840	1820	1430	1200	940	1410	1040	1180	1480
ichhardt F	Copper	extraction in	369	142	258	151	310	182	124	393	691	1160	948	253	224	92.3	146	184	142	238	204	135	78.1	112	138	89.8	122
ction of Le	Cobalt	g (1M HCI e	8.2	5.7	7.5	8.2	9.1	6.5	4	5.2	7.5	7.8	7.7	6.2	5.4	4	6	7.2	7.1	6.9	5.4	4.2	3.9	4.2	3.9	4	4.7
M HCl extra	Chromium	mg/k	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.2	2.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲1.0	<1.0	2.3	1.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲1.0	1.6	⊲1.0	<1.0	⊲1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	⊲1.0
stalloid in 1)	Cadmium		14.2	6.9	14.6	14	12.5	9.2	3	5.2	24.3	25.1	20.5	7.1	12.1	2.5	14.1	21.3	5.8	15	10.8	6.9	1.9	17	7.4	<i>T.T</i>	10.8
al and me	Arsenic		7.8	4.1	10.1	3.7	7.4	4.4	3.4	8	12.1	242	175	11.4	9	2.3	3.5	5.2	3.3	5.4	5.1	3	1.6	5.1	4	2.6	4
tions of met	Antimony		3.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.9	1.8	1.4	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Concentral Xstrata	Aluminium		660	720	810	1740	1660	520	520	840	650	720	710	730	670	680	760	870	1070	930	700	760	680	700	540	680	580
Table A13	Site		LR_01	LR_02	LR_03	LR_04	LR_05	LR_06	LR_07	LR_08	LR_09	LR_10	LR_11	LR_12	LR_13	LR_14	LR_15	LR_16	LR_17	LR_18	LR_19	LR_20	LR_21	LR_22	LR_23	LR_24	LR_25

3 (continue) Aluminium Antim	Antim	ony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Manganese	Nickel	Silver	Vanadium	Zinc
					mg/k	g (1M HCI	extraction ir	i <63µm f	raction)					
530 <1.0 2.2 3.6	<1.0 2.2 3.6	2.2 3.6	3.6		<1.0	5.1	158	1130	267	240	1.1	<1.0	7.1	234
650 <1.0 3.2 4.2	<1.0 3.2 4.2	3.2 4.2	4.2		<1.0	5.4	150	1500	247	295	1.5	<1.0	8.9	220
660 <1.0 2.3 3.1	<1.0 2.3 3.1	2.3 3.1	3.1	Ť	<1.0	5.5	120	1810	280	258	1.6	<1.0	9.2	216
780 <1.0 2.2 3.9	<1.0 2.2 3.9	2.2 3.9	3.9		<1.0	6.5	132	1600	239	290	1.7	<1.0	10.3	242
940 <1.0 2.8 7.1	<1.0 2.8 7.1	2.8 7.1	7.1		1.4	9.9	9.66	2080	158	667	2.3	<1.0	10.9	278
1040 <1.0 3.8 4.2	<1.0 3.8 4.2	3.8 4.2	4.2		1.2	8.2	184	2710	262	552	2.6	<1.0	13.2	275
1000 <1.0 3.4 4.8	<1.0 3.4 4.8	3.4 4.8	4.8		1.1	7.2	188	2310	368	345	2.3	1.6	13	324
580 <1.0 2.2 2.8 <	<1.0 2.2 2.8	2.2 2.8 <	2.8	v	<1.0	5.1	112	1470	232	245	1.4	<1.0	8.2	190
590 <1.0 2.9 5 <	<1.0 2.9 5 <	2.9 5 <	5 <	v	1.0	5.4	138	1460	479	252	1.4	2.2	7.6	477
860 <1.0 9.1 12.4	<1.0 9.1 12.4	9.1 12.4	12.4		1.4	6	181	5770	258	1450	3.4	<1.0	20.9	396
700 <1.0 2.6 3.6 <	<1.0 2.6 3.6 <	2.6 3.6 <	3.6 <	V	1.0	4.7	112	1520	162	258	1.6	<1.0	9.7	176
610 <1.0 2.1 3.3 <	<1.0 2.1 3.3 <	2.1 3.3 <	3.3 <	V	1.0	5.5	124	1590	248	262	1.6	<1.0	8.8	229
800 <1.0 3.4 8.2 1	<1.0 3.4 8.2 1	3.4 8.2 1	8.2 1	1	9	5.9	131	1990	249	557	1.8	<1.0	10.5	316
580 <1.0 1.6 3 <1.	<1.0 1.6 3 <1.	1.6 3 <1.	3	4.	0	5.5	99.2	1240	163	271	1.4	<1.0	8.1	180
650 <1.0 2.2 4.8 <1	<1.0 2.2 4.8 <1	2.2 4.8 <1	4.8 <1	4	0	5.9	84.4	1060	335	292	1.9	1.7	6.6	422
980 1.1 8.4 65.6 1.	1.1 8.4 65.6 1.	8.4 65.6 1.	65.6 1.	1	9	9.1	752	2820	2290	639	2.7	7.9	10.1	1290
580 <1.0 1.7 2.6 <1	<1.0 1.7 2.6 <1	1.7 2.6 <	2.6 <1	Ā	0.	4.8	120	840	178	280	1.4	<1.0	4	167
730 <1.0 2.4 3.3 <	<1.0 2.4 3.3 <	2.4 3.3 <	3.3 <	v	1.0	6.3	102	1760	162	403	2.2	<1.0	10.2	178
680 <1.0 2 3.2 <	<1.0 2 3.2 <	2 3.2 <	3.2 <	v	1.0	5.2	118	1240	221	261	1.4	<1.0	8.1	203
760 <1.0 3.9 5.2	<1.0 3.9 5.2	3.9 5.2	5.2		1.4	8.3	124	3990	325	386	2.3	1.3	15.5	348
570 <1.0 2.8 4.2 <	<1.0 2.8 4.2 <	2.8 4.2 <	4.2 <	Ý	1.0	5.6	121	2260	201	419	1.8	<1.0	10.8	198
580 <1.0 2.8 5 <	<1.0 2.8 5 <	2.8 5 <	2 <	v	1.0	5.8	126	1930	243	546	2	<1.0	10.1	268
630 <1.0 3.3 3.5 <	<1.0 3.3 3.5 <	3.3 3.5 <	3.5 <	V	1.0	5.9	132	3930	224	303	1.8	<1.0	13.6	214
580 <1.0 2.9 3.2	<1.0 2.9 3.2	2.9 3.2	3.2		<1.0	5.7	113	3400	150	352	1.7	<1.0	13.9	160
640 <1.0 1.9 2.8	<1.0 1.9 2.8	1.9 2.8	2.8		<1.0	5.9	87.4	2860	149	295	1.8	<1.0	12.2	176
590 <1.0 1.9 3.5	<1.0 1.9 3.5	1.9 3.5 <	3.5	Ý	1.0	5.8	102	1960	182	402	1.7	<1.0	9.5	212
780 <1.0 4.3 11.8	<1.0 4.3 11.8	4.3 11.8	11.8		1.1	7.2	326	3810	472	294	2.7	1.3	14.7	1540

Zinc		2180	662	2140	504	1660	1680	575	1350	11500	6050	6540	6295	346	1170	638	746	356	570	851	397	753	1360	1040	1050	238	
Silver		5	2	3	2	5	3	2	3	26	7	7	7	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Nickel		22	25	28	26	22	24	27	18	24	26	27	26.5	1	32	27	30	26	29	24	24	32	24	24	24	25	
Molybdenum		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	~2	2	~	~	
Lead	(uo	1870	223	1370	210	1570	1250	388	910	9200	1850	2030	1940	127	512	288	328	182	187	389	167	335	793	717	691	126	
Copper	in <63µm fractio	925	379	621	334	646	536	371	998	2080	2220	2410	2315	134	704	446	474	279	337	326	273	384	418	388	395	228	
Chromium	al concentrations	32	37	43	40	33	36	39	19	33	35	35	35	0	47	40	45	38	44	36	36	52	35	36	35	36	
Cadmium	mg/kg (tota	20	6	19	22	16	15	5	8	52	34	37	35.5	2	7	5	18	9	16	25	6	20	17	12	12	33	
Arsenic		39	16	38	14	32	28	16	29	119	434	487	461	37	76	27	20	13	15	16	15	17	24	20	19	10	
Antimony		<5	€5	€5	<5	€5	<5	€5	<5	€5	€5	Ŷ	€	N/A	\$	14	9	€5	€5	€5	<5	€	€	€5	€	Ş	
Aluminium		18600	24500	29800	23600	16200	28000	32900	12300	17500	17900	18100	18000	141	61700	37900	46700	20000	32200	24200	24400	39300	19800	18800	18900	18000	
Silin S	200	LR_01	LR_02	LR_03	LR_04	LR_05	LR_06	LR_07	LR_08	LR_09	LR_10	LR 10-DUP	LR 10-Mean	LR_10-SD	LR_11	LR_12	LR 13	LR_14	LR_15	LR_16	LR_17	LR_18	LR 19	LR_20	LR_20-DUP	LR_21	

Table A14 (co	ontinue)										
	Aluminium	Antimony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc
Site				mg/kg (	total concentr	rations in <63	μm fraction)				
LR_23	22900	2180	21	13	32	384	673	<2	22	<2	1160
LR_24	23800	662	12	12	38	277	176	<2	27	<2	511
LR_25	30200	2140	17	18	35	384	410	<2	25	<2	822
LR_26	39600	504	21	12	38	403	421	<2	27	<2	830
LR_27	18100	1660	11	4	37	246	162	<2	25	<2	294
LR_28	19100	1680	11	4	37	239	149	<2	27	<2	1280
LR_29	25600	575	16	3	42	390	288	<2	30	⊲2	891
LR_30	33000	1350	25	19	39	594	644	<2	28	2	1570
LR_30-DUP	28000	11500	23	18	37	528	587	<2	26	⊲2	1200
LR_31	21300	6050	16	4	42	352	225	<2	28	<2	329
LR_32	27400	6540	24	47	39	567	504	<2	26	<2	1330
LR_33	20600	1170	11	5	40	331	180	<2	28	<2	376
LR_34	18700	638	15	9	38	291	394	<2	26	<2	471
LR_35	23000	746	19	18	36	420	399	<2	25	<2	870
LR_36	17800	356	15	11	31	286	200	<2	24	<2	612
LR_37	17600	570	13	4	34	341	242	<2	24	<2	386
LR_38	22400	851	14	9	41	333	202	<2	30	<2	434
LR_39	19800	397	16	5	37	312	354	<2	26	2	471
LR_40	24100	753	17	5	42	335	204	<2	31	⊲2	369
LR_40-DUP	26000	1360	17	5	46	341	229	<2	34	<2	412
LR_41	21200	1040	14	5	38	245	204	<2	28	<2	441
LR_42	20100	1050	21	12	31	354	486	<2	22	<2	670
LR_43	20500	238	14	5	36	294	196	<2	26	<2	376
LR 44	22700	1050	16	9	38	338	277	2	29	\$	552

Table A14 (co	ontinue)										
CHO	Aluminium	Antimony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc
JILE				E	ig/kg (total cor	ncentrations	in <63µm fraction	(1			
LR_45	24400	€	28	8	38	612	580	2	29	<2	846
LR_46	23100	€	21	13	40	349	495	2	28	<2	866
LR_47	21600	€	16	10	35	253	286	4	24	<2	531
LR_48	20900	€	25	6	37	422	729	2	26	<2	872
LR_49	23600	€	20	9	39	425	486	4	29	<2	713
LR_50	35400	€	14	6	27	300	235	2	20	<2	495
LR_50-DUP	32600	€	18	13	33	310	284	2	22	<2	559
LR_51	24300	€	22	8	40	392	503	2	28	<2	682
LR_52	27100	€	18	9	40	348	356	2	29	<2	616
LR_53	21400	€	24	7	38	448	601	2	26	<2	741
LR_54	21200	€	18	9	34	312	376	2	24	<2	614
LR_55	26400	Ś	20	7	38	407	440	2	28	<2	740
LR_56	20800	S	18	9	36	335	390	2	27	<2	551
LR_57	20000	S	17	6	30	236	265	2	23	<2	571
LR_58	25800	€	21	7	37	396	385	2	27	<2	682
LR_59	23900	Ś	25	8	41	452	614	2	28	<2	768
LR_60	28400	Ş	21	7	41	413	456	2	30	<2	756
LR_60-DUP	23200	€	18	9	37	343	411	2	26	<2	612
LR_61	24400	Ş	44	14	42	498	1660	2	29	5	2170
LR_62	24700	S	25	19	31	356	457	2	25	<2	902
LR_63	25700	Ş	16	5	37	298	287	2	27	<2	537
LR_64	24900	€	20	9	39	369	458	2	28	<2	708
LR_65	26900	Ş	14	13	31	261	412	4	23	⊲2	797
LR_66	24100	Ş	18	9	41	341	385	4	30	2	655

W
Ē
븉
8
Ŧ
2
<u>a</u>
릚
-

Zinc		13/70	743	661	SM	541	605	306	608	468	621	486	496	585	3100
Silver		2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Ş	Ø	\$
Nickel		34	27	33	28	30	27	14	26	22	22	26	28	25	28
Molybdenum		4	2	4	2	4	4	2	2	2	4	2	4	2	4
Lead	um (fraction)	769	373	541	265	283	405	509	376	334	384	263	266	328	711
Copper	tions in 43µ	272	412	409	334	33(1	332	TILE	335	306	327	288	241	259	631
Chromium	total concentra	47	9	47	36	38	37	34	36	31	30	34	38	EE	37
Cadmium	mg/kg [	6	13	9	4	5	9	7	9	5	9	5	10	10	17
Ansenic		21	18	22	14	15	18	71	19	14	15	13	14	13	22
Antimony		S	Ś	Ş	S	Ś	Ś	S	Ś	S	Ś	S	Ś	Ŷ	Ś
Aluminium		24400	29400	24600	31900	36600	19800	26800	22400	15500	32700	18100	19500	17200	20600
ó	200	LR_67	LR. 68	LR 69	LR 70	LR_70-DUP	LR. 71	LR 72	LR_73	LR_74	LR. 75	LR. 76	LR 77	LR. 78	LR_79

	Aluminium	Antimonu	Areonio	Codminum	Channin	Connor	lood	Mohiholouim	Nickel	Cihuor	Time	Monether
Sites	n	Annual Sector				Cupper	rcan	mujuucium	INICAGE	OIVEI	2112	mercury
					mg/kg (tot	tal concentrat	ions in <2m	m fraction)				
LR_01	3580	Ş	11	9	11	171	526	2	7	\$	824	<0.1
LR 02	3150	Ŷ	Ş	F	10	43	23	\$	9	\$	17	⊲0.1
LR 03	2040	Ŷ	9	7	9	46	549	\$	4	9	628	0.1
LR 04	3200	Ŷ	Ş	4	6	38	51	2	6	\$	88	<0.1
LR 05	7980	Ŷ	17	11	19	306	1030	2	14	\$	1090	<0.1
LR_06	2630	Ŷ	Ş	ę	7	56	222	\$	4	\$	377	<0.1
LR 07	2450	Ŷ	ų	⊽	7	29	42	\$	4	8	17	⊴0.1
LR 08	7510	Ŷ	21	9	16	730	634	\$	14	8	843	≤0.1
LR 09	7850	10	5	21	18	769	3690	4	14	6	6690	0.3
LR 10	16200	Ŷ	508	42	33	2540	2230	2	26	7	5530	0.3
LR 10-DUP	16000	Ŷ	527	44	32	2580	2310	2	25	7	6320	0.3
LR 10-Mean	16100	\$	517.5	43	32.5	2560	2270	2	25.5	7	5925	0.3
LR 10-SD	141	N/A	13	-	+	28	57	0	-	0	559	0
LR_11	2100	Ş	Ş	Ā	9	17	21	\$	4	\$	49	<0.1
LR_12	2010	\$	Ş	Ā	9	17	16	2	4	\$	73	<0.1
LR_13	1820	Ŷ	Ş	2	5	40	26	2	3	\$	99	<0.1
LR_14	6350	Ŷ	Ş	2	17	56	43	2	10	\$	103	<0.1
LR 15	2400	Ŷ	\$	2	7	22	16	\$	4	8	56	⊲0.1
LR_16	3230	Ŷ	Ş	ę	8	36	53	\$	5	\$	124	<0.1
LR_17	4720	S.	€	Ļ	12	35	24	2	8	4	81	<0.1
LR 18	2480	Ş	5	3	8	36	71	2	9	\$	368	<0.1
LR 19	4810	Ş	7	5	12	88	253	2	8	\$	386	<0.1
LR 20	5040	Ŷ	7	4	13	102	297	2	8	\$	379	<0.1
LR 20-DUP	4390	Ŷ	7	ŝ	15	98	247	2	7	\$	331	<0.1
LR_21	9620	Ş	9	2	22	111	64	2	15	4	142	<0.1
LR_22	2080	€	Ş	2	9	23	47	2	4	\$	111	<0.1
LR_23	3360	\$	5	3	6	49	147	2	9	\$	227	<0.1
LR_24	4340	\$	\$	3	12	48	43	2	6	\$	212	<0.1
LR_25	2880	Ŷ	ŝ	2	7	35	59	2	5	\$	144	<0.1
NEPM HIL level E	N/A	N/A	200	40	N/A	2000	600	N/A	600	N/A	14000	NIA

_	
e	
-	
.=	
臣	
5	
ŏ	
_	
-	
ŝ	
115 (	
A15 (	
le A15 (	
ble A15 (	
able A15 (	

		1		_																								_				
Mercury		6.1	<0.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	40.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	⊲0.1	€0:1	<0.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	0.8	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	⊲0.1	N/A
Zinc		125	209	765	48	222	379	253	210	127	411	153	91	227	113	316	206	216	228	171	249	124	270	195	132	476	112	110	117	247	90	14000
Silver		8	\$	8	8	8	2	8	8	8	8	8	8	2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	2	8	8	8	8	N/A
Nickel		4	17	21	5	5	7	24	5	10	22	4	5	15	7	13	17	18	13	5	17	9	7	9	5	15	5	e,	4	10	4	600
Molybdenum	h fraction)	8	2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	N/A
Lead	ns in <2 mm	5	102	98	35	78	136	163	71	51	326	61	22	131	47	225	109	116	98	97	128	48	168	118	62	393	68	54	45	168	43	600
Copper	al concentratio	28	148	177	30	45	338	281	58	89	254	35	21	186	65	154	192	203	117	55	193	45	108	50	46	242	41	22	27	121	20	2000
Chromium	mg/kg (tota	7	24	27	80	7	12	33	8	14	30	7	9	21	11	18	23	24	18	8	22	6	11	8	80	20	8	9	5	14	9	N/A
Cadmium		-	33	33	₽ V	2	4	c,	4	2	9	2	1	2	+	c,	2	c,	2	2	e S	₽ V	2	2	2	5	4	4	₽ V	2	₽ V	40
Arsenic		\$	7	8	\$	Ş	9	12	Ş	Ş	11	\$	\$	80	Ş	6	80	00	9	Ş	7	Ş	7	\$	80	12	Ş	\$	Ş	7	\$	200
Antimony		ŝ	€5	S5	S5	S5	<u></u> 22	S5	<5	~2 2	\$	-25	-5	S 25	\$	S5	-55	€5	\$	-25	-25	-25	-55	€	S5	\$	<u>\$</u>	€5	S5	-22 -22	S5	N/A
Aluminium		2570	10600	12800	3080	2540	3640	15700	2590	6320	13800	2430	2180	9950	4410	8360	11400	11900	8490	3030	11300	3500	3880	3180	3040	9490	2980	1570	1870	6420	2040	NIA
Citoro	olics	LR 26	LR_27	LR_28	LR_29	LR_30	LR_30-DUP	LR_31	LR_32	LR_33	LR_34	LR_35	LR_36	LR_37	LR 38	LR_39	LR_40	LR_40-DUP	LR_41	LR_42	LR_43	LR_44	LR_45	LR_46	LR_47	LR_48	LR_49	LR_50	LR_50-DUP	LR_51	LR_52	NEPM HIL level E

Table A15 (con	tinue)											
CHOO	Aluminium	Antimony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc	Mercury
Solics					mg/kg (tot	tal concentrat	ions in <2mn	n fraction)				
LR 53	7240	ŝ	6	2	16	160	218	2	11	\$	294	⊴.1
LR_54	1720	ŝ	\$	Ł	4	20	43	2	e	\$	117	⊴.1
LR 55	3240	ŝ	Ş	1	6	40	71	\$	9	8	170	<0.1
LR 56	5480	ŝ	9	2	13	96	147	\$	œ	8	246	<0.1
LR 57	1840	Ş	\$	1	5	25	54	\$	4	8	138	<0.1
LR 58	3150	\$	\$	Ł	6	38	69	\$	5	8	135	<0.1
LR 59	10500	ŝ	11	4	22	248	316	8	16	8	419	<0.1
LR 60	3960	ŝ	\$	Ł	11	46	85	\$	7	8	166	<0.1
LR 60-DUP	4120	9	\$	+	11	49	80	\$	7	8	158	<0.1
LR 61	7440	S S	12	5	17	172	518	2	12	4	716	<0.1
LR 62	1880	ŝ	\$	1	5	28	45	\$	ę	\$	106	<0.1
LR 63	2820	Ş	\$	Ł	80	28	39	\$	5	\$	97	<0.1
LR 64	6420	Ş	9	2	15	88	144	\$	10	\$	263	<0.1
LR_65	2600	ŝ	Ş	1	80	41	92	\$	5	8	176	<0.1
LR 66	4440	ŝ	\$	+	12	54	84	8	œ	0	176	<0.1
LR_67	5820	s S	Ş	1	14	43	149	2	6	0	287	<0.1
LR 68	066	ŝ	\$	Ł	е	13	32	2	2	0	62	<0.1
LR_69	5560	S S	Ş	Ł	14	59	83	\$	6	4	120	<0.1
LR 70	1810	ŝ	Ş	Ł	5	14	26	\$	ę	8	61	<0.1
LR_70-DUP	2640	ŝ	Ş	Ł	8	23	37	\$	4	\$	86	<0.1
LR_71	7120	ŝ	7	2	16	120	166	\$	11	8	269	<0.1
LR_72	1930	S5	\$	Ł	5	26	60	4	3	0	117	<0.1
LR_73	4550	€	\$	2	11	64	97	8	00	0	182	<0.1
LR_74	1560	S5	\$	4	4	18	40	2	3	0	88	<0.1
LR_75	11400	Ş	12	4	24	239	276	2	17	0	408	<0.1
LR_76	12400	Ş	10	4	25	199	190	\$	18	0	364	<0.1
LR_77	11000	Ş	10	2	24	255	177	\$	17	0	359	<0.1
LR_78	4130	Ş	\$	1	10	53	87	\$	9	0	170	<0.1
LR 79	14000	Ş	18	11	27	447	557	8	19	8	1900	<0.1
NEPM HIL level E	NVA	N/A	200	40	N/A	2000	600	NIA	600	NIA	14000	N/A
Red values: Site	s exceed NE	PM HIL level	ш									

8	ole AI6 GF	Coordinat	es of regional/	Dackgroun	nd sediment and Annual Sti	ream Sedimen	it sites collect
	Sample	Easting	Northing		Sample ID	Easting	Northing
	RB 01	340084.7	7698396		RB_23	339910.6	7690575
	RB_02	341262.7	7698165		RB_23Z	340822.3	7689352
	RB 03	340134.4	7696956		RB_24	338616.4	7690498
	RB_04	339332.6	7696592		RB_25	340650.6	7688348
	RB_05	339012.7	7695618		RB_26	340858.8	7687860
	RB 06	338240.6	7696318		RB_27	340766	7686130
	RB_07	338032.4	7695726		RB_28	340853.1	7685490
	RB_08	337851	7695969		RB_29	346429.6	7689151
	RB 09	337324.2	7696525		Annual Stream S	ediment Loca	tions
	RB 10	337322.9	7696821		Sample ID	Easting	Northing
	RB_11	336610.7	7697149		Spring Creek (SPC) - Bridge	338315	7729763
	RB 12	343605.9	7698889		1 <sup>st</sup> SPC Gully	338530	7729713
	RB_13	343254.5	7697195		2 <sup>nd</sup> SPC Gully	338767	7729584
	RB 14	342647.2	7696613				
	RB 15	342228.8	7696412				
	RB_16	341904.1	7696009				
	RB_17	343858.9	7694542				
	RB_18	342717.2	7694480				
	RB_19	343197.6	7691794				
	RB_20	342758.2	7690930				
	RB_21	341815.3	7690441				
	RB_22	340754.9	7690264				

collected 13-14 November 2009 by Xstrata i 1 ζ ¢ Ŕ .

	Zinc		22.5	13.4	18.8	39.7	23.0	19.5	22.3	90.7	27.8	34.3	27.0	13.6	6.9	3.1	4.7	4.4	22.2	19.4	12.5	18.6	12.1	13.7	18.9	27.6	28.5	21.6	19.6	9.4	11.3	14.3
rata 11	Vanadium		3.4	4.6	5.9	9.0	9.3	3.8	3.5	8.8	6.4	8.7	5.7	7.4	4.8	6.9	11.7	6.6	11.6	10.0	5.9	7.8	6.4	5.6	7.0	9.8	7.0	9.7	6.0	4.6	3.3	10.3
d by Xst	Selenium		<0.5	0.67	0.8	ŝ	۲	0.8	1.2	1.5	1	4	4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0	0	0	0	₽	0	۲	₽	0	0	0	₽	₽	₽	₽
) collecte	Nickel		<u>0</u>	01	1.5	2.0	2.0	₽	⊽	3.0	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	3.0	1.0	2.4	2.6	1.2	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.6	⊽	1.1	1.8	1.9	1.5	2.0
m fraction	Molybdenum		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
nt (<63m	Mercury	action)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
nd sedime	Manganese	in <63µm fn	8	204	199	395	246	249	308	291	716	374	231	238	212	149	342	287	350	500	231	304	235	253	250	257	367	8	279	170	25	009
ackgroui	Lead	ncentration	67	41	51	95	63	46	55	140	99	66	85	20	15	11	15	15	54	29	28	41	24	26	42	68	55	59	32	18	21	12
egional/I	Iron	traction cor	1127	1010	1390	3740	1853	1180	1487	2923	1877		1377	883	443	240	1267	797	2547	1537	1157	1823	1553	1537	1863	3180	2550	4480	1627	850	1083	2047
ction in r	Copper	1M HCI ext	161.0	79.2	115.6	203.7	125.3	71.9	96.3	225.7	99.3	196.7	194.0	37.6	40.7	27.9	52.1	84.0	77.2	42.6	34.0	58.4	21.8	25.0	58.4	58.1	58.1	59.1	49.4	49.0	43.1	19.0
CI extra	Cobalit	mg/kg (	2.0	3.1	3.3	6.3	6.0	22	22	4.7	5.1	4.7	3.0	4.2	5.6	5.0	12.1	8.6	6.7	9.9	4.1	5.8	3.3	4.5	4.4	6.2	3.7	3.1	5.2	6.2	4.5	5.5
id 1M H	Chromium		₽	₽	2	2	-	2	2	4	٢	2	2	4		4	-	4	1.6	,	۰	1.6	÷	-	1.3	4	₽	1.3	2	₽	₽	2
d metallo	Cadmium		0.7	0.4	0.3	Ł	£	0.3	0.4	1.1	0.9	1.0	ŗ	0.2	0.1	<0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	₽
metal an	Arsenic		2.1	3.5	4.8	11.0	3.0	5.5	10.7	8.0	8.9	9.0	8.3	1.3	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	3.6	<1.0	2.5	4.5	5.1	4.4	6.3	1.9	10.1	2.7	2.3	<1.0	<1.0	÷
tions of 2009	Antimony		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Oncentra	Aluminium		673	8	1007	1390	1623	990	1317	1823	1303	1543	1187	1020	610	643	1867	1427	1720	1303	1030	1333	1340	1183	1510	1620	2387	1343	1093	647	687	1097
Fable A17 ( N	Citto	2	RB_01	RB 02	RB 03	RB_04	RB_05	RB_06	RB_07	RB 08	RB 09	RB_10	RB_11	RB_12	RB_13	RB 14	RB_15	RB_16	RB_17	RB_18	RB 19	RB_20	RB_21	RB_22	RB_23	RB 23Z	RB_24	RB_25	RB_26	RB_27	RB_28	RB 29

Zinc		30	40.2	59.2
Vanadium		5.1	<2.0	12.4
Selenium				
Nickel		1.2	1.2	1.7
Molybdenum		<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Mercury	fraction)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Manganese	on in <63µm	260	105	158
Lead	ncentratic	62	91	109
Iron	traction col	1200	400	2880
Copper	1M HCI ex	84.0	86.9	100
Cobalt	mg/kg	5.4	3.8	6.4
Chromium		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Cadmium		0.7	0.7	0.9
Arsenic		1.8	1.1	2.0
Antimony		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Aluminium		550	540	950
		Spring creek bridge	1 <sup>st</sup> SPC Gully	2 <sup>nd</sup> SPC Gully

			Conner	P.ad	Molvhdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc
		kn (total concent	tration in <63	Im fraction)	Implandini	INIONCI	OINCI	2017
92	-	21	332	116	\$	10	\$	100
90		20	373	124	2	10	4	60
8	-	22	414	136	4	10	2	91
00	2	30	271	86	2	24	\$	134
8	-	24	218	88	2	18	\$	113
24	2	26	316	130	\$	22	\$	162
20	-	29	318	66	\$	22	8	184
2	2	26	273	103	\$	20	\$	151
2	4	58	413	82	<7	22	<7	332
18	0	46	294	88	<4	17	<4	255
17	~	77	192	43	<17	18	<17	171
15	Ÿ	54	251	64	<5	17	Ş	218
35	17	421	3110	965	<24	312	<24	5340
90	e	33	362	311	\$	29	\$	536
15	8	34	332	126	Ŷ	26	Ÿ	185
2	2	15	235	8	\$	11	8	162
17	⊽	18	229	73	\$	13	8	145
16	\$	23	226	73	Ÿ	<b>б</b>	Ø	296
4	⊽	13	150	88	2	9	\$	262
S	-	6	145	70	8	5	8	169
24	£	80	245	86	\$	5	\$	242
2	2	26	420	188	\$	20	8	243
93	2	26	502	203	8	19	8	230
g	2	39	318	148	Q	23	Ø	383
99	15	145	3320	1350	10	117	Ÿ	1440
24	Ÿ	33	420	148	9	22	9	444
14	4	18	126	44	2	8	2	340
24	2	12	415	109	2	6	4	207
6	-	12	296	74	\$	8	\$	173
9	-	20	596	8	\$	15	2	196
14	,	~	0.20	2			5	5

l able A18	(continue)	Antimonu	Arconio	Codminm	Chromium	Connor	peol	Mohdonum	Nick	-	Silvor
Sites			Allbeit		a/kg (total conce	entration in <63	um fraction)	Ininjoudiui	-		
RB 10ac	29600	15	20	\$	41	438	113	Ÿ		16	16 3
RB 10bc	19400	18	12	Ł	19	281	70	8		10	10 2
RB_11	28500	Ş	18	Ł	47	398	98	Ÿ		16	16 3
RB 11a	38500	9	20	2	29	549	127	Ÿ		21	21 3
RB_11b	45000	7	20	8	31	595	121	4		22	22 <4
RB 12	29200	Ş	19	÷	18	365	105	8		10	10 22
RB 12a	45800	10	18	\$	29	444	108	4		16	16 <4
RB_12b	27300	Ş	8	Ł	46	210	36	8		28	28 28
RB 13	27700	Ŷ	7	₽	48	177	30	8		31	31 2
RB 13a	29600	Ş	7	Ł	58	179	29	8		36	36 2
RB_13b	20100	Ş	12	r	31	129	32	8		20	20 2
RB_14	23200	Ş	11	Ł	32	129	32	8	-	6	9
RB 14a	19800	Ŷ	11	⊽	30	118	31	8	-	8	8
RB 14b	24400	Ş	15	₽	38	93	33	8	2	5	2 2
RB 15	21200	Ş	13	r	35	76	29	8	2	0	0
RB_15a	15700	Ş	6	Ā	25	68	21	8	16		3
RB_15b	24900	Ş	13	r	39	147	20	8	23		2
RB_16	22900	Ş	13	Ł	39	157	23	8	24		8
RB_16b	23300	Ş	16	Ł	33	280	17	8	18		4
RB_17	21600	Ş	15	r	31	284	18	8	18		8
RB_17a	24800	Ş	16	r	36	284	18	8	20		8
RB_17b	29500	Ş	13	r	35	211	44	8	23		8
RB_18	27200	Ş	12	Ł	28	159	46	8	19		8
RB_18a	26100	Ş	10	Ā	36	151	43	8	23		8
RB_18b	22800	Ş	14	Ł	33	109	54	8	20	_	8
RB 19	30000	\$	17	r	41	139	55	8	27		8
RB_19a	21200	Ş	13	Ł	30	100	52	8	1		3
RB_19b	35100	Ş	15	Ā	36	184	55	8	2	~	2
RB_20	30600	Ş	13	Ā	35	148	55	8	3	~	2
RB_20a	30700	Ş	15	Ł	33	139	52	8	21		8
RB 20b	56100	Ŷ	16	Ŷ	45	261	63	\$	29		Ÿ

	Zinc		186	190	127	122	134	114	103	281	70	131	137	146	136	121	138	128	160	167	169	97	69	86	88	126	102	83	47	53	52	76	70
	Silver		<7	<12	<4	8	8	<11	\$	8	8	2	-4	\$	8	8	\$	8	8	8	8	\$	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	2	8	4	6
	Nickel		30	33	24	17	20	31	12	23	8	16	17	20	16	16	17	14	16	o	10	9	8	11	10	18	19	17	20	23	18	18	17
	Molybdenum		<7	<12	<4	\$	\$	41	\$	\$	\$	2	<4	2	\$	\$	\$	\$	8	ę	2	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	2	8
	Lead	µm fraction)	62	59	47	45	50	45	37	50	40	53	43	50	55	42	132	134	148	79	74	99	102	125	129	55	54	42	28	28	30	44	40
	Copper	entration in <63	224	175	166	140	190	186	94	130	48	253	260	245	209	184	122	128	137	216	222	119	94	120	127	196	177	127	125	131	109	137	185
	Chromium	p/kg (total conce	46	75	36	26	31	45	18	37	6	22	28	28	24	22	24	22	21	17	19	12	15	18	18	27	26	23	21	22	21	27	26
	Cadmium	Ē	Ÿ	99	8	Þ	Þ	99	Þ	r	r	Þ	8	Þ	₽	₽	r	₽	⊽	₽	r	Þ	r	r	r	r	Þ	r	r	r	Þ	Þ	⊽
	Arsenic		22	15	11	13	14	15	14	14	12	15	14	14	13	13	15	13	15	27	22	13	15	16	18	16	14	14	11	13	11	12	12
	Antimony		14	<12	20	\$	Ş	31	\$	Ş	Ş	€	10	Ş	\$	Ŷ	\$	Ŷ	ų	\$	\$	Ş	Ş	\$	Ş	\$	Ş	\$	\$	Ş	Ş	Ş	\$
continue)	Aluminium		59200	63600	46600	32200	48400	53700	31800	36500	12000	46900	50000	54200	40100	44500	18400	15600	16500	39100	39100	28100	8740	11400	11300	33400	17000	17100	15800	18100	13900	17800	18500
Table A18 (c	Sites		RB_20c	RB_20ac	RB 20bc	RB 21	RB_21a	RB 21b	RB 22	RB_22a	RB 22b	RB 23	RB 23a	RB 23b	RB 24	RB 24a	RB 24b	RB 25	RB 25a	RB 25b	RB 26	RB 26a	RB 26b	RB 27	RB_27a	RB 27b	RB 28	RB 28a	RB_28b	RB_29	RB 29a	RB 29b	RB 30

0:100	Aluminium	Antimony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc																				
olles				Вш	/kg (total conce	entration in <63	μm fraction)																								
RB_30a	17100	<5	13	<b>1</b>	28	137	50	<2	19	<2	76																				
RB_30b	58100	8	9	<2	69	236	32	4>	41	4>	178																				
RB_30c	38000	<5>	9	<b>,</b>	53	166	26	<2	32	<2	109																				
RB_30ac	44600	<5	<5	-1	68	200	29	<2	38	<2	129																				
RB_30bc	45800	<5	7	V	64	194	30	\$	35	<2	132																				
_																									_						_
-----------------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	-------	--------	--------	--------	---------
Zinc	31	25	17	27	25	11	24	16	10	11	12	12	14	13	24	17	6	14	22	18	34	26	22	18	26	35	13	15	11	14	16
Silver	2	8	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	\$	2	\$	2	2	2	2	2	\$	\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	\$	2	\$	\$	2	₽
Nickel	9	9	4	9	5	8	4	2	8	8	8	4	4	4	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	2	8	8	8	2	8	2	8	2	ę
Moiyodenum	2	\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	\$	2	\$	2	2	2	2	2	\$	\$	2	2	2	2	2	2	\$	2	\$	\$	2	\$
mm fraction)	44	37	6	16	15	8	13	6	9	9	7	80	7	10	12	80	\$	7	6	9	21	17	12	8	13	14	8	14	7	6	13
Copper centrations in <2	94	83	17	35	28	8	20	10	9	9	8	12	10	17	17	6	€5	\$	8	<5	35	26	11	7	11	16	11	14	80	13	20
Chromium g/kg (total con	12	14	5	8	8	2	9	3	2	2	2	4	3	4	2	2	2	\$	\$	2	4	3	2	2	2	°	4	4	2	4	9
Cadmium	4	Ā	₽ V	4	Ł	₽ V	₽ V	4	₽ V	Ł	₽ V	Ł	₽ V	4	₽ V	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	4	₽ V	₽ V	4	4	₽ V	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	4	Ł
Arsenic	20	21	\$5	<5	<5	-5	<5	<5	-5	<5	-5	-5	<5	€5	-5	-5	<5	<5	€5	<5	€5	<5	€5	€5	<5	<5	-5	<5	\$	<5	\$
Antimony	\$	Ş	Ş	€	Ş	Ş	Ş	€	Ş	Ş	Ş	5	ş	\$	Ş	ş	Ş	Ş	Ą	Ş	₽	Ş	\$	₽	Ş	Ş	Ş	Ş	Ą	Ş	Ą
Aluminium	2640	2490	3190	4830	4180	1590	3720	2300	1480	1870	1890	2740	2630	2950	3050	2290	1140	1740	2720	1950	4060	3160	2490	1940	3060	3740	1900	2210	1570	2080	2300
Sites	RB_01a	RB_01b	RB_02	RB_02a	RB 02b	RB 03	RB_03a	RB_03b	RB_04	RB 04a	RB 04b	RB 05	RB_05a	RB_05b	RB 06	RB 06a	RB_06b	RB 07	RB 07a	RB_07b	RB_08	RB_08a	RB_08b	RB_09	RB_09a	RB 09b	RB 10	RB 10a	RB 10b	RB_10c	RB 10ac

_
<del>ه</del>
ž
-
12
0
<u> </u>
-
-
<u>6</u>
119
A19
le A19
ble A19
able A19
Table A19

Zinc		1	9	2	8	4	4	2	4	9	8	0	9	1	9	3	1	00	6	-	9	4	5	t	9	7	0	6	60	6	33	e G
		-	-	~	-	-	-	-	4	ŝ	(r)	ŝ	~	ŝ	ŝ	~	24	~	-	-	-	-	9	5	9	-	24	-		<i></i>	-	_
Silver		4	4	4	8	8	8	8	4	4	4	4	8	8	4	8	4	8	4	4	4	4	8	4	4	8	8	8	8	4	8	6
Nickel		8	2	8	2	5	9	4	18	18	18	16	15	18	19	18	21	12	16	8	ę	2	16	15	16	e	33	4	8	8	8	8
Molybdenum		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	8	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	2	2	2	\$	\$	\$
Lead	2mm fraction)	9	10	13	11	ŝ	\$5	€5	26	25	22	22	20	36	22	20	40	17	16	5	7	9	35	34	38	7	8	10	€5	14	9	\$
Copper	entrations in <2	œ	12	15	13	13	11	6	71	84	59	60	47	70	93	80	94	140	178	Ş	œ	5	33	29	36	7	6	11	9	Ş	5	Ş
Chromium	g/kg (total conc	8	e	ę	e	80	6	7	38	36	38	29	30	34	37	31	37	24	29	ę	4	4	29	30	31	4	9	9	2	2	2	2
Cadmium	3	₽ V	₽ V	₽	₹	₽	₹	4	₽ V	₽ V	₽ V	₽	4	4	₽	₹	4	4	4	₽	₽ V	₽ V	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	V
Arsenic		<5	<5	<5	<5	55	<5	<5	17	12	12	11	6	10	15	80	14	12	14	<5	<5	<5	9	11	12	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	~22
Antimony		55	5	5	55	\$	55	<5	5	€5	€	€	<5	5	€	<5	€	€5	€	5	5	€	€5	5	€5	55	€5	55	55	€	€5	\$
Aluminium		1680	2210	2830	2450	2990	2980	2480	4460	4040	4360	7480	6950	6500	6140	5720	6870	6630	9040	1370	1880	1830	4060	3810	3910	2070	2910	2900	066	1200	1860	850
Sites		RB 10bc	RB 11	RB 11a	RB 11b	RB 12	RB 12a	RB_12b	RB 13	RB 13a	RB 13b	RB 14	RB_14a	RB_14b	RB 15	RB 15a	RB 15b	RB_16a	RB 16b	RB 17	RB 17a	RB 17b	RB_18	RB_18a	RB_18b	RB_19	RB_19a	RB_19b	RB_20	RB_20a	RB_20b	RB 20c

Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation – Sustainable Minerals Institute

Zinc		28	14	10	21	21	15	11	10	12	18	11	38	50	57	18	16	12	46	68	58	20	28	27	18	17	23	15	23	20	17	22
Silver		4	4	8	\$	8	4	4	4	8	\$	8	8	8	8	4	\$	8	8	\$	4	\$	8	8	8	8	4	8	\$	\$	\$	\$
Nickel		8	8	8	8	2	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	5	5	8	\$	8	ę	5	4	4	7	9	8	80	6	5	9	9	7	11
Molybdenum		2	2	\$	2	2	2	2	2	\$	2	2	\$	\$	\$	2	\$	2	8	\$	2	\$	2	\$	2	8	2	\$	\$	2	2	8
Lead	(mm fraction)	8	9	€	7	7	8	<5	€5	€	€5	€	42	49	94	9	9	10	74	247	59	6	20	12	13	11	14	6	8	10	<5	5
Copper	centrations in <2	5	9	\$	7	7	9	€	€	Ş	Ş	Ş	22	28	19	€	€	7	17	26	27	26	28	22	39	29	36	14	21	17	10	18
Chromium	g/kg (total con	2	2	8	33	33	8	8	\$	2	8	\$	6	6	80	8	8	2	80	11	10	7	12	11	12	12	16	11	13	13	13	18
Cadmium	E	4	4	Ł	Ł	4	₽	₽	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	Ł	4	r	Ł	4	Ł	Ł	Ł	4	Ł	Ł	₽ V	Ł	Ł	₽ V	₽
Arsenic		€5	<5	Ş	€	€5	€5	<5	£5	ŝ	€5	Ş	9	9	9	€	<5	£	11	19	13	5	8	9	9	9	9	ŝ	5	5	<5	ŝ
Antimony		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	ŝ
Aluminium		1620	1860	1360	2680	2850	2310	1690	1410	1990	1240	1750	2410	2810	2240	2150	2030	2780	2030	2400	2180	1970	2500	2570	3840	2900	3720	2140	2320	2490	4460	5540
Sites		RB_20ac	RB_20bc	RB_21	RB_21a	RB_21b	RB_22	RB_22a	RB_22b	RB 23	RB_23a	RB 23b	RB 24	RB_24a	RB 24b	RB_25	RB_25a	RB_25b	RB 26	RB 26a	RB_26b	RB_27	RB_27a	RB_27b	RB_28	RB_28a	RB 28b	RB 29	RB 29a	RB_29b	RB 30	RB 30a

InicCadmiumChromiumCopperLeadMolybdenumNickelSilverZinc5<1142mm fraction) <th></th>											
mg/kg (total concentrations in <2mm fraction)       5     <1     14     <5     <2     9     <2     20       5     <1	Antimony A	4	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc
5         <1         14         14         <5         <2         9         <2         20           5         <1				Ш	ig/kg (total conc	centrations in -	<2mm fraction)				
5         <1         13         11         <5         <2         8         <2         20           5         <1	<5 <	v	5	<td>14</td> <td>14</td> <td>&lt;5</td> <td>&lt;2</td> <td>6</td> <td>&lt;2</td> <td>20</td>	14	14	<5	<2	6	<2	20
5         <1         15         14         <5         <2         10         <2         21           5         <1	<5 <	V	5	<1	13	11	<5	<2	8	<2	20
5 <1 14 13 <5 <2 8 <2 19	<5	v	<5 <5	<u>~</u>	15	14	<5	<2	10	<2	21
	<5		<5	-1	14	13	<5	<2	8	<2	19

abo	ratories, Au	gust zull.											
	Laboratory	Aluminium	Antimony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc	Mercury
Sites						mg/kg	(total concent	rations in ⊲2mm	fraction)				
LR_05	ENTOX Mean±SD	3000±360	0.10±0.10	2.1±0.7	0.14±0.06	5.7±0.3	16±1.2	16±1.0	0.13±0.01	3.6±0.3	,	20±2.4	<0.1
LR 09	ENTOX Mean±SD	6700±1500	1.2±0.1	14±1.5	11±0.8	11±1.8	100±11	190±22	0.32±0.04	7±1.2		540±50	0.20±0.04
LR 10	ENTOX Mean±SD	12000±3000	71±4	216+22	145±7	30±5	4100±570	13000±2800	1.7±1.6	11±1.7	,	7800±940	14±1.5
LR_05	ALS	2130	9	5	Ł	9	19	12	2	10±1.8		22	<0.1
LR_09	ALS	5030	5	13	6	11	107	155	\$	7	'	535	<0.1
LR_10	ALS	8650	71	230	164	32	5180	15400	Ø	14		10500	2.7
	Laboratory	Aluminium	Antimony	Arsenic	Cadmium	Chromium	Copper	Lead	Molybdenum	Nickel	Silver	Zinc	Mercury
Sites						mg/kg ()	total concentre	etions in <250 µn	n fraction)				
LR 05	ENTOX Mean±SD	8700±210	0.15±0.08	4.9±0.7	13±3.2	18±3.1	200±24	130±19	0.9±0.2	10±1.8		72±5.3	0.16±0.02
LR_09	ENTOX Mean±SD	16000±6000	2.9±0.1	20+2.5	33±1.1	30±9.5	400±51	510±48	0.75±0.003	18±5.5	,	1000±120	0.63±0.08
LR_10	ENTOX Mean±SD	12000±3300	99±2.7	280±30	170±5.6	36±6.4	4800±690	16000±2900	3±1.6	12+2.1	,	11000±1400	17±1.3
LR_05	ALS	6330	6	14	5	20	224	92	Ø	11		77	<0.5
LR 09	ALS	13600	9	8	32	31	465	499	Ø	21		1250	<0.2

8000

14700

1

e

20600

829

\$

185

313

8

8320

ALS

LR 10

Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation - Sustainable Minerals Institute

Table A20 Comparison of total metal and metalloid concentrations in Leichhardt River Verification Samples LR5, LR9 and LR10 collected 13-14 November 2009 by Xstrata for split homogeneous sub-samples of <2mm and <250 µm fractions analysed independently by ALS and EnTOX

į

#### Appendix 7. Aquatic toxicity results.

Sampling	Test	Site	Site ID	GPS c	oordinates
date/team		description		х	У
24 July	48hr Survival Cerio	Moondarra	MO		
2008	daphnia cf dubia	Overflow			
by Xstrata		Moondarra	MC	343191	7711959
		Crossing		010101	
		Davis	DC	343013	7710113
		Crossing			
		Isa street	ISA	342574	7707125
		Crossing	00-4 4		
		23 Avenue	23rd Av	343081	7705206
7 Octobor	49hr Suprival Caria	Dourio			
7 October	daphpia of dubia	Crossing	26072		
by Xstrata		Crossing	30073	343003	7710027
by Astrata		Stinky Creek	SC-LT 01-	343003	1110021
		ounky oreek	36077	343106	7709719
		Alma	AC T 1-		
		Crossing	36081	342838	7708662
13 October	- 72-hr Inhibition	23 Avenue	23 ave	348163	7720710
2009	Selenestrum	Isa Crossing	ISA		
by CMLR	capricornutum			347853	7720956
	- 48hr Survival Cerio	Alma	ALM		
	daphnia cf dubia	Crossing		344837	7716299
	- 7-day partial life-cycle	Davis	DC		
	(chronic) toxicity	Crossing			
	cladoceran Ceriodaphia			346299	7718882
	- 7 day Growth Inhibition	Moondarra	мс	044040	7745047
	Lemna minor	Crossing		344618	//1564/
	-96-hr fish Imbalance				
	Melanotaenia splendida				
28 July	-72-hr Selenastrum	Riffle creek			
2010 by	capricomutum	dam			
CMLR	- 48hr Survival Cerio				
	daphnia cf dubia				
	-7-day Growth inhibition of				
	the freshwater aquatic				
	Disperma			354742	7681168
	- 7-day Growth Inhibition				
	Lemna minor				
	-96-hr fish imbalance				
	toxicity test using the				
	rainbowfish Melanotaenia				
	Spienulu				

Table A21 Summary sampling dates for toxicity test and GPS coordinates for water samples

Table A22 Toxicity test reports on 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia for water samples collected by Xstrata (24 July 2008)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0399/1

## (page 1 of 2)

Client:	University of Queensland	ESA Job #:	PR0399
	CMLR UQ	Date Sampled:	24 July 2008
	St Lucia OLD 4072	Date Received:	25 July 2008
Attention:	Prof. Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Contract #:	.00001608M262201.	ESA Quote #:	PL0383_q01
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Description	1:
2812	MO (34335)	Aqueous sample, pH 535uS/cm	8.6, conductivity
2813	MC (34336)	Aqueous sample, pH 4070uS/cm	18.5, conductivity
2814	DC (34337)	Aqueous sample, pH 6020uS/cm	8.5, conductivity
2815	15A (34338)	Aqueous sample, pl 4020uS/cm	18.6, conductivity
2816	23 <sup>nt</sup> (34339)	Aqueous sample, pH 1102µS/cm	8.1, conductivity

Test Performed:	48-hr acute (survival) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101, based on USEPA (1993)
Deviations from Protocol:	NI
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	25 July 2008 at 1300h

Sample 2812: MO	(34335) % Survival	Sample 2813: MC	(34336) % Survival	Sample 2814: DC	(34337) % Survivat
(%)	(at 48 hr)	(%)	(at 48 hr)	(%)	(at 48 hr)
0 (control)	$100 \pm 0.0$	B (control)	$100 \pm 0.0$	0 (control)	100 ± 0.0
6.25	$100 \pm 0.0$	6.25	100 ± 0.0	6.25	$100 \pm 0.0$
12.5 26 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$	12.5 25 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$	12.5 26 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 80.0 \pm 16.3 \\ 0.0 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$
48 hr EC50 = >10 (TSK trim value = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	0% = n/a)	48 hr EC50 = >10 (TSK trim value = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	0% = n/a)	48 hr EC50 = 61.0 (TSK trim value = NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%	8 (54.4-69.7)% 9 0.0%)

### Table A22 (continue) Toxicity Test Report: TR0399/1

### (page 2 of 2)

Sample 2815: 15/ Concentration (%)	% Survival (at 48 hr)	Sample 2816: 23" Concentration (%)	% Survival (at 48 hr)	Vacant	
0 (control)	$100 \pm 0.0$	0 (control)	$100 \pm 0.0$		
6.25	100 ± 0.0	6.25	$100 \pm 0.0$		
12.5 25 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$	12.5 25 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$		
48 hr EC50 = >10 {TSK trim value = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	0% : n/a)	48 hr EC50 = >10 (TSK trim value = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	0% ⊨n/a)		

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control minimum % survival	>90 %	100%	Yes
Test Temperature limits	25.0 ± 1 °C	25.5-26.0°C	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	160.3-271.7mg KCl/L	204.9mg KCI/L	Yes

Follow-Test Report Authorised by:

Dr Rick Krassol, Director on 30 July 2008

Results are based on the samples in the condition as received by ESA

Table A22 Toxicity test reports on 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia for water samples collected by Xstrata (24 July 2008)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0399/1

## (page 1 of 2)

Client:	University of Queensland	ESA Job #:	PR0399	
	CMLR DO	Date Sampled:	24 July 2008	
Attention	Brof Barry Nation	Campled Put	25 July 2006	
Contract #:	Pior, barry honer	ESA Quote #:	PL0383_q01	
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Description	1:	
2812	MO (34335)	Aqueous sample, pH 8.6, conductivity 535uS/cm		
2813	MC (34336)	Aqueous sample, pH 8.5, conductivity 4070uS/cm		
2814	DC (34337)	Aqueous sample, pH 8.5, conductivity 6020uS/cm		
2815	15A (34338)	Aqueous sample, pl 4020uS/cm	18.6, conductivity	
2816	23 <sup>nt</sup> (34339)	Aqueous sample, ph 1102µS/cm	8.1, conductivity	

Test Performed:	48-hr acute (survival) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101, based on USEPA (1993)
Deviations from Protocol:	NII
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	25 July 2008 at 1300h

Sample 2812: MO Concentration (%)	(34335) % Survival (at 48 hr)	Sample 2813: MC Concentration (%)	(34336) % Survival (at 48 hr)	Sample 2814: DC Concentration (%)	(34337) % Survival (at 48 hr)
0 (control)	$100 \pm 0.0$	B (control)	$100 \pm 0.0$	0 (control)	100 ± 0.0
6.25	$100 \pm 0.0$	6.25	100 ± 0.0	6.25	$100 \pm 0.0$
12,5 26 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$	12.5 25 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$	12.5 26 50 100	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 80.0 \pm 16.3 \\ 0.0 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$
48 hr EC50 = >100% (TSK trim value = n/a) NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%		48 hr EC50 = >10 (TSK trim value = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	0% : n/a)	48 hr EC50 = 61.0 (TSK trim value = NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%	5 (54.4-69.7)% 0.0%)

 Table A23 Toxicity test reports on 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia for water samples collected by Xstrata (7 October 2009)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0505/1



This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	Xstrata Copper Lto		ESA Job #	PR050	05 ber 2009	
	Mt Isa OLD 4825		Date Recei	ved: 8 Octo	ober 2009	
Attention:	Alex Sexton		Sampled B	v: Client		
Client Ref:	Not supplied		ESA Quote	#: PL050	05_q03	
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Descri	otion:			
3782	DC_T_1-36073	Aqueous samp	le, pH 8.D. cond ple received at 10.	uctivity 5660µS/cm .5°C in apparent oo	n total ammonia od condition.	
3783	SC_J_T_01-36077	Aqueous samp <2.0mg/L*. Sam	le, pH 8.2, cond ple received at 10	uctivity 6360µS/cm 5°C in apparent go	n, total ammonia od condition.	
3784	AC_T_1-36081	Aqueous samp <2.0mg/L*, Sam	le, pH 8.6, cond ple received at 10.	uctivity 4100µS/cm .5°C in apparent go	n, total ammonia od condition.	
*Ammonia anal	ysis is not covered by Ec	otox Services Austra	lasia's scope of accre	editation.		
Test Perform	ed:	48-hr acute (su Ceriodaphnia cf	urvival) toxicity ter dubia	st using the fresh	water cladoceran	
Test Protoco	4:	ESA SOP 101 (ESA 2008), based on USEPA (2002) and Bailey et al.				
Deviations fr	om Protocol:	NI				
Comments o	n Solution	The samples we	The samples were serially diluted with Dilute Mineral Water (DMW) to			
Preparation:		achieve the t concurrently wit	est concentration h the samples.	s. A DMW con	trol was tested	
Source of Te	st Organisms:	ESA Laboratory	culture			
Test Initiated		16 October 200	9 at 1600h			
Sample 3782:	DC_T_1-36073	Sample 3783: 50	C_J_T_01-36077	Sample 3784: AC	C_T_1-36081	
Concentratio	on % Survival at	Concentration	% Survival at	Concentration	% Survival at	
(%)	48 hr	(%)	48 hr	(%)	48 hr	
	(Mean ± SD)		(Mean ± SD)		(Mean ± SD)	
DMW Contro	ol 100 ± 0.0	DMW Control	100 ± 0.0	DMW Control	100 ± 0.0	
6.3	90.0 ± 11.6	6.3	$100 \pm 0.0$	6.3	$100 \pm 0.0$	
12.5	$100 \pm 0.0$	12.5	95.0 ± 10.0	12.5	100 ± 0.0	
25	100 ± 0.0	25	100 ± 0.0	25	100 ± 0.0	
50	95.0 ± 10.0	50	90.0 ± 11.6	50	$100 \pm 0.0$	
100	30.0 ± 20.0 *	100	70.0 1 34.6	100	100 ± 0.0	
48 hr EC10 = 23.8% **		48 hr EC10 = 42.5 (15.9-75.6)%		48 hr EC10 = >1	00%	
48 hr EC50 = >100%		48 hr EC50 = >1	00%	48 hr EC50 = >1	00%	
NOEC = 50%		NOEC = 100%		NOEC = 100%		
LOEC = 100%	0	LOEC = >100%				

\*Significantly lower percent survival compared with the DMW Control (Steel's Many-One Rank Test, 1-tailed, P-0.05) \* 95% confidence limits not available

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean % survival	>90.0%	100%	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	177.7-266.1mg KCI/L	204.9mg KCI/L	Yes

#### Table A24 Toxicity test reports on 72-hr Inhibition Selenestrum capricornutum for water samples collected by CMLR (13 October 2009)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/1

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client: Attention: Client Ref:	University of Queensland Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation Brisbane OLD 4072 Barry Noller Not supplied		ESA Job #: Date Sampled: Date Received: Sampled By: ESA Quote #:	PR0535 13 October 2009 14 October 2009 Client PL0535_q01
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Descr	iption:	
3798	23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R.	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 1721µS/cm, total ammo <2.0mg/L*, Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condi-		1721µS/cm, total ammonia in apparent good condition
3799	Isa Street Crossing Aqueous samp		le. pH 8.3. conductivity nple received at 20.0°C	4190µS/cm, total ammonia In apparent good condition.
3800	Alma Street Crossing L.R.	g Aqueous sample, pH 8.6, conductivity 4520µS/cm, total ammon <2 0mo/l * Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good conditi		4520µS/cm, total ammonia in apparent good condition.
3801	Davis Street Crossing L.R	Aqueous samp <2.0mg/L*. Sar	le, pH 8.2, conductivity inple received at 20.0°C	5740µS/cm, total ammonia in apparent good condition.
3802	Moondarra Crossing Aqueous sam		le, pH 8.7, conductivity	5760µS/cm, total ammonia

\*Ammonia analysis is not covered by Ecotox Services Australasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	72-hr microalgal growth inhibition test using the green alga
	Selenastrum capricornutum
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 103 (ESA 2009), based on USEPA (2002)
Deviations from Protocol:	Samples were centrifuged prior to testing to remove particulates.
Comments on Solution Preparation:	The samples were serially diluted with USEPA media to achieve the test concentrations. A diluent control (USEPA media) was tested concurrently with the samples.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture, originally sourced from CSIRO Microalgal Supply Service, TAS
Test Initiated:	23 October 2009 at 1510h

Sample 3798; 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R		Sample 3799: Isa Street Crossing		Sample 3800: Alma Street Crossing L.R	
Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)
Diluent Control	49.6 ± 5.2	Diluent Control	49.6 ± 5.2	Diluent Control	49.6 ± 5.2
Colour Control	65.4 ± 6.8	Colour Control	44.5 = 7.5	Colour Control	67.6 ± 14.8
6.3	71.7 ± 14.2	6.3	50.5 ± 16.1	6.3	66.6 ± 13.0
12.5	61.5 ± 13.4	12.5	61.6 ± 18.1	12.5	86.3 ± 21.1
25	64.2 ± 10.9	25	57.4 = 12.5	25	61.3 ± 12.9
50	56.7 ± 9.9	50	60.3 = 9.5	50	57.8 ± 6.3
100	25.8 ± 12.7 *	100	58.4 ± 16.0	100	38.6 ± 16.6
72-hr IC10 = 51. 72-hr IC50 = 91. NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%	9 (0.0-65.4}% 8%**	72-hr IC10 = >10 72-hr IC50 = >10 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%	72-hr IC10 = 29. 72-hr IC50 = >10 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	2 (11.3-82.0)% 00%

\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Durinett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) \*\* 95% confidence limits are not available

### Table A24 (continue) Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/1

### (page 2 of 2)

Sample 3801: Da Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Sample 3802: Mo Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	condarra Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Vacant	
Diluent Control	49.6 ± 5.2	Diluent Control	49.6 ± 5.2		1
Colour Control	56.7 ± 7.9	Colour Control	65.1 = 10.8		
6.3	61.9 ± 12.2	6.3	72.4 = 19.9		
12.5	82.7 = 14.8	12.5	46.8 = 18.2		
25	89.8 ± 9.3	25	42.9 ± 10.0		
50	81.0 ± 10.4	50	13.7 = 6.7 *		
100	64.4 = 12.2	100	35.2 ± 12.8		
72-hr IC10 = 92.4%** 72-hr IC50 = >100% NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%		72-hr IC10 = 9.0 72-hr IC50 = 41.1 NOEC = 25% LOEC = 50%	(7.1-25.3)% 8%**		

\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Steel's Many One Rank Test, 1-tailed, P-0.05) \*\* 95% confidence limits are not available

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean cell density	>16.0x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL	50.6x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL	Yes
Control coefficient of variation	<20%	10.5%	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	1.0-3.7g KCUL	2.5g KCI/L	Yes

 Table A25 Toxicity test reports on - 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia for water samples collected by CMLR (13 October 2009)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/2

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	University of Queensland	ESA Job #:	PR0535
	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	Date Sampled:	13 October 2009
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	14 October 2009
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Client Ref:	Not supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0535 d01

Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:
3798	23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 1721µS/cm, total ammonia
3799	Isa Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.3, conductivity 4190µS/cm, total ammonia
Sector 1	LR	<2.0mg/L1. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3800	Alma Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.6, conductivity 4520µS/cm, total ammonia
	L.R	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3801	Davis Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 5740µS/cm, total ammonia
08026272	LR	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3802	Moondarra Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.7, conductivity 5760µS/cm, total ammonia
006.00207	LR	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.

"Ammonia analysis is not covered by Ecotox Services Australasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	48-hr acute (survival) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran Ceriodechnia of dubia
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101 (ESA 2008), based on USEPA (2002) and Bailey et al. (2000)
Deviations from Protocol:	NII
Comments on Solution Preparation:	The samples were serially diluted with Dilute Mineral Water (DMW) to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the samples.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	20 October 2009 at 1730h

Sample 3798: 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R			L.R	Sample 3799; Isa Street Crossing				Sample 3800: Aima Street Crossing L.R			
Concentration (%)	% Si (Me	urv 48 an	ivalat h ±SD)	Concentration (%)	% S (Me	48 an	ival at h ± SD)	Concentration (%)	% S (Mr	urv 48 an	ivalat h ±SD)
DMW Control	100	=	0.0	DMW Control	100	=	0.0	DMW Control	100	=	0.0
6.3	100	÷	0.0	6.3	100	+	0.0	6.3	100	2	0.0
12.5	100	±	0.0	12.5	100	-	0.0	12.5	100	注	0.0
25	100	4	0.0	25	100	-	0.0	25	100	12	0.0
60	100	=	0.0	50	100	+	0.0	50	100		0.0
100	100	+	0.0	100	90,0	÷	11.6	100	100	4	0.0
48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%			48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%			48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%		

#### Table A25 (continue)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/2

## (page 2 of 2)

Sample 3801: De Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	ivis Str % Si (Me	eet urv 48 an	ival at h ± SD)	Sample 3802: M Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	oondan % S (Me	a 48 an	ival a h ± SD	Vacant
DMW Control	100	+	0.0	DMW Control	100	12	0.0	
6.3	100	=	0.0	6.3	100	ż	0.0	
12.5	100	1	0.0	12.5	100	1	0.0	
25	100	+	0.0	25	100	+	0.0	
50	95.0	±	10.0	50	100	12	0.0	
100	85.0	#	19.2	100	90.0	÷	20.0	
48-hr EC10 = 78 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	.2%* 00%			48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%			

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean % survival	>90.0%	100%	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	177.6-269.2mg KCI/L	219.6mg KCI/L	Yes

 Table A26 Toxicity test reports on - 7-day partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran Ceriodaphia

 cf dubia for water samples collected by CMLR (13 October 2009)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/3

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	University of Queensland	ESA Job #:	PR0535
	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	Date Sampled:	13 October 2009
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	14 October 2009
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Client Ref:	Not supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0535 q01

Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:
3798	23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 1721µS/cm, total ammonia
		<2.0mg/L*, Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3799	Isa Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.3, conductivity 4190uS/cm, total ammonia
130-282	L.R	<2.0mg/L*, Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.

Test Performed:	7-day partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran Ceriodaphnia cf dubia
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 102 (ESA 2008), based on USEPA (2002) and Balley et al. (2000)
Deviations from Protocol:	Nil
Comments on Solution Preparation:	The samples were serially diluted with Dilute Mineral Water (DMW) to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the samples.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	20 October 2009 at 1730h

Sample 3798: 23" Avenu	ve L.R	Sample 3798: 23 <sup>th</sup> Avenue L.R			
Concentration (%)	% Survival at 8 days (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Number of Young (Mean ± SD)		
DMW Control	100 ± 0.0	DMW Control	21.3 ± 1.1		
6.3	100 ± 0.0	6.3	30.8 = 6.0		
12.5	$100 \pm 0.0$	12.5	23.2 ± 3.8		
25	$100 \pm 0.0$	25	25.0 ± 4.9		
50	100 ± 0.0	50	36.1 ± 7.0		
100	100 ± 0.0	100	32.8 ± 9.5		
8 day EC10 (survival) = 8 day EC50 (survival) = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	>100% >100%	8 day IC10 (reproduction 8 day IC50 (reproduction NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	n) = >100% n) = >100%		

#### Table A26 (continue)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/3

## (page 2 of 2)

Sample 3799: Isa Street	Crossing L.R.	1		Sample 3799: /s	a Street Crossing L	R		
Concentration (%)	Concentration % Survival at 8 day (%) (Mean ± SD)			Concentra (%)	ion Number of Young (Mean ± SD)			
DMW Control	100		0.0	DMW Con	trol 21	.3 =	1.1	
6.3	90.0	z	31.6	6.3	25	.8 ±	8.4	
12.5	90.0	=	31.6	12.5	29	.6 ±	6.3	
25	100	2	0.0	25	33	.2 =	8.9	
50	100	±	0.0	50	37	.9 =	6.9	
100	100	2	0.0	100	37	.2 =	5.6	
8 day EC10 (survival) = 8 day EC50 (survival) = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	>100% >100%			8 day IC10 (rep 8 day IC50 (rep NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	roduction) = >100 roduction) = >100	% %		
QA/QC Parameter		-		Criterion	This Test	Crite	erion met?	
Control mean % survival				>80.0%	100%		Yes	
Control mean number of	young			>15.0	21.3		Yes	
Reference Toxicant withi	n cusum chart	im	15 7	1.0-500.1mg KCI/L	214.7mg KCI/L		Yes	

#### Table A26 (continue)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/4

(page 1 of 3)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	University of Queensian	University of Queensland ESA Job #:				
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Menadimation	Date Received:	14 October 2009		
Attention:	Barry Noller		Sampled By:	Client		
Client Ref:	Not supplied		ESA Quote #:	PL0535_q01	J	
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Descr	iption:			
3800	Aima Street Crossing L.R.	Aqueous samp <2.0mg/L*. Sar	le, pH 8.6, conductivity - mple received at 20.0°C	4520µS/cm, total ammonia in apparent good condition	5	
3801	Davis Street Crossing L.R	Aqueous samp <2.0mg/L*. Sar	le. pH 8.2. conductivity ( mple received at 20.0°C	5740µS/cm, total ammonia in apparent good condition		
3802	Moondarra Crossing	Aqueous samp	ile, pH 8.7, conductivity	5760µS/cm, total ammoni In apparent good condition	a	

\*Ammonia analysis is not covered by Ecotox Services Australasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	7-day partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran Ceriodephnia of duble
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 102 (ESA 2008), based on USEPA (2002) and Bailey et al. (2000)
Deviations from Protocol:	Test undertaken with the 'Moondarra Crossing L.R' sample was renewed every 48 h Instead of 24 h
Comments on Solution Preparation:	The samples were serially diluted with Dilute Mineral Water (DMW) to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the samples.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	29 October 2009 at 1700h

Sample 3800: Alma Street Crossing I Concentration % Surv (%) (M		9 ral a an ±	nt 7 days : SD)	Sample 3800: Alma Stree Concentration (%)	rt Crossing L.R Number of Young (Mean ± SD)			
DMW Control	90.0	*	31.6	DMW Control	17.1	\$	6.6	
6.3	100	÷	0.0	6.3	24.8	- 41	3.7	
12.5	100	2	0.0	12.5	25.5	2	2.3	
25	100	2	0.0	25	24.4	(E)	5.5	
50	100	-	0.0	50	23.2	1	6.1	
100	100	÷.	0.0	100	13.7	\$	6.9	
7 day EC10 (survival) = 7 day EC50 (survival) = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	>100% >100%			7 day IC10 (reproduction 7 day IC50 (reproduction NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	n) = 62.4 (41 n) = >100%	1-7:	2.1)%	

### Table A26 (continue) Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/4

#### (page 2 of 3)

Sample 3801: Davis Street Crossing L.R			Sample 3801: Davis Stree	et Crossing L.R	
Concentration (%)	% Survival a (Mean ±	t 7 days SD)	Concentration (%)	Number o (Mean	f Young ± SD)
DMW Control	90.0 ±	31.6	DMW Control	17.1 =	6.6
6.3	100 ±	0.0	6.3	20.7 ±	3.9
12.5	100 ±	0.0	12.5	21.8 ±	4.5
25	100 =	0.0	25	18.3 ±	5.4
50	90.0 -	31.6	50	13.0 -	6.1
100	100 🔔	0.0	100	3.5 L	2.5 *
7 day EC10 (survival) = 7 day EC50 (survival) = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	>100% >100%		7 day IC10 (reproduction 7 day IC50 (reproduction NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%	n) = 27.0 (17.1-4 n) = 66.1 (48.5-7	10.4)% 76.8)%

\* Significantly lower number of young compared to the Diluent Control (Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

Concentration (%)	% Surviv (Mea	al al m±	t 7 days SD)	Concentration (%)	Numbe (Me	er of	Young SD)
DMW Control	90.0	± :	31.6	DMW Control	17.1	+	6.6
6.3	100	4	0.0	6.3	13.0	+	2.6
12.5	100	=	0.0	12.5	15.9	*	3.3
25	100	z	0.0	25	15.8	=	4.2
50	100	=	0.0	50	17.4	14	2.9
100	60.0	+	51.6	100	1.1	+	1.2 *
7 day EC10 (survival) = 7 day EC50 (survival) = NOEC = 100%	72.7%** >100%			7 day IC10 (reproduction 7 day IC50 (reproduction NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%	n) = 50.4 (2.6 n) = 74.2 (68	3-55 .4-7	.6)% 8.0)%

\* Significantly lower number of young compared to the Difuent Control (Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) \*\* 95% confidence limits are not available

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean % survival	>80.0%	90.0%	Yes
Control mean number of young	>15.0	17.1	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	71.1-497.5mg KCI/L	211.0mg KCI/L	Yes

## Table A27 Toxicity test reports on 7-day Growth Inhibition Lemna minor for water samples collected by CMLR (13 October 2009)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/5

## (page 1 of 3)

Client:	University of Oueensland	ESA Job #:	PR0535
	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	Date Sampled:	13 October 2009
	Brisbano QLD 4072	Date Received:	14 October 2009
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Client Ref:	Not supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0535 q01

	and the statistical	
3798	23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 1721µS/cm, total ammonia <2.0mg/L*, Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3799	Isa Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.3, conductivity 4190µS/cm, total ammonia
CH 1 6955	L.R	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3800	Alma Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.6, conductivity 4520µS/cm, total ammonia
State Taylor	LR	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3801	Davis Street Crossing	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 5740µS/cm, total ammonia
	L.R	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.
3802	Moondarra Crossing	Aqueous sample. pH 8.7, conductivity 5760µS/cm, total ammonia
1990-999	LR	<2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 20.0°C in apparent good condition.

"Ammonia analysis is not covored by Ecotox Services Australasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	7-day Growth inhibition of the freshwater aquatic duckweed Lemna minor
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 112 (ESA 2008), based on OECD method 221 (2006)
Deviations from Protocol:	NI
Comments on Solution Preparation:	The samples were serially diluted with Sweedish standard medium (SIS) to achieve the test concentrations. A SIS control was tested concurrently with the samples.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	27 October 2009 at 1400h

Sample 3798: 23" Aven	ue L.R	Sample 3798: 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R.		
Concentration (%)	Specific Growth Rate (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Dry Weight, mg (Mean ± SD)	
SIS Control	0.39 ± 0.02	SIS Control	3.2 ± 0.2	
6.3	0.41 ± 0.01	6.3	2.7 = 0.3	
12.5	$0.40 \pm 0.02$	12.5	3.6 = 0.5	
25	0.34 _ 0.02 *	25	2.6 L 1.1	
50	0.17 ± 0.03 *	50	1.8 = 0.5**	
100	0.0 ± 0.05 *	100	1.7 = 0.5**	
7 day IC10 = 21.6 (16.8-	27.8)%	7 day IC10 = 17.3 (0.0-39.	5)%	
7 day IC50 = 45.3 (40.4-50.9)%		7 day IC50 =>100%	-98933.S	
NOEC = 12.5%		NOEC = 25%		
LOEC = 25%		LOEC = 50%		

"Significantly lower specific growth rate compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) "Significantly lower dry weight compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

#### Table A27 (continue)



## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/5

#### (page 2 of 3)

Sample 3799: Isa Street Crossing L.R			Sample 3799: Isa Street Crossing L.R.			
Concentration (%)	Specific Gro (Mean ±	wth Rate SD)	Concentration (%)	Dry W (Me	leig an ±	ht, mg SD)
SIS Control	0.39 -	0.02	SIS Control	32		0.2
6.3	0.40 ±	0.01	6.3	3.1	=	0.6
12.5	0.41 ±	0.02	12.5	3.7	=	0.9
25	0.36 ±	0.01	25	3.2		0.4
50	0.29 ±	0.03 *	50	2.1	+	0.6**
100	0.27 ±	0.02 *	100	2.8	=	0.5
7 day IC10 = 25.5 (19.9-31.7)% 7 day IC50 = >100% NOEC = 25% LOEC = 50%		7 day IC10 = 30.4 (11.3-45 7 day IC50 = >100% NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	.8)%			

"Significantly lower specific growth rate compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) ""Significantly lower dry weight compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

Sample 3800: Alma Stree	t Crossing L.R	Sample 3800: Alma Street Crossing L.R		
Concentration (%)	Specific Growth Rate (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Dry Weight, mg (Mean ± SD)	
SIS Control	$0.39 \pm 0.02$	SIS Control	$3.2 \pm 0.2$	
6.3	0.40 ± 0.02	6.3	$4.0 \pm 0.3$	
12.5	0.38 ± 0.02	12.5	$3.2 \pm 0.5$	
25	0.26 ± 0.03 *	25	2.2 = 0.7**	
50	0.25 _ 0.01 *	50	2.1 _ 0.2**	
100	0.20 ± 0.02 *	100	1.9 ± 0.4**	
7 day IC10 = 15.0 (11.2-1 7 day IC50 = >100% NOEC = 12.5% LOEC = 25%	7.5}%	7 day IC10 = 11.6 (7.9-18.3)% 7 day IC50 = >100% NOEC = 12.5% LOEC = 25%		

\*Significantly lower specific growth rate compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1 tailed, P=0.05)
\*'Significantly lower dry weight compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1 tailed, P=0.05)

Sample 3801: Davis Stre	et Crossing L.R	Sample 3801: Davis Street Crossing L.R		
Concentration (%)	Specific Growth Rate (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Dry Weight, mg (Mean ± SD)	
SIS Control	$0.39 \pm 0.02$	SIS Control	3.2 ± 0.2	
6.3	0.40 = 0.01	6.3	$3.5 \pm 0.4$	
12.5	0.40 ± 0.01	12.5	5.0 ± 0.8	
25	0.38 ± 0.00	25	4.1 = 0.4	
50	0.36 ± 0.02 *	50	4.6 ± 0.8	
100	$0.18 \pm 0.02$ *	100	3.0 ± 0.7	
7 day IC10 = 50.1 (28.6-	56.7)%	7 day IC10 = 68.7%**		
7 day IC50 = 95.3%**		7 day IC50 = >100%		
NOEC = 25%		NOEC = 100%		
LOEC = 50%		LOEC = >100%		

\*Semifrently Inwar specific arowth rate compared with the SIS Control (Dunnet's Test, 1.1eled, P=0.05)

#### Table A27 (continue)



## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/5

#### (page 3 of 3)

Sample 3802: Moonderr Concentration (%)	e Crossing L.R Specific Growth Rate (Mean ± SD)	Sample 3802: Moondarra Concentration (%)	Crossing L.R Dry Weight, mg (Mean ± SD)		
DMW control	0.39 ± 0.02	DMW control	3.2 ± 0.2		
6.3	0.41 ± 0.01	6,3	3.8 ± 0.3		
12.5	0.39 ± 0.01	12.5	4.0 = 0.8		
25	0.36 - 0.04	25	$3.1 \pm 0.4$		
50	0.25 = 0.01 *	50	1.9 = 0.5**		
100	0.22 ± 0.04 *	100	$1.5 \pm 0.5^{**}$		
7 day IC10 = 25.6 (14.6-34.4)% 7 day IC50 = >100% NOEC = 25% LOEC = 50%		7 day IC10 = 20.7 (11.5-3) 7 day IC50 = 59.3%*** NOEC = 25% LOEC = 50%	2.5)%		

\*Significantly lower specific growth rate compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) \*\*Significantly lower dry weight compared with the SIS Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) \*\*\* 95% contidence timits are not available

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control frond doubling time	<2.5 days	1.7 days	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	110.9-796.7µg Cu/L*	225.0µg Cu/L	Yes

\* Cusum chart limits are calculated using limited amount of data

## Table A28 Toxicity test reports on 96-hr fish Imbalance Melanotaenia splendida for water samples collected by CMLR (13 October 2009)

#### Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/6

#### (page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client: Attention: Client Ref:	University of Quer Centre for Mined L Brisbann QLD 407 Barry Noller Not supplied	nsland and Rehabilitation 2	ESA Job # Date Samp Date Recei Sampled B ESA Quote	PR05 fed: 13 00 ved: 14 00 y: Client #: PL05	35 tober 2009 t t 35 q01		
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Der	scription:	Contractor of The Association	a tatal anna anna		
0.7.50	20 Avenue L.R.	<2 0mail * 3	Sample, pri o.2, con. Sample received a	t 20 0°C in annarei	n, total ammonia		
3799	Isa Street Crossin	a Aqueous sa	mpte. pH 8.3. cond	luctivity 4190uS/cn	n, total ammonia		
	LR	<2.0mg/L*.3	Sample received a	t 20.0°C in apparen	nt good condition.		
3800	Alma Street Cross	ing Aqueous sa	mple, pH 8.6, cond	tuctivity 4520µS/cn	n, total ammonia		
	LR	<2.0mg/L*. \$	Sample received a	20.0°C in apparei	nt good condition.		
3801	Lavis Street Crost	sing Aqueous sa	Aqueous sample, pH 8.2, conductivity 5740µS/cm, total ammonia				
3802	Moondarra Crossi	Adueous sa	mple, pH 8.7, con	ductivity 5760uS/d	m, total ammoni		
	L.R.	<2.0mg/L*.	Sample received a	1 20.0°C in apparei	nt good condition.		
Test Protocol: Deviations from Comments on Source of Test Test Initiated:	n Protocol: Solution Preparati Organisms:	ESA SOP 1 Samples 'Al were tested on: The sample to achieve concurrently Hatchery re. 4 December	17 (ESA 2009), ba ma Street Crossin in triplicate due to s were serially difu the test concentr. with the samples. ared. Ausyfish QL0 2009 at 1300h	sed on USEPA (20 g L.R' and 'Moonda a shortage of sam ted with dilute min ations. A DMW c p	02) trra Crossing L.R ple volume. leral water (DMW ontrol was tester		
Sample 3798	N <sup>10</sup> Avenue I R	Sample 3799 /se	s Street Crossinn	Samula 3800 A	ima Straiet		
and the second second	9 (119/08-10)	LR	aver a stand	Crossing L.R			
Control	% Un-affected	Concentration	% Un-affected	Concentration	% Un-affected		
Treatment	(Mean ± SD)	(%)	(Mean ± SD)	(%)	(Mean ± SD)		
DMW Control	100 ± 0.0	DWW Control	100 = 0.0	DMW Control	100 ± 0.0		
12.5	100 - 00	12.5	100 = 0.0	12.5	100 1 0.0		
25	100 . 0.0	25	100 0.0	25	100 1 0.0		
50	100 - 0.0	50	100 - 0.0	60	100 + 0.0		
100	100 - 0.0	100	100 - 0.0	100	100 = 0.0		
96-hr EC10 = >	100%	96-hr EC10 =>1	00%	96-hr EC10 = >1	100%		
96-hr EC50 = >	100%	96-hr EC50 = >1	00%	96-hr EC50 = >1	00%		

NOEC = 100%

LOEC = >100%

NOEC = 100%

LOEC = >100%

NOEC = 100%

LOEC = >100%

#### Table A28 (continue)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/6

## (page 2 of 2)

Sample 3801: Da Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	ivis Stri % Ur (Me	eet 1-af	fected ± SD)	Sample 3802: Mo Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	oondan % Ui (Me	a n-ai an	fected ± SD)	Vacant	
DMW Control	100	1	0.0	DMW Control	100	1	0.0		
6.3	100	±	0.0	6.3	100	±:	0.0		
12.5	100	+	0.0	12.5	100	+	0.0		
25	100	÷	0.0	25	100	÷	0.0		
50	100	z	0.0	50	100	=	0.0		
100	100	±	0.0	100	100	±	0.0		
96-hr EC10 = >1 96-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%			96-hr EC10 = >1 96-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%				

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean % un-affected	>80.0%	100%	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	1.5-917.7µg Cu/L	44.2µg Cu/L	Yes

## Table A29 Toxicity test reports on 72-hr Selenastrum capricornutum for water samples collected at Riffle Creek (28 July 2010)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/3

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	ESA Job #:	PR0631	
	University Of Queensland	Date Sampled:	28 July 2010	
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	30 July 2010	
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client	
Client Ref:	None Supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0631_g01	

Lab ID No .:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:
4265	Riffle Creek Dam	Aqueous s ample, pH 8.5, conductivity 4370µS/cm, t otal a mmonia <2.0mg/L* Sample received at 16°C in apparent good condition

\*Ammonia analysis is not covered by Ecotox Services Australasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	72-hr microalgal growth inhibition test using the green alga Selenastrum capricomutum
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 103 (ESA 2010), based on USEPA (2002)
Test Temperature:	The test was performed at 25±1°C.
Deviations from Protocol:	Nil
Comments on Solution	The sample was serially diluted with USEPA media. A diluent control
Preparation:	(USEPA media) was tested concurrently with the sample
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Labor atory c ulture, or iginally s ourced from C SIRO M icroalgal
	Supply Service, TAS
Test Initiated:	30 July 2010 at 1400h

Sample 4265, Ri Concentration (%)	file Creek Dam Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Vacant	Vacant
Diluent Control 6.3 12.5 25 50 100	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		
72-hr IC10 = 55. 72-hr IC50 = 78. NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%	5 (47.9-57.1)% 2 (71.2-85.6)%		

\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

Table A30 Toxicity test reports on 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia for water samples collected<br/>at Riffle Creek Dam (28 July 2010)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/1

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	ESA Job #:	PR0631
	University Of Queensland	Date Sampled:	28 July 2010
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	30 July 2010
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Client Ref:	None Supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0631 g01

Lab ID No .:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:
4265	Riffle Creek Dam	Aqueous sample, pH 8.5, conductivity 43.70µS/cm, total ammonia <2.0mg/L*. Sample received at 16°C in apparent good condition
*Ammonia analy	sis is not covered by Eco	tox Services Australasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	48-hr a cute (survival) t oxicity t est u sing t he f reshwater c ladoceran Ceriodaphria of dubia
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101 (ESA 2009), based on USEPA (2002) and Bailey et al. (2000)
Test Temperature:	The test was performed at 25±1°C.
Deviations from Protocol:	Nil
Comments on Solution	The sample 4265 Riffle Creek Dam' was serially diluted with Dilute
Preparation:	Mineral Water (DMW) to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the sample.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	30 July 2010 at 1130h

Sample 4265: <i>Ril</i> Concentration (%)	ffle Cree % Su (Me	ek Da urviv 48 h an ±	am alat SD)	Vacant	Vacant
DMW Control	100	± (	0.0		
6.3	100	= 0	0.0		
12.5	100	2 (	0.0	11	
25	100	± (	0.0		
50	100	± (	0.0		
100	100	± 0	0.0		
48-hr IC10 = >10 48-hr IC50 = >10 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	0% 0%				

# Table A31 Toxicity test reports on 7-day partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity test using the freshwater<br/>cladoceran Ceriodaphnia cf dubia for water samples collected at Riffle Creek Dam (28<br/>July 2010)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/2

Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation University Of Queensland	ESA Job #: Date Sampled:	PR0631 28 July 2010	Ĩ
11-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-12-1	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	30 July 2010	
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client	1
Client Ref:	None Supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0631_q01	
Lab ID No :	Sample Name: Sample Descript	00.		_
1005	Diffe Creak Derry American	all 0.5 see downing to	A TONO (ARA LATAL ARADA	and a

 4265 Riffle Creek Dam Aqueous sample, pH 8.5, conductivity 43 70µS/cm, total ammonia <2.0mg/L\*. Sample received at 16°C in apparent good condition
 \*Ammonia analysis is not covered by Ecolox Services Australiasia's scope of accreditation

Test Performed:	7-day par tial I ife-cycle ( chronic) t oxicity t est us ing t he f reshwater cladoceran Ceriodaphnia cf dubia
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 102 (ESA 2010), based on USEPA (2002) and Bailey et al. (2000)
Test Temperature:	The test was performed at 25±1°C.
Deviations from Protocol:	Nil
Comments on Solution	The sample 4265 'Riffle Creek Dam' was serially diluted with Dilute
Preparation:	Mineral Water (DMW) to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the sample.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	3 August 2010 at 1530h

Sample 4265 Riffle Cree	sk Dam	Sample 4265: Riffle	Creek Dam	
Concentration (%)	% Survival at 7 days (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Numb (M	er of Young ean ± SD)
DMW Control	90.0 ± 31.6	DMW Control	15.8	± 6.9
6.3	90.0 ± 31.6	6.3	16.9	± 6.5
12.5	$100 \pm 0.0$	12.5	18.8	± 2.5
25	$100 \pm 0.0$	25	23.9	± 1.9
50	100 ± 0.0	50	25.0	± 1.4
100	$100 \pm 0.0$	100	21.9	± 42
7 day EC10 (survival) = 7 day EC50 (survival) = NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	>100% >100%	7 day IC10 (reprod 7 day IC50 (reprod NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	uction) = >100% uction) = >100%	
QA/QC Parameter Control mean % survival Control mean number of	young	Criterion >80.0% >15.0	This Test 90.0% 15.8	Criterion met? Yes Yes

234.8mg KCI/L

85.0-444.0mg KCI/L

Yes

## Table A32 Toxicity test reports on 7-day Growth inhibition of the freshwater aquatic duckweed *Lemna Disperma* for water samples collected at Riffle Creek (28 July 2010)



## Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/4

## (page 1 of 3)

Client: Attention: Client Ref:	Centre for Mined University Of Que Brisbane QLD 40 Barry Noller None Supplied	Land Rehabilitation rensland 72	ESA Job #: Date Sampled: Date Received: Sampled By: ESA Quote #:	PR0631 28 July 2010 30 July 2010 Client PL0631_q01
Lab ID No.: 4265	Sample Name: Riffic Creek Dam	Sample Name: Sample Description: Riffic Creek Dam Aqueous sample, pH 8.5, conductivity <2.0mg/L. Sample received at 16°C in a		13 70µS/cm, total ammonia arent good condition
Test Performed Test Protocol: Test Temperatu Deviations from Comments on 1 Preparation: Source of Test Test Initiated:	l: n Protocol: Solution Organisms:	7-day G rowth i nhil disperma ESA SOP 112 (ES/ The test was perfor Nil The sample 4265 standard medium control was tested o ESA Laboratory cu 2 August 2010 at 1	bition of the freshwate A 2010), based on OEC rmed at 25±2°C, Riffle Creek Dam' was ( SIS) to a chleve the sa loncurrently with the sa lture 400h	r aquatic duckweed Lemna D method 221 (2006) serially diluted with Swedish t est c oncentrations. A S IS mple.
Sample 4265: R Concentration (%)	fifie Creek Dam Specific Growth Rate (Mean ± SD)	Vacant	Vacan	2
Diluent Control	0.3 ± 0.0			
6.1	$0.3 \pm 0.0$			
12.1	$0.2 \pm 0.1$			
24.2	0.2 ± 0.1 *			
48.4	$0.2 \pm 0.0$			
96.8	0.2 ± 0.0 *			
7 day IC10 = 8.	9 (5.3-19.6)%			

LOEC = 24.2%
"Significantly lower specific growth rate compared with the Diluent Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

7 day IC50 = >96.8% NOEC = 12.1%

## Table A33 Toxicity test reports on 96-hr fish imbalance toxicity test using the rainbow fish Melanotaenia splendida for water samples collected at Riffle Creek Dam (28 July 2010)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/5

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client: Attention: Client Ref:	Centre for Mined L University Of Quee Brisbane QLD 407; Barry Noller None Supplied	and Rehabilitation nsland 2	ESA Job #: Date Sampled: Date Received: Sampled By: ESA Quote #:	PR0631 28 July 2010 30 July 2010 Client PL0631_q01
Lab ID No.: 4265	Sample Name: Riffle Creek Dam	Sample Description Aqueous sample, <2.0mg/L*, Sample	pH 8.5, conductivity 4 received at 16°C in ap	13 70µS/cm, total ammonia parent good condition
*Ammonia analysis is not covered by Ec Test Performed: Test Protocol: Test Temperature: Deviations from Protocol: Comments on Solution Preparation: Source of Test Organisms: Test Initiated:		96-hr fish imbalanc splendida ESA SOP 117 (ES/ The test was perfor Nil The sample was s achieve t he t est concurrently with th In-house cultures 17 August 2010 at	e toxicity test using the A 2009), based on USE med at 25±1°C. erially di luted with dilu concentrations. A lue sample 1500h	rainbowfish <i>Melanotaenia</i> PA (2002) Ite mineral water (DMW) to DMW control was tested
Sample 4265. R Concentration (%) DMW Control 6.3 12.5 25 50 100	Ime Creek Dam           % Un-affected           (Mean ± SD)           100 ± 0.0           100 ± 0.0           75.0 ± 37.9           85.0 ± 30.0           100 ± 0.0           100 ± 0.0	Vacant	Vacan	t
96-hr IC10 = >10 96-hr IC50 = >10 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%			

Sampling	Toxicity Test Site		Site ID	GPS coordinates		
date/team		description		х	У	
4 September	- 48hr Survival <i>Cerio daphnia</i> cf	LR - upstream (background)	L1	343416	7700904	
2007 CMLR	dubia elutrial - 10day whole sediment <i>corophium</i> sp	LR - Between Isa Street Crossing and Grace Street Bridge	L7	342523	7707217	
		LR - Downstream/East of Velodrome	L9	342676	7708051	
		LR - Pipe exit	L12	342357	7707643	
		LR - Fluvial downstream (Moondarra)	L15	343454	7713760	
		LR - Downstream of Lake Moondarra (Leichhardt River)	L16	353578	7723640	
7 October 2009	- 48hr Survival <i>Cerio daphnia</i> cf	Davis Crossing	DC_T_1-36073	343003	7710027	
Xstrata	dubia	Stinky Creek	SC-J_T_01- 36077	343106	7709719	
		Alma Crossing	AC_T_1-36081	342838	7708662	
13 October	- 48hr Survival	23 Avenue	23 ave	348163	7720710	
2009	Cerio daphnia cf	Isa Crossing	ISA	347853	7720956	
CMLR	dubia	Alma Crossing	ALM	344837	7716299	
	- 72-hr Inhibition	Davis Crossing	DC	346299	7718882	
	Selenestrum capricornutum	Moondarra Crossing	MC	344618	7715647	
<b>28</b> July 2010	-48-hr acute (survival) <i>Ceriodaphnia cf dubia</i> -72-hr microalgal growth inhibition test using the green alga <i>Selenastrum</i> <i>capricornutum</i>	Riffle creek dam		354742	7681168	

Table A35 Toxicity test reports on 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia elutrial of sediment samples collected on 4 September 2007 by CMLR





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0326/1

## (page 1 of 2)

Client:	Centre for Mined	Land Rehabilitation	ESA Job #:	PR032	6	
Attention:	of L QLD E Dr Barry Noller	Jniversity Brisbane	Date Sampl Date Receiv Sampled By	ed: 4 Septe red: 28 Sep r: Client	ember 2007 tember 2007	
Contract #:	n/a		Quote #:	PL0326	PL0326_q01	
Lah ID No.:	Sample Name: Sa	mole	De	serintion:		
2366 L1		The second se	Dry sedime	nt		
2367 L7			Dry sedime	nt		
2368 L9			Dry sedime	nt		
2369 L12			Dry sedime	nt		
2370 L15			Dry sedime	nt		
2371 L16			Dry sedime	nt		
Test Performed:		48-hr acute (survi	val) toxicity test u	sing the freshwater of	cladoceran	
Test Protocol:		ESA SOP 101. ba	sed on USEPA (	1993)		
Deviations from Protocol: Source of Test Organisms:		Tests were condu according to the L mixed with dilution for 2h prior to pre- organisms. ESA Laboratory c	cted on elutriate IS EPA procedur water at a ration paration of dilution	prepared from the dr e (US EPA 1991), wi n of 1:4, stirred and a n series and seeding	y sediment here sediment is illowed to settle with test	
Test Initiated:		24 October 2007	at 1700h			
Sample 2366: L	1 N.S. i.i.	Sample 2367: L7	6 C	Sample 2368: L9	A . C	
Concentration (%)	(at 48 hr)	(%)	(at 48 hr)	Concentration (%)	(at 48 hr)	
0 (control)	100 = 0.0	0 (control)	100 = 0.0	0 (control)	100 = 0.0	
6.25	100 = 0.0	6.25	$100 \pm 0.0$	6.25	0.0 = 0.0	
12.5	100 = 0.0	12.5	100 ± 0.0	12.5	0.0 ± 0.0	
25	100 ± 0.0	0 25 100 = 0.0		25	0.0 = 0.0	
50	$100 \pm 0.0$	50	100 = 0.0	50	0.0 = 0.0	
100	100 = 0.0	100	100 = 0.0	100	0.0 = 0.0	
48 hr EC50 = >1	00%	48 hr EC50 = >10	30.0	48 hr EC50 = <6.2	5%	
NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%		NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%		NOEC = <6.25% LOEC = 6.25%	an are	

#### Table A35 (continue)





(page 2 of 2)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0326/1

#### Sample 2369: 1.12 Sample 2370: L15 Sample 2371; L16 <sup>0</sup>6 Survival % Survival oo Survival Concentration Concentration Concentration (%) (at 48 hr) ("a) (at 48 hr) (%0) (at 48 hr) 0 (control) 100 - 0.0 0 (control) 100 0.0 0 (control) $100 \pm 0.0$ 6.25 6.25 6.25 $0.0 \pm 0.0$ $100 \pm 0.0$ 100 = 0.012.5 $0.0 \pm 0.0$ 12.5 100 + 0.0 12.5 100 = 0.0 25 25 25 100 = 0.00.0 = 0.0 $100 \pm 0.0$ 50 50 $0.0 \pm 0.0$ 100 - 0.0 50 100 - 0.0 100 100 100 $0.0 \pm 0.0$ 100 = 0.0 $100 \pm 0.0$ 48 hr EC50 = >100% 48 hr EC50 = >100% 48 hr EC50 = <6.25% NOEC = <6.25% NOEC = 100% NOEC = 100% LOEC = 6.25% LOEC = >100% LOEC = >100%

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control minimum % survival	>70 %	100%	Yes
Test Temperature limits	25.0 = 1 °C	25.0°C Yes	
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	137,0-252.7mg/L 2	12.1mg/L	Yes

Test Report Authorised by:

Fillim:

Dr Rick Krassol, Director on 29 November 2007

Results are based on the samples in the condition as received by ESA

NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 14709

The tests, calibrations or methods covered by this document have been performed in accordance with NATA requirements which include the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025 and are traceable to Australian national standards of measurement. This document shall not be reproduced except in full.

Table A36 Toxicity test reports on 10day whole sediment survival toxicity test using estuarine amphipod Corophium spp of sediment samples collected on 4 September 2007 by CMLR



### Toxicity Test Report: TR0326/2

#### (page 1 of 1)

Client:	Centre for Mined	Land Rehabilitation	ESA Job #:	PR0326
	OID B	niversity	Date Sampled: Date Received:	4 September 2007 28 September 2007
Attention:	Dr Barry Noller	156dillo	Sampled By:	Client
Contract #:	n/a		Quote #:	PL0326_q01
Lab ID No.:	Sample Name: Sar	nple	Description	a.
2366 L1	-30119-8020 803-01-5-2-	(Inter-	Dry sediment	
2367 L7			Dry sediment	
2368 L9			Dry sediment	
2369 L12			Dry sediment	
2370 L15			Dry sediment	
2371 L16			Dry sediment	
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated;	Protocol: rganisms;	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	of on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2371 Sample	Protocol: rganisms; ! %o Survival	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from I Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2371 Sample	Protocol: rganisms; % Survival (at 10 Days)	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	ad on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2566-2371 Sample Control	Protocol: rganisms; % Survival (at 10 Days) 92.5 = 5.0	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	od on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2371 Sample Control L1	Protocol: rganisms; % Survival (at 10 Days) 92.5 = 5.0 75.0 = 12.9	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	of on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from I Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2371 Sample Control L1 L7	Protocol: rganisms: *** Survival (at 10 Days) 92.5 = 5.0 75.0 = 12.9 90.0 = 8.2	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	Visemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from I Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2371 Sample Control L1 L7 L9	Protocol: rganisms: ***********************************	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	Visemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2373 Sample Control L1 L7 L9 L12	Protocol: rganisms; * ** Survival (at 10 Days) 92.5 = 5.0 75.0 = 12.9 90.0 = 8.2 0.0 = 0.0 0.0 = 0.0	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	ed on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2566-2373 Sample Control L1 L7 L9 L12 L12 L15	Protocol: rganisms; * * * Survival (at 10 Days) 92.5 = 5.0 75.0 = 12.9 90.0 = 8.2 0.0 = 0.0 0.0 = 0.0 82.5 = 15.0	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	of on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from 1 Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2377 Sample Control L1 L7 L9 L12 L15 L16	Protocol: rganisms;	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	ad on USEPA (1996) Wisemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007
Test Protocol: Deviations from I Source of Test Or Test Initiated: Sample 2366-2371 Sample Control L1 L7 L9 L12 L15 L16	Protocol: rganisms: *** Survival (at 10 Days) 92.5 = 5.0 75.0 = 12.9 90.0 = 8.2 0.0 = 0.0 0.0 = 0.0 82.5 = 15.0 90.0 = 0.0	ESA SOP 109, base Nil Field collected from 30 October 2007 at	Visemans Ferry on 22 1300h	October 2007

 QA/QC Parameter
 Criterion
 This Test
 Criterion met?

 Control minimum % survival
 >70 %
 92.5%
 Yes

 Test Temperature limits
 20.0 = 1 °C
 19.5-20.5°C Yes

 Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits
 125-3189µg/L 488µg/L
 Yes

12 Van-

Dr Rick Krassoi, Director on 29 November 2007

Results are based on the samples in the condition as received by ESA This document shall not be reproduced except in full

Test Report Authorised by:

## Table A37 Toxicity test reports on 48-hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia sediment samples collected on 7 October 2009 by CMLR October 2009 by CMLR

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0505/2

## (page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements.

Mt Isa QLD Attention: Alex Sexton Client Ref: Not supplied Lab ID No.: Sample Nam 3785 DC_T_1S_36 3786 SC_J_T_1S-3 3787 AC_T_1S-360 "Ammonia analysis is not covered	1825	Date Received: Sampled By:	8 October 2009			
Attention:         Alex Sexton           Client Ref:         Not supplied           Lab ID No.:         Sample Nam           3785         DC_T_1S_36           3786         SC_J_T_1S_3           3787         AC_T_1S_366           *Ammonia analysis is not covered		Sampled By:	Chent			
Client Ref:         Not supplied           Lab ID No.:         Sample Nam           3785         DC_T_1S_36           3786         SC_J_T_1S_3           3787         AC_T_1S_360           *Ammonia analysis is not covered			CARGE H			
Lab ID No.:         Sample Nam           3785         DC_T_1S_36           3786         SC_J_T_1S_3           3787         AC_T_1S_360           *Ammonia analysis is not covered		ESA Quote #:	PL0505_q03			
3785         DC_T_1S_36           3786         SC_J_T_1S-3           3787         AC_T_1S-360           *Ammonia analysis is not covered	Sample Descrip	otion:				
3786         SC_J_T_IS-3           3787         AC_T_IS-360           *Ammonia analysis is not covered	174 Sediment grab.	Sample received chilled in a	apparent good condition.			
3787 AC_T_1S-360 *Ammonia analysis is not covered	3078 Sediment grab !	Sample received chilled in	apparent good condition			
*Ammonia analysis is not coveres	82 Sediment grab	Sediment grab. Sample received chilled in apparent good condition				
	by Ecotox Services Australia	asia's scope of accreditation				
Test Performed:	48-hr acute (su	irvival) toxicity test using	the freshwater cladoceran			
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101 ( (2000)	ESA 2008), based on USE	PA (2002) and Bailey et al.			
Deviations from Protocol:						

Comments on Solution Preparation:	Sediment elutriates were prepared according to USEPA (1991). One hundred millilitres of sediment was mixed with 400 mL dilute mineral water (DMW) and manually shaken vigorously for 1 minute. The mixture was left to settle for 10 minutes and then shaken again for 1 minute. This process was repeated to achieve a total of 3 shaking times. The mixture was allowed to settle for 1 h before the supernatant was carefully siphoned off without disturbing the sediment. Total ammonia and sulphide concentrations for all of the prepared elutriates were below the detection limits of 2.0mg/L and 0.10mg/L, respectively. The sediment elutriates were serially diluted with DMW to achieve the
Source of Test Organisms:	The sediment elutriates were serially diluted with DMW to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the samples.
Test Initiated:	ESA Laboratory culture 20 October 2009 at 1730b

Sample 3785. <i>DC_T_1S_36074</i> Concentration % Survival at (%) 48 hr (Mean ± SD)		Sample 3786: SC_J_T_1S-36078 Concentration % Survival at (%) 48 hr (Mean ± SD)			Sample 3787. AC_T_1S-36082 Concentration % Survival at (%) 48 hr (Mean ± SD)						
DMW Control	100	±	0.0	DMW Control	100	#	0.0	DMW Control	100	÷	0.0
6.3	100	法门	0.0	6.3	100	+	0.0	6.3	100	秦	0.0
12.5	100	2	0.0	12.5	100	.±	0.0	12.5	100	4	0.0
25	100	+	0.0	25	95.0	±	10.0	25	100	÷	0.0
50	100	±	0.0	50	100	±	0.0	50	100	±	0.0
100	100	±	0.0	100	100	±	0.0	100	100	±	0.0
48 hr EC10 = >100%         48 hr EC10 = >100%         48 h           48 hr EC50 = 100%         48 hr EC50 = >100%         48 h           NOEC = 100%         NOEC = 100%         NOE           LOEC = >100%         LOEC = >100%         LOEC		48 hr EC10 = >10 48 hr EC50 = >10 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%								

## Table A38 Toxicity test reports on 48-hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia sediment samples collected on 13 October 2009 by CMLR

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/8

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	University of Queensland	ESA Job #:	PR0535
- Inclusion	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	Date Sampled:	19 October 2009
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	20 October 2009
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Client Ref:	Not supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0535 g01

Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:	
3828	23" Avenue L.R	Sediment grab received in apparent good condition.	
3829	Isa Street Crossing L.R	Sediment grab received in apparent good condition.	
3830	Alma Street Crossing L.R	Sediment grab received in apparent good condition.	
3831	Davis Street Crossing L.R	Sediment grab received in apparent good condition.	
3832	Moondarra Crossing L.R	Sediment grab received in apparent good condition.	

Test Performed:	48-hr acute (survival) toxicity test using the freshwater cladoceran
	Cerlodaphnia cf dubia
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101 (ESA 2008), based on USEPA (2002) and Bailey et al. (2000)
Deviations from Protocol:	NII
Comments on Solution Preparation:	Sediment elutriates were prepared according to USEPA (1991). One hundred millilitres of sediment was mixed with 400 mL dilute mineral water (DMW) and manually shaken vigorously for 1 minute. The mixture was left to settle for 10 minutes and then shaken again for 1 minute. This process was repeated to achieve a total of 3 shaking times. The mixture was allowed to settle for 1 h before the supernatant was carefully siphoned off without disturbing the sediment. The 'Alma Street Crossing L.R' sample was centrifuged prior to testing to remove suspended particles.
	The sediment elutriates were serially diluted with DMW to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the
	samples.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	28 October 2009 at 1700h

Sample 3828: 23 <sup>rb</sup> Avenue L.R		Sample 3829: Is L.R	a Street Crossing	Sample 3830: Alma Street Crossing L.R		
Concentration (%)	% Survival a 48 h (Mean ± SD	(%) Concentration	% Survival at 48 h (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	% Survival at 48 h (Mean ± SD)	
DMW Control	100 ± 0.0	DMW Control	100 = 0.0	DMW Control	100 ± 0.0	
6.3	100 ± 0.0	6.3	$100 \pm 0.0$	6.3	$100 \pm 0.0$	
12.5	95.0 ± 10.0	12.5	100 ± 0.0	12.5	100 ± 0.0	
25	100 ± 0.0	25	95.0 ± 10.0	25	100 ± 0.0	
50	$100 \pm 0.0$	50	100 = 0.0	50	100 ± 0.0	
100	95.0 ± 10.0	100	100 ± 0.0	100	100 ± 0.0	
48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%	48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	100% 180%	48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%	

#### Table A38 (continue)





## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/8

(page 2 of 2)

Sample 3831: Da Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	vis Str % Si (Me	eet urv 48 an	ival at h ± SD)	Sample 3832: Mo Crossing L.R Concentration (%)	ondari %. S (Me	a urvi 48 an	ival at h ± SD)	Vacant	
DMW Control	100	±	0.0	DMW Control	100	÷	0.0		i i
6.3	100	4	0.0	6.3	100	4	0.0		
12.5	100	=	0.0	12.5	100		0.0		
25	100	÷.	0.0	25	100	±	0.0		
50	100	±	0.0	50	100	÷	0.0		
100	100	4	0.0	100	100	=	0.0		
48-hr EC10 = >100% 48-hr EC50 = >100% NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%		48-hr EC10 = >1 48-hr EC50 = >1 NOEC = 100% LOEC = >100%	00% 00%						

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean % survival	>90.0%	100%	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	178.5-277.2mg KCI/L	252.3mg KCI/L	Yes

For View :

Test Report Authorised by:

Dr Rick Krassol. Director on 4 January 2010

Results are based on the samples in the condition as received by ESA

#### NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 14709

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. NATA is a signatory to the APLAC mutual recognition arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, calibration and inspection reports. This document shall not be reproduced expect in full.

#### Citations:

- Balley, H.C., Krassol, R., Elphick, J.R., Mulhall, A., Hunt, P., Tedmanson, L. and Lovell, A. (2000) Application of Ceriodaphnia cf. dubia for whole effluent toxicity tests in the Hawkesbury-Nepean watershed. New South Wales, Australia: method development and validation. *Environmental Toxicology* and Chemistry 19:88-93.
- ESA (2008) SOP 101 Acute toxicity test using Cericdaphnia dubia. Issue No. 7. Ecotox Services Australasia. Sydney, New South Wales.
- USEPA (2002) Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms.4<sup>th</sup> Ed. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington DC.

 Table A39 Toxicity test reports on 72-hr microalgal growth inhibition test using the green alga

 Selenastrum capricornutum of sediment samples collected on 7 October 2009 by CMLR

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/7

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client: Attention: Client Ref:	University of Queensland Centre for Mined Land Reha Brisbane QLD 4072 Barry Noller Not supplied	abilitation	PR0535 19 October 2009 20 October 2009 Cilent PL0535_q01		
Lab ID No.: 3628 3629 3830 3831 3832	Sample Name: 23 <sup>th</sup> Avenue L.R Isa Street Crossing L.R Alma Street Crossing L.R Davis Street Crossing L.R Moondarra Crossing L.R	Sample D Sediment Sediment Sediment Sediment Sediment	e Description: ent grab received in apparent good condition, ent grab received in apparent good condition, sat grab received in apparent good condition, ent grab received in apparent good condition, ent grab received in apparent good condition.		
Test Performed: Test Protocol: Deviations from Protocol: Comments on Solution Preparation: Source of Test Organisms:		72-hr mici Selenastro ESA SOP Nil Sediment (1991). O 400 mL U minute. Th shaken ay achieve a to settle fo off without centrifuge The sedir media to (USEPA n ESA Lab Microalgal	roalgal growth Inhibition im capriconnutum 103 (ESA 2009), based elutriates were prepri- ne hundred millilitres of SEPA media and manu- emixture was left to se gain for 1 minute. This total of 3 shaking time in 1 h before the superni- t disturbing the sediment disturbing the sediment of prior to testing to remo- nent elutriates were s achieve the test conce- nedia) was tested concu- oratory culture, origin Supply Service, TAS	in test using the green alga i on USEPA (2002) ared according to USEPA of sediment was mixed with nally shaken vigorously for 1 etitle for 10 minutes and then is process was repeated to is. The mixture was allowed atant was carefully siphoned int. Sediment elutriates were ove suspended particles. erially diluted with USEPA entrations. A Diluent control urrently with the samples. ally sourced from CSIRO	

Sample 3828: 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue L.R		Sample 3829: /si	a Street Crossing	Sample 3830: Alma Street Crossing L R		
Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	
Diluent Control	132,2 ± 18.9	Diluent Control	132.2 = 18.9	Diluent Control	132.2 = 18.9	
Colour Control	72.4 ± 8.2**	Colour Control	65.6 ± 9.6**	Colour Control	74.7 ± 23.0**	
6.3	97:5 ± 23.4	6,3	119.0 = 18.5	6.3	96.7 ± 22.8 *	
12.5	$95.3 \pm 17.2$	12.5	$118.3 \pm 16.1$	12.5	89.0 ± 17.4 *	
25	92.0 ± 27.0 *	25	49.8 = 9.3 *	25	61.5 = 9.9 *	
50	102.7 = 29.2	50	8.0 ± 5.7 *	50	19.2 ± 8.0 *	
100	76.3 = 18.0 *	100	$4.2 \pm 4.0$ *	100	8.4 ± 9.2 *	
72-hr IC10 = 2.4 (1.4-8.0)% 72-hr IC50 = >100% NOEC = 50% LOEC = 100%		72-hr IC10 = 6.5 72-hr IC50 = 22,0 NOEC = 12.5% LOEC = 25%	(1.3-19.6)% 0 (18.9-25.1)%	72-hr IC10 = 2.3 72-hr IC50 = 22.0 NOEC = <6.3% LOEC = 6.3%	(1.2-10.2)% 9 (14.4-32.8)%	

\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) \*\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Bonterron) (Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)
#### Table A39 (continue)

## Toxicity Test Report: TR0535/7

## (page 2 of 2)

Sample 3831: Davis Street		Sample 3832: Mo	oondarra	Vacant	
Concentration (%)	Cell Yield $x10^4$ cells/mL (Mean $\pm$ SD)	Concentration (%)	Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)		
Diluent Control	132.2 ± 18.9	Diluent Control	132.2 ± 18.9		
Colour Control	81.6 ± 6.8**	Colour Control	82.2 ± 18.4**		
6.3	139.2 ± 10.2	6.3	96.0 ± 7.5 *		
12.5	138.3 ± 17.6	12.5	100.3 ± 16.7 *		
25	162.5 ± 13.6	25	$113.4 \pm 30.4$		
50	161.6 ± 7.2	50	91.7 ± 20.1 *		
100	210.0 ± 18.4	100	44.4 = 4.6 *		
72-hr IC10 = >100%		72-hr IC10 = 2.9	(1.4-38.8)%		
72-hr IC50 = >100%		72-hr IC50 = 77.	1 (50.8-93.7)%		
NOEC = 100%	N/#3	NOEC = 25%			
LOEC = >100%		LOEC = 50%			

\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05) \*\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Bonferroni t Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

QA/QC Parameter	Criterion	This Test	Criterion met?
Control mean cell density	>16.0x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL	133.2x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL	Yes
Control coefficient of variation	<20%	14.3%	Yes
Reference Toxicant within cusum chart limits	0.9-4.3g KCI/L	1.5g KCI/L	Yes

F. C. Vano:

Dr Rick Krassol, Director on 4 January 2010.

Results are based on the samples in the condition as received by ESA

#### NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 14709

Test Report Authorised by:

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements. Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025. NATA is a signatory to the APLAC mutual recognition arrangement for the mutual recognition of the equivalence of testing, calibration and inspection reports. This document shall not be reproduced expect in full.

#### Citations:

- ESA (2009) ESA SOP 103 Green Alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, Growth Test. Issue No 4, Ecotox Services Australasia, Sydney, NSW.
- USEPA (2002) Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. Fourth Edition. EPA-821-R-02-013. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Washington DC, USA.

 Table A40 Toxicity test reports 48hr Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia elutrial of sediment samples collected at Riffle Creek Dam(28 July 2010)





# Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/6

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	ESA Job #:	PR0631
	University Of Queensland	Date Sampled:	28 July 2010
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	3 August 2010
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client
Client Ref:	None Supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0631 q01

Lab ID No .:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:
4272	Riffle Creek Dam	Sediment received chilled in apparent good condition

Test Performed:	48-hr a cute (survival) t oxicity t est u sing t he f reshwater c ladoceran
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 101 (ESA 2009), based on USEPA (2002) and Balley et al. (2000)
Test Temperature:	The test was performed at 25±1°C.
Deviations from Protocol:	NII
Comments on Solution	The elutriate was prepared by adding one part sample to four parts
Preparation:	dilute mineral water (DMW). The mixture was shaken vigorously every 10 min, for a period of 1 min, over a 30 min mixing period. After the 30 min mixing period, the mixture was allowed to settle for 1 h before the supernatant was siphoned of f. The el utriate was serially di luted with DMW to achieve the test concentrations. A DMW control was tested concurrently with the olutriate.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Laboratory culture
Test Initiated:	3 August 2010 at 1600h

Sample 4272: <i>Ril</i> Concentration (%)	ffle Cree % SL	ek ( urvi 48 an	Dam Ivalat h ±SD)	Vacant	Vacant	
DMW Control	100	±	0.0			
6.3	100	*	0.0			
12.5	100	÷	0.0			
25	100	=	0.0			
50	100	+	0.0			
100	100	圭	0.0			
48-hr IC10 = >10 48-hr IC50 = >10 NOEC = 100%	0% 0%					

Table A41 Toxicity test reports on 72-hr microalgal growth inhibition test using the green alga *Selenastrum capricornutum* of sediment samples collected at Riffle Creek Dam (28 July 2010)





# Toxicity Test Report: TR0631/7

(page 1 of 2)

This document is issued in accordance with NATA's accreditation requirements

Client:	Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation	ESA Job #:	PR0631	
	University Of Queensland	Date Sampled:	28 July 2010	
	Brisbane QLD 4072	Date Received:	3 August 2010	
Attention:	Barry Noller	Sampled By:	Client	
Client Ref:	None Supplied	ESA Quote #:	PL0631 g01	

Lab ID No.:	Sample Name:	Sample Description:	
4272	Riffle Creek Dam	Sediment received chilled in apparent good condition	

Test Performed:	72-hr microalgal growth inhibition test using the green alga
2 32 3 3	Selenastrum capricomutum
Test Protocol:	ESA SOP 103 (ESA 2010), based on USEPA (2002)
Test Temperature:	The test was performed at 25±1°C.
Deviations from Protocol:	NII
Comments on Solution	The elutriate was prepared by adding one part sample to four parts
Preparation:	USEPA media. The mixture was shaken vigorously every 10 min, for a period of 1 min, over a 30 min mixing period. After the 30 min mixing period, the mixture was allowed to settle for 1 h before the supernatant was siphoned off. The el utriate was centrifuged prior to testing. The elutriate was senally diluted with USEPA media to achieve the test concentrations. A diluent control (USEPA media) and a colour control were tested concurrently with the elutriate.
Source of Test Organisms:	ESA Labor atory c ulture, or iginally s ourced from C SIRO M icroalgal Supply Service, TAS
Test Initiated:	3 August 2010 at 1600h

Sample 4272: <i>Ri</i> Concentration (%)	ffle Creek Dam Cell Yield x10 <sup>4</sup> cells/mL (Mean ± SD)	Vacant	Vacant
Diluent Control	142.2 ± 16.4		
Colour Control	94.7 ± 14.1 *		
6.3	136.9 ± 11.5		
12.5	$145.2 \pm 14.4$		
25	125.7 = 4.4		
50	105.5 = 4.8**		
100	97.9 = 27.4**		
72-hr IC10 = 23. 72-hr IC50 = >10 NOEC = 25% LOEC = 50%	1 (0.0-36.2)% 00%		

\*Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Homoscedastic 1, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

"Significantly lower cell yield compared with the Diluent Control (Dunnett's Test, 1-tailed, P=0.05)

#### Appendix 8.Summary toxicity assessment and metal and metalloid concentrations in water and sediment at 5 sites at Leichhardt River. Samples were collected on 13-16 October 2009 by CMLR

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	91.8%
48h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity <i>cladoceran</i> <i>Ceriodaphnia</i> cf <i>dubia</i> (survival)	8-d EC50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i>	8-d IC50 (reproduction)	>100%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (growth rate)	45.3%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (dry weight)	>100%
96-h fish Imbalance Melanotaenia splendida	96-h EC50	>100%

### Table A42.2 Chemical properties and metal concentrations in water quality

рН		8.6	
EC	(µS/cm)	1500	
Alkalinity	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	277	Trigger values
Sulfate	(mg/L)	152	for 95% fresh
Nitrate	(mg/L)	<0.5	protection
Chloride	(mg/L)	281	level (µg/L)*
DOC	(mg/L)	6.8	
Hardness	(mg/L)	318	
Cadmium	Total	0.1	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	0.1	1.1
Copper	Total	8.6	7.3
(µg/L)	0.45µm	5.1	1.0
Lead (ug/L)	Total	3.3	
(µg, Ľ)	0.45µm	0.06	40
Zinc (µg/L)	Total	16.8	
	0.45µm	13.6	42

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results	
48-h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	100%	
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	100%	

### **Table A42.3 Toxicity Assessment of Sediment**

## Table A42.4 Chemical properties and metal or metalloid concentration in sediment

Metal or Metalloid	Fractions	(mg/kg)	ISQG Low	ISQG high
Antimony (Sb)	1MHCI	<1	2	25
	Total			
Arsenic (As)	1MHCI	3	20	70
	Total			
Cadmium (Cd)	1MHCI	0.5	1.5	10
	Total			
Cobalt (Co)	1MHCI	8.2	NA	NA
	Total			
Copper (Cu)	1MHCI	194	65	270
	Total			
Lead (Pb)	1MHCI	50	50	220
	Total			
Nickel (Ni)	1MHCI	1.9	21	52
	Total			
Zinc (Zn)	1MHCI	42	200	410
	Total			

### Table A42.5 In situ deployment of DGT units in sediment results

Metal	C <sub>DGT</sub> (± SE)	R <sub>diff</sub>	$C_E(\pm SE)$	C <sub>SOL</sub>
	(µg/L)		(μ	g/L)
Cadmium (Cd)	0.005 ± 0.001	0.03	0.2 ± 0.03	0.4
Copper (Cu)	0.15 ± 0.09	0.06	2.5 ± 1.5	3.3
Lead (Pb)	0.025 ± 0.01	0.05	0.5 ± 0.2	0.01
Nickel (Ni)	0.18 ± 0.04	0.06	2.9 ± 0.7	1.6
Zinc (Zn)	3.2 ± 0.9	0.07	47 ± 14	20

- $C_{SOL}$ : The concentrations of metals in 0.45µm filtered pore water. Sediment samples were centrifuged at 1509 g for five min and the pore water was filtered through 0.45µm filter.

-C<sub>E</sub>: Effective concentration of metals. C<sub>E</sub> =  $C_{DGT}/R_{diff}$  where  $R_{diff}$  is calculated using the 2D DIFS model (Section 2.5.6) -  $C_{DGT}$ : Concentration of metals measured by DGT - SE: Standard Error

## Location 2: Isa Crossing, Leichhardt River

## Table A43.1 Toxicity Assessment of Water

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	>100%
48-h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i> (survival)	8-d EC 50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i>	8-d IC 50 (reproduction)	>100%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (growth rate)	>100%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (dry weight)	>100%
96-h fish Imbalance <i>Melanotaenia splendida</i>	96-h EC 50	>100%

## Table A43.2 Chemical properties and metal concentrations in water quality

рН		9.0	
EC	(µS/cm)	3700	
Alkalinity	CaCO₃(mg/L)	292	Trigger values
Sulfate	(mg/L)	710	for 95% fresh
Nitrate	(mg/L)	<0.5	protection
Chloride	(mg/L)	793	level (µg/L)*
DOC	(mg/L)	7.3	
Hardness	(mg/L)	569	
Cadmium	Total	0.4	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	0.2	2
Copper	Total	9.2	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	6.5	13
Lead (ug/L)	Total	2.3	
	0.45µm	0.4	91
Zinc (ug/L)	Total	34.5	
(P3, _)	0.45µm	18.0	72

Toxicity test species	Toxicity test species Unit	
48-h Survival <i>Ceriodaphnia</i> cf dubia	48-h EC50	22%
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	100%

## Table A43 3 Toxicity Assessment of Sediment

### Table A43.4 Chemical properties and metal or metalloid concentration in sediment

Metal or Metalloid	Fractions	(mg/kg)	ISQG Low	ISQG high
Antimony (Sb)	1MHCI	<1	2	25
	Total	<5		
Arsenic (As)	1MHCI	4.3	20	70
	Total	10		
Cadmium (Cd)	1MHCI	4.7	1.5	10
	Total	4		
Cobalt (Co)	1MHCI	8.7	NA	NA
	Total	16		
Copper (Cu)	1MHCI	218	65	270
	Total	390		
Lead (Pb)	1MHCI	82.1	50	220
	Total	89		
Nickel (Ni)	1MHCI	3.6	21	52
	Total	19		
Zinc (Zn)	1MHCI	932	200	410
	Total	947		

### Table A43.5: In situ deployment of DGT units in sediment results

Metal	C <sub>DGT</sub> (± SE)	R <sub>diff</sub>	C <sub>E</sub> (± SE)	C <sub>SOL</sub>
	(µg/L)			(µg/L)
Cadmium (Cd)	0.002 ± 0.001	0.03	0.07 ± 0.03	0.7
Copper (Cu)	0.27 ± 0.2	0.07	4.2 ± 3.1	11.3
Lead (Pb)	0.008 ± 0.001	0.05	0.2 ± 0.02	0.4
Nickel (Ni)	0.29 ± 0.02	0.07	4.5 ± 0.3	5.0
Zinc (Zn)	4.1 ± 0.4	0.05	67.2 ± 0.4	51

-C<sub>SOL</sub>: The concentrations of metals in 0.45µm filtered pore water. Sediment samples were centrifuged at 1509 g for five min and the pore water was filtered through 0.45 $\mu$ m filter. -C<sub>E</sub>: Effective concentration of metals. C<sub>E</sub> = C<sub>DGT</sub>/R<sub>diff</sub> where R<sub>diff</sub> is calculated using the 2D DIFS model (Section 2.5.6)

- C<sub>DGT</sub>: Concentration of metals measured by DGT

- SE: Standard Error

## Location 3: Alma St Crossing, Leichhardt River

## Table A44.1 Toxicity Assessment of Water

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	>100%
48-h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran Ceriodaphia cf dubia (survival)	8-d EC 50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i>	8-d IC 50 (reproduction)	>100%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (growth rate)	>100%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (dry weight)	>100%
96-h fish Imbalance Melanotaenia splendida	96-h EC 50	>100%

## Table A44.2 Chemical properties and metal concentrations in water quality

рН		9	
EC	(µS/cm)	4230	
Alkalinity	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	541	Trigger values
Sulfate	(mg/L)	429	for 95% fresh
Nitrate	(mg/L)	<0.5	protection
Chloride	(mg/L)	1130	level (µg/L)*
DOC	(mg/L)	58	
Hardness	(mg/L)	845	
Cadmium	Total	1.0	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	0.4	2
Copper	Total	28	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	14	13
Lead (ug/L)	Total	19.4	
	0.45µm	1.6	91
Zinc (ua/L)	Total	36.2	
Enio (pg/E)	0.45µm	18.1	72

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
48-h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	100%
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	100%

### Table A44.3. Toxicity Assessment of Sediment

## Table A44.4 Chemical properties and metal or metalloid concentration in sediment

Metal or Metalloid	Fractions	(mg/kg)	ISQG Low	ISQG high
Antimony (Sb)	1MHCI	<1	2	25
	Total			
Arsenic (As)	1MHCI	4.2	20	70
	Total			
Cadmium (Cd)	1MHCI	7	1.5	10
	Total			
Cobalt (Co)	1MHCI	8.5	NA	NA
	Total			
Copper (Cu)	1MHCI	190	65	270
	Total			
Lead (Pb)	1MHCI	144	50	220
	Total			
Nickel (Ni)	1MHCI	2.7	21	52
	Total			
Zinc (Zn)	1MHCI	274	200	410
	Total			

### Table A44.5 In situ deployment of DGT units in sediment results

Metal	C <sub>DGT</sub> (± SE)	R <sub>diff</sub>	C <sub>E</sub> (± SE)	C <sub>SOL</sub>
	(µg/L)		(µg/L)	
Cadmium (Cd)	0.015 ± 0.001	0.05	0.33 ± 0.02	0.7
Copper (Cu)	0.3 ± 0.2	0.06	4.5 ± 3.8	8.6
Lead (Pb)	0.19 ± 0.001	0.06	3.06 ± 0.02	0.6
Nickel (Ni)	0.21 ± 0.01	0.06	3.3 ± 0.2	5.0
Zinc (Zn)	1.3 ± 0.2	0.07	20 ± 3	32.0

-C<sub>SOL</sub>: The concentrations of metals in 0.45µm filtered pore water. Sediment samples were centrifuged at 1509 g for five min

and the pore water was filtered through 0.45µm filter. -C<sub>E</sub>: Effective concentration of metals. C<sub>E</sub> = C<sub>DGT</sub>/R<sub>diff</sub> where R<sub>diff</sub> is calculated using the 2D DIFS model (Section 2.5.6) - C<sub>DGT</sub>: Concentration of metals measured by DGT - SE: Standard Error

## Location 4: Davis Crossing, Leichhardt River

## Table A45.1 Toxicity Assessment of Water

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	>100%
48-h Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i> (survival)	8-d EC 50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i>	8-d IC 50 (reproduction)	66.1%
7-d Growth Inhibition <i>Lemna minor</i>	7-d IC50 (growth rate)	95.3%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (dry weight)	>100%
96-h fish Imbalance Melanotaenia splendida	96-h EC 50	>100%

## Table A45.2 Chemical properties and metal concentrations in water quality

рН		8.14	
EC	(µS/cm)	5900	
Alkalinity	CaCO₃(mg/L)	451	Trigger values
Sulfate	(mg/L)	726	for 95% fresh
Nitrate	(mg/L)	<0.5	protection
Chloride	(mg/L)	1220	ievei (µg/L)^
DOC	(mg/L)	4.4	
Hardness	(mg/L)	741	
Cadmium	Total	2.8	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	1.09	2
Copper	Total	4.24	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	2.67	13
Lead (ug/L)	Total	2.69	
	0.45µm	0.27	91
Zinc (ug/L)	Total	15.59	
	0.45µm	10.6	72

### Table A45.3 Toxicity Assessment of Sediment

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
48-h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	100%
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	100%

### Table A45.4 Chemical properties and metal or metalloid concentration in sediment

Metal or Metalloid	Fractions	(mg/kg)	ISQG Low	ISQG high
Antimony (Sb)	1MHCI	<1	2	25
	Total	<5		
Arsenic (As)	1MHCI	7.4	20	70
	Total	16		
Cadmium (Cd)	1MHCI	59.1	1.5	10
	Total	58		
Cobalt (Co)	1MHCI	12	NA	NA
	Total	21		
Copper (Cu)	1MHCI	148	65	270
	Total	264		
Lead (Pb)	1MHCI	225	50	220
	Total	281		
Nickel (Ni)	1MHCI	2.9	21	52
	Total	19		
Zinc (Zn)	1MHCI	578	200	410
(,	Total	699		

### Table A45.5 In situ deployment of DGT units in sediment results

Metal	C <sub>DGT</sub> (± SE)	R <sub>diff</sub>	C <sub>E</sub> (± SE)	C <sub>SOL</sub>
	(µg/L)		(µg/L)	
Cadmium (Cd)	0.01 ± 0.005	0.06	0.2 ± 0.1	1.1
Copper (Cu)	0.27	0.07	4.0	6.0
Lead (Pb)	0.09 ± 0.05	0.06	1.5 ± 0.8	0.6
Nickel (Ni)	0.12 ± 0.01	0.06	2.0 ± 0.2	2.7
Zinc (Zn)	0.3 ± 0.2	0.06	5 ± 3	19

-C<sub>SOL</sub>: The concentrations of metals in 0.45µm filtered pore water. Sediment samples were centrifuged at 1509 g for five min and the pore water was filtered through 0.45µm filter.

-C<sub>E</sub>: Effective concentration of metals.  $C_E = C_{DGT}/R_{diff}$  where  $R_{diff}$  is calculated using the 2D DIFS model (Section 2.5.6)

- C<sub>DGT</sub>: Concentration of metals measured by DGT

- SE: Standard Error

## Location 5: Moondarra Crossing, Leichhardt River

## Table A46.1 Toxicity Assessment of Water

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	41.8%
48-h Survival Cerio daphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i> (survival)	8-d EC 50	>100%
7-d partial life-cycle (chronic) toxicity cladoceran <i>Ceriodaphia</i> cf <i>dubia</i>	8-d IC 50 (reproduction)	74.2%
7-d Growth Inhibition <i>Lemna minor</i>	7-d IC50 (growth rate)	>100%
7-d Growth Inhibition Lemna minor	7-d IC50 (dry weight)	59.3%
96-h fish Imbalance Melanotaenia splendida	96-h EC 50	>100%

## Table A46.2 Chemical properties and metal concentrations in water quality

рН		8.24	
EC	(µS/cm)	333	
Alkalinity	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	258	Trigger values
Sulfate	(mg/L)	824	for 95% fresh water species
Nitrate	(mg/L)	<0.5	protection
Chloride	(mg/L)	1340	level (µg/L)*
DOC	(mg/L)	11	
Hardness	(mg/L)	748	
Cadmium	Total	0.36	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	0.31	2
Copper	Total	16.26	
(µg/L)	0.45µm	15.41	13
Lead (ug/L)	Total	5.58	
	0.45µm	1.36	91
Zinc (ug/L)	Total	10.22	
	0.45µm	7.86	72

Toxicity test species	Unit	Test results
48-h Survival Ceriodaphnia cf dubia	48-h EC50	77.1%
72-h Inhibition Selenastrum capricornutum	72-h IC50	100%

### Table A46.3 Toxicity Assessment of Sediment

## Table A46.4 Chemical properties and metal or metalloid concentration in sediment

Metal or MetalloidFractions(mg/kg)ISQG LowISQG highAntimony (Sb)1MHCl<1225Total<112070Arsenic (As)1MHCl4.72070Total1910Cadmium (Cd)1MHCl6.91.510Cobalt (Co)1MHCl9.2NANACobalt (Co)1MHCl9.2NANATotal18Copper (Cu)1MHCl15565270Total28250220Lead (Pb)1MHCl3.22152Nickel (Ni)1MHCl3.22152Zinc (Zn)1MHCl294200410Total50050050050					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \mbox{Antimony (Sb)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & <1 & 2 & 25 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & \mbox{Total} & <11 & & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Arsenic (As)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 4.7 & 20 & 70 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 19 & & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 19 & & & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Cadmium (Cd)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 6.9 & 1.5 & 10 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 8 & & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Cobalt (Co)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 9.2 & \mbox{NA} & \mbox{NA} \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 18 & & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Copper (Cu)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 155 & 65 & 270 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 18 & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Copper (Cu)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 155 & 65 & 270 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 252 & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Lead (Pb)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 282 & 50 & 220 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 348 & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Nickel (Ni)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 3.2 & 21 & 52 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 23 & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 23 & & & & \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 294 & 200 & 410 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 500 & & & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Metal or Metalloid	Fractions	(mg/kg)	ISQG Low	ISQG high
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Total & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & <11 & &11 & &11 & <11 & <11 & &11$	Antimony (Sb)	1MHCI	<1	2	25
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \mbox{Arsenic (As)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 4.7 & 20 & 70 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 19 & & & & \\ \mbox{Cadmium (Cd)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 6.9 & 1.5 & 10 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 8 & & & & \\ \mbox{Total} & 8 & & & & \\ \mbox{Cobalt (Co)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 9.2 & \mbox{NA} & \mbox{NA} \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 18 & & & & \\ \mbox{Total} & 18 & & & & \\ \mbox{Copper (Cu)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 155 & 65 & 270 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 252 & & & & \\ \mbox{Lead (Pb)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 282 & 50 & 220 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 348 & & & \\ \mbox{Nickel (Ni)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 3.2 & 21 & 52 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 23 & & & \\ \mbox{Zinc (Zn)} & 1 \mbox{MHCl} & 294 & 200 & 410 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 500 & & & \\ \end{array}$		Total	<11		
Total         19         Image: constraint of the symbol sy	Arsenic (As)	1MHCI	4.7	20	70
Cadmium (Cd)         1MHCl         6.9         1.5         10           Total         8		Total	19		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Total & 8 & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 1MHCl & 9.2 & NA & NA & \\ \hline Total & 18 & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 18 & & & & & \\ \hline Copper (Cu) & 1MHCl & 155 & 65 & 270 & \\ \hline Total & 252 & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 252 & & & & & \\ \hline Lead (Pb) & 1MHCl & 282 & 50 & 220 & \\ \hline Total & 348 & & & & \\ \hline Total & 348 & & & & \\ \hline Nickel (Ni) & 1MHCl & 3.2 & 21 & 52 & \\ \hline Total & 23 & & & & \\ \hline Total & 23 & & & & \\ \hline Total & 294 & 200 & 410 & \\ \hline Total & 500 & & & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Cadmium (Cd)	1MHCI	6.9	1.5	10
		Total	8		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Total & 18 & I & I8 & I8 & I8 & I8 & I8 & I8 $	Cobalt (Co)	1MHCI	9.2	NA	NA
Copper (Cu)         1MHCl         155         65         270           Total         252		Total	18		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Total & 252 & & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 282 & 50 & 220 & & \\ \hline Total & 348 & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 3.2 & 21 & 52 & & \\ \hline Total & 23 & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 23 & & & & & \\ \hline Total & 294 & 200 & 410 & & \\ \hline Total & Total & 500 & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Copper (Cu)	1MHCI	155	65	270
Lead (Pb)         1MHCl         282         50         220           Total         348		Total	252		
Total         348            Nickel (Ni)         1MHCl         3.2         21         52           Total         23	Lead (Pb)	1MHCI	282	50	220
Nickel (Ni)         1MHCl         3.2         21         52           Total         23		Total	348		
Total         23         410           Zinc (Zn)         1MHCl         294         200         410           Total         500         500         500         500	Nickel (Ni)	1MHCI	3.2	21	52
Zinc (Zn)         1MHCl         294         200         410           Total         500 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Total</td><td>23</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Total	23		
Total 500	Zinc (Zn)	1MHCI	294	200	410
		Total	500		

### Table A46.5 In situ deployment of DGT units in sediment results

Metal	C <sub>DGT</sub>	$R_{diff}$	C <sub>E</sub> (± SE)	C <sub>SOL</sub>
	(µg/L)		(µg/	Ľ)
Cadmium (Cd)	0.05 ± 0.005	0.06	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9
Copper (Cu)	1.2	0.06	18.8	11.6
Lead (Pb)	0.2 ± 0.05	0.06	3.1 ± 0.8	2.3
Nickel (Ni)	0.24 ± 0.01	0.06	3.8 ± 0.2	4.8
Zinc (Zn)	0.9 ± 0.2	0.07	14 ± 3	25.8

-C<sub>SOL</sub>: The concentrations of metals in 0.45µm filtered pore water. Sediment samples were centrifuged at 1509 g for five min and the pore water was filtered through  $0.45 \mu m$  filter.

-C<sub>E</sub>: Effective concentration of metals.  $C_E = C_{DGT}/R_{diff}$  where  $R_{diff}$  is calculated using the 2D DIFS model (Section 2.5.6)

-  $C_{DGT}$ : Concentration of metals measured by DGT - SE: Standard Error

### Appendix 9. Results for heavy metals in fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates

Site	Description	Location (UTM MGA Zone 54)	
Code	-	Easting	Northing
Backgro	und Sites		
К	Lake Julius	365 690	7 773 617
D	Rifle Creek Dam	353 463	7 682 051
Test Site	S		
н	Leichardt River upstream of Mount Isa	343 938	7 696 970
В	Leichardt River at 23 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue	343 200	7 705 374
А	Leichardt River at 19 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	343 132	7 705 608
С	Leichardt River at Isa Street	342 631	7 706 933
Е	Leichardt River at Davis Road	343 163	7 710 364
J	Leichardt River at Moondarra Crossing	343 178	7 711 474
F	Leichardt River at Moondarra Junction	345 850	7 717 359
I	Clear Water Lagoon	348 053	7 720 779
G	Lake Moondarra 1 (near Clear Water Lagoon)	349 826	7 722 454
LM	Lake Moondarra 2 (between Clear Water Lagoon and Moondarra Junction)	346 100	7 718 090

# Table A47: Location of survey sites (FRC Environmental 2010)

Legend Survey Site Major Waterways 10 20 Mt Isa Sub-catchment boundary Kilometres Main Road

Location of sites for heavy metals in fish and aquatic macro invertebrates (FRC Environmental 2010)

Data for metal concentrations in aquatic biota (FRC Environmental 2010)

A. Cadmium

		Cito		Cadmium ma/ba						76+6
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	(LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
Spangled Perch	(muscle or whole fish)	B1-4		0.14						
				0.7						
				0.11						
				0.11	4	0.265	0.290345	0.145172	0.125	0.28
	(muscle or whole fish)	C1-8		0.39						
				0.43						
				0.49						
				0.35						
				0.43						
				0.38						
				0.32						
				0.51	∞	0.4125	0.065629	0.023203	0.41	0.445
	(muscle or whole fish)	D15-18		0.01						
				0.36						
				0.34						
				0.01	4	0.18	0.196469	0.098234	0.175	0.345
	(muscle or whole fish)	K-14		0.01	1					
	(muscle or whole fish)	A1-8 (No. 6)		0.026						
				0.025						
				0.036						
				0.033						
				0.024						
				0.036						
				0.039	7	0.031286	0.006157	0.002327	0.033	0.036
	(muscle)	ML19		0.01						

Snecies		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Cadmium mg/kg (I OR =0.02)	z	Mean	Ŋ	SF	Median	75th Percentile
	(whole fish)	ML19		45	2	22.505	31.81273	22.495	22.505	33.7525
					ALL	1.935346	8.785882	1.723053	0.125	0.3875
	(Liver)	D15-18		0.11						
				4.7						
				0.18	3	1.663333	2.630063	1.518468	0.18	2.44
	(Liver)	K-14		0.18						
				0.12	2	0.15	0.042426	0.03	0.15	0.165
					ALL	1.058	2.036202	0.910617	0.18	0.18
Catfish	(muscle or whole fish)	B9-12		0.057						
				260.0						
				0.041						
				0.1	4	0.07325	0.028826	0.014413	0.076	0.09625
					ALL	0.07325	0.028826	0.014413	0.076	0.09625
Sleepy Cod	(muscle)	C9		0.01						
	(muscle)	C10		0.01	2	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
	(muscle or whole fish)	D9		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D10		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D11		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D12		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
	(muscle or whole fish)	E1		0.4						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E2		0.18						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E3		0.39						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E4		0.28						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E13		0.29						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E14		0.31						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E15		0.72	7	0.367143	0.172212	0.06509	0.31	0.395
	(muscle or whole fish)	F1		0.34						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F2		0.53						

		Site		Cadminm mo/ko						75+h
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	(LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	F3		0.33						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F4		0.41						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F5		0.32						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F6		0.29						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F7		0.47						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F8		0.25						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F9		0.042						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F10		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F11		0.023						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F12		0.034	12	0.254083	0.183959	0.053104	0.305	0.3575
	(muscle or whole fish)	61		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	110		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	111		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	112		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
	(muscle or whole fish)	J1		0.24						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J2		0.42						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J3		0.24						
	(muscle or whole fish)	14		0.32	4	0.305	0.08544	0.04272	0.28	0.345
	(muscle or whole fish)	К7		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K8		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K9		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K10		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
	(muscle)	LM1		0.01						
	(muscle)	LM2		0.01						
	(muscle)	LM3		0.01						
	(muscle)	LM4		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
					ALL	0.171195	0.191222	0.029864	0.034	0.32
	(liver)	C9		0.95						

				:						
Species		site Abbreviation	Site name	Cadmium mg/kg (LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	/5th Percentile
	(liver)	C10		2.8	2	1.875	1.308148	0.925	1.875	2.3375
	(liver)	6 <b>0</b>		0.074						
	(liver)	D10		0.045						
	(liver)	D11		0.063						
	(liver)	D12		0.11	4	0.073	0.02741	0.013705	0.073	0.083
	(liver)	K7		0.32						
	(liver)	8Х		0.32						
	(liver)	6Х		0.2						
	(liver)	K10		0.1	4	0.235	0.106301	0.053151	0.26	0.32
	(liver)	61		0.17						
	(liver)	110		0.01						
	(liver)	111		0.099						
	(liver)	112		0.095	4	0.0935	0.065465	0.032733	0.097	0.11675
	(liver)	LM1		0.32						
	(liver)	LM2		0.61						
	(liver)	LM3		1.1						
	(liver)	LM4		0.37	4	0.6	0.356558	0.178279	0.49	0.7325
					ALL	0.430889	0.666339	0.157058	0.185	0.3575
Barramundi	(muscle or whole fish)	G17		0.01	1					
	(liver)	G17		0.88	1					
<b>Barred Grunter</b>	(muscle or whole fish)	D1		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D2		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D3		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D4		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D5		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D6		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D7		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D8		0.01	∞	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01

Species		site Abbreviation	Site name	Caamium mg/kg (LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	G1		0.11						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G2		0.1						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G3		0.039						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G4		0.13						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G5		0.15						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G6		0.12						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G7		0.056						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G8		0.061	8	0.09575	0.039521	0.013973	0.105	0.1225
	(muscle or whole fish)	11		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	12		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	13		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	14		0.034						
	(muscle or whole fish)	15		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	16		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	17		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	18		0.01	8	0.013	0.008485	0.003	0.01	0.01
	(muscle or whole fish)	J5		0.4						
	(muscle or whole fish)	JG		0.57						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J7		0.45						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J8		0.62						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J11		0.68						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J12		0.71						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J13		0.5						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J14		0.64	7	0.57125	0.111668	0.042206	0.595	0.65
	(muscle or whole fish)	K1		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K2		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K3		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K4		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01

										,
Species		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Cadmium mg/kg (LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	75th Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM8		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM9		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM10		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM11		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
					ALL	0.154524	0.249745	0.038536	0.01	0.1275
	(liver)	K1		0.27						
	(liver)	K2		0.35						
	(liver)	K3		0.22						
	(liver)	K4		0.45	4	0.718	0.100457	0.050229	0.31	0.375
	(liver)	LM8		2.3	1					
					ALL	0.718	0.888634	0.397409	0.35	0.45
Rainbow	(muscle or whole fish)	E5		0.26						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E6		0.36						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E7		0.45						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E8		0.36						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E9		0.63						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E10		0.31						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E11		0.18						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E12		0.56	8	0.38875	0.150849	0.053333	0.36	0.4775
					ALL	0.38875	0.150849	0.053333	0.36	0.4775
Tandan	(muscle or whole fish)	F9		0.023	1					
	(muscle or whole fish)	K19		0.01	1					
					ALL	0.0165	0.009192	0.0065	0.0165	0.01975
	(liver)	F9		1.8	1					
	(liver?)	K19		0.077	1					
					ALL	0.9385	1.218345	0.8615	0.9385	1.36925
Bony Bream	(muscle or whole fish)	G13		0.023						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G14		0.01						

Species		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Cadmium mg/kg (LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	75th Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	G15		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G16		0.01	4	0.01325	0.0065	0.00325	0.01	0.01325
	(muscle or whole fish)	115		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	117		0.029						
	(muscle or whole fish)	119		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	120		0.01	4	0.01475	0.0095	0.00475	0.01	0.01475
	(muscle or whole fish)	K15		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K16		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K17		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K18		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM24		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM25		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM26		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM27		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
					ALL	0.012	0.005574	0.001393	0.01	0.01
	(liver)	G13		4.8						
	(liver)	G14		2.2						
	(liver)	G15		1.3						
	(liver)	G16		1.2	4	2.375	1.678044	0.839022	1.75	2.85
	(liver)	116		0.01						
	(liver)	118		0.01						
	(liver)	119		0.038						
	(liver)	120		0.43	4	0.122	0.205757	0.102879	0.024	0.136
	(liver)	K15		0.11						
	(liver)	K16		0.17						
	(liver)	K17		0.22						
	(liver)	K18		0.21	4	0.1775	0.049917	0.024958	0.19	0.2125
	(liver)	LM24		12						

Species		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Cadmium mg/kg (LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	75th Percentile
	(liver)	LM25		24						
	(liver)	LM26		7.6						
	(liver)	LM27		0.66	4	11.065	9.805759	4.902879	9.8	15
					ALL	3.434875	6.432997	1.608249	0.545	2.85
Forked Tail Catfish	(muscle or whole fish)	LM13		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM14		0.01						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM15		0.01						
					ALL	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
	(liver)	LM13		3.2						
	(liver)	LM14		1.7						
	(liver)	LM15		0.88						
					ALL	1.926667	1.176492	0.679248	1.7	2.45
Eel Tail Catfish	(muscle or whole fish)	LM17		0.16						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM18		0.19						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM30		0.01						
					ALL	0.12	0.096437	0.055678	0.16	0.175
	(liver)	LM30		0.64	14					
Red Claw		B5		0.047						
		B6		0.11						
		B7		0.11	3	0.089	0.036373	0.021	0.11	0.11
		F10		0.35	1					
		122		0.01	1					
		K21		0.01						
		K22		0.01	2	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
		LM20		0.041						
		LM21		0.01						
	(muscle)	LM22		0.01	3	0.020333	0.017898	0.010333	0.01	0.0255

	Site		Cadmium mg/kg						75th
Species	Abbreviation	Site name	(LOR =0.02)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
				ALL	0.0708	0.105916	0.033494	0.0255	0.09425
Shrimp	F11		0.056	1					
	123		0.01						
	124		0.01						
	125		0.01						
	126		0.01	4	0.01	0	0	0.01	0.01
				ALL	0.0192	0.020572	0.0092	0.01	0.01
Mussel	K20		0.051	1					

B. Lead										
Species		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Lead mg/kg (LOR = 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	75th Percentile
<b>Spangled Perch</b>	(muscle or whole fish)	B1-4		0.63						
				1.7						
				1.1						
				0.48	4	0.9775	0.549325	0.274663	0.865	1.25
	(muscle or whole fish)	C1-8		2.6						
				3.1						
				4.7						
				3						
				3.5	ъ	3.38	0.804363	0.359722	3.1	3.5
	(muscle or whole fish)	D15-18		0.05						
				0.05						
				0.05						
				0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	K-14		0.05	1	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	A1-8 (No. 6)		0.05						
				0.05						
				0.05						
				0.05						
				0.05						
				0.05						
				0.11	7	0.058571	0.022678	0.008571	0.05	0.05
	(muscle)	ML19		0.05						
	(whole fish)	ML19		0.78	2	0.415	0.516188	0.365	0.415	0.5975
					ALL	0.969565	1.405614	0.293091	0.05	1.4
	(Liver)	D15-18		0.05						
				0.05						
				0.11	3	0.07	0.034641	0.02	0.05	0.08

		Site		Lead mg/kg (LOR						75th
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	= 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	(Liver)	K-14		0.05						
				0.05	2	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
					ALL	0.062	0.026833	0.012	0.05	0.05
Catfish	(muscle or whole fish)	B9-12		0.18						
				0.24						
				0.2						
				0.19	4					
					ALL	0.2025	0.0263	0.01315	0.195	0.21
Sleepy Cod	(muscle)	C9		0.05						
	(muscle)	C10		0.05	2	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	D9		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D10		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D11		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D12		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	E1		0.31						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E2		0.11						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E3		0.29						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E4		0.38						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E13		0.14						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E14		0.32						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E15		0.69	7	0.32	0.190613	0.072045	0.31	0.35
	(muscle or whole fish)	F1		0.81						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F2		0.9						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F3		0.57						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F4		0.86						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F5		0.55						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F6		0.41						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F7		0.53						

		Sita		I ead ma/ka (I OR						75+h
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	= 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	F8		0.29						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F9		0.27						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F10		0.13						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F11		0.13						
	(muscle or whole fish)	F12		0.15	12	0.466667	0.283175	0.081745	0.47	0.63
	(muscle or whole fish)	61		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	110		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	111		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	112		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	J1		0.28						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J2		0.57						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J3		0.64						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J4		0.37	4	0.465	0.168226	0.084113	0.47	0.5875
	(muscle or whole fish)	K7		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K8		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K9		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K10		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle)	LM1		0.05						
	(muscle)	LM2		0.05						
	(muscle)	LM3		0.05						
	(muscle)	LM4		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
					ALL	0.258537	0.259061	0.040459	0.13	0.38
	(liver)	C9		0.15						
	(liver)	C10		0.29	2	0.22	0.098995	0.07	0.22	0.255
	(liver)	D9		0.05						
	(liver)	D10		0.05						
	(liver)	D11		0.05						
	(liver)	D12		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05

		Site		Lead mg/kg (LOR						75th
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	= 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	(liver)	К7		0.05						
	(liver)	K8		0.05						
	(liver)	K9		0.05						
	(liver)	K10		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(liver)	61		0.05						
	(liver)	110		0.05						
	(liver)	111		0.05						
	(liver)	112		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(liver)	LM1		0.05						
	(liver)	LM2		0.05						
	(liver)	LM3		0.05						
	(liver)	LM4		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
					ALL	0.068889	0.059989	0.01414	0.05	0.05
Barramundi	(muscle or whole fish)	G17		0.05	1					
	(liver)	G17		0.1	1					
<b>Barred Grunter</b>	(muscle or whole fish)	D1		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D2		0.1						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D3		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D4		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D5		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D6		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D7		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	D8		0.05	8	0.05625	0.017678	0.00625	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	G1		0.36						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G2		0.41						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G3		0.12						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G4		0.61						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G5		0.32						

		i		       						
Species		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Lead mg/kg (LOR = 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	75th Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	GG		0.52						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G7		0.55						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G8		0.3	8	0.39875	0.159234	0.056298	0.385	0.5275
	(muscle or whole fish)	11		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	12		0.14						
	(muscle or whole fish)	13		0.2						
	(muscle or whole fish)	14		0.13						
	(muscle or whole fish)	15		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	16		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	17		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	18		0.11	8	0.0975	0.056758	0.020067	0.08	0.1325
	(muscle or whole fish)	J5		0.43						
	(muscle or whole fish)	JG		0.57						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J7		0.4						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J8		1.1						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J11		1.2						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J12		0.62						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J13		0.7						
	(muscle or whole fish)	J14		0.6	8	0.7025	0.294267	0.104039	0.61	0.8
	(muscle or whole fish)	K1		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K2		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K3		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K4		0.1	4	0.0625	0.025	0.0125	0.05	0.0625
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM8		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM9		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM10		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM11		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
					ALL	0.26225	0.295535	0.046728	0.105	0.415

Species		Site Abbreviation	Site name	Lead mg/kg (LOR = 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	75th Percentile
	(liver)	K1		0.05						
	(liver)	K2		0.05						
	(liver)	K3		0.05						
	(liver)	K4		0.49	4	0.16	0.22	0.11	0.05	0.16
	(liver)	LM8		0.14	τ					
					ALL	0.156	0.190735	0.085299	0.05	0.14
Rainbow	(muscle or whole fish)	E5		0.26						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E6		0.8						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E7		0.85						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E8		0.73						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E9		0.37						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E10		0.18						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E11		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	E12		0.2	8	0.43	0.315232	0.111451	0.315	0.7475
					ALL					
Tandan	(muscle or whole fish)	F9		0.05	1					
	(muscle or whole fish)	K19		0.05	τ					
					ALL	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(liver)	F9		1.1	1					
	(liver?)	K19		0.05	1					
					ALL	0.575	0.742462	0.525	0.575	0.8375
Bony Bream	(muscle or whole fish)	G13		0.17						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G14		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G15		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	G16		0.05	4	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.08
	(muscle or whole fish)	115		0.29						
	(muscle or whole fish)	117		0.18						
	(muscle or whole fish)	119		0.05						

		Site		Lead me/kg (LOR						75th
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	= 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	(muscle or whole fish)	120		0.05	4	0.1425	0.115866	0.057933	0.115	0.2075
	(muscle or whole fish)	K15		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K16		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K17		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	K18		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM24		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM25		0.15						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM26		0.67						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM27		0.12	4	0.2475	0.284766	0.142383	0.135	0.28
					ALL	0.13	0.160375	0.040094	0.05	0.155
	(liver)	G13		3.2						
	(liver)	G14		0.24						
	(liver)	G15		0.1						
	(liver)	G16		0.33	4	0.9675	1.491339	0.745669	0.285	1.0475
	(liver)	116		0.18						
	(liver)	118		0.18						
	(liver)	119		0.05						
	(liver)	120		0.05	4	0.115	0.075056	0.037528	0.115	0.18
	(liver)	K15		0.05						
	(liver)	K16		0.05						
	(liver)	K17		0.05						
	(liver)	K18		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
	(liver)	LM24		0.31						
	(liver)	LM25		2.8						
	(liver)	LM26		2.4						
	(liver)	LM27		0.72	4	1.5575	1.226278	0.613139	1.56	2.5
					ALL	0.6725	1.079342	0.269836	0.18	0.4275

		Site		Lead mg/kg (LOR						75th
Species		Abbreviation	Site name	= 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
Forked Tail Catfish	(muscle or whole fish)	LM13		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM14		0.05						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM15		0.05	3	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
					ALL					
	(liver)	LM13		u/a						
	(liver)	LM14		2.7						
	(liver)	LM15		96:0	2	1.83	1.230366	0.87	1.83	2.265
					ALL					
Eel Tail Catfish	(muscle or whole fish)	LM17		0.21						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM18		0.31						
	(muscle or whole fish)	LM30		0.05	3	0.19	0.131149	0.075719	0.21	0.26
					ALL					
	(liver)	LM30		0.17	1					
Red Claw		B5		0.05						
		B6		0.05						
		B7		0.15	3	0.083333	0.057735	0.033333	0.05	0.1
		F10		0.22	1					
		122		0.12	1					
		K21		0.05						
		K22		0.05	2	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
		LM20		0.21						
		LM21		0.1						
	(muscle)	LM22		0.05	3	0.12	0.081854	0.047258	0.1	0.155
					ALL	0.105	0.068028	0.021512	0.075	0.1425
Shrimp		F11		0.05	1					
		123		0.05						
		124		0.05						

	Site		Lead mg/kg (LOR						75th
Species	Abbreviation	Site name	= 0.1)	z	Mean	SD	SE	Median	Percentile
	125		0.05						
	126		0.05	4	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
				ALL	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05
Mussel	K20		0.43	1					